

***In vitro* interaction between paromomycin sulphate and four drugs with leishmanicidal activity against three New World *Leishmania* species**

Eliane de Moraes-Teixeira¹, Mariana Kolos Gallupo¹, Lucas Fonseca Rodrigues¹, Álvaro José Romanha² and Ana Rabello^{1*}

¹Laboratório de Pesquisas Clínicas – Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou – Fundação Oswaldo Cruz – FIOCRUZ, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil; ²Departamento de Microbiologia, Imunologia e Parasitologia – Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil

*Corresponding author. Tel: +55-21-31-3349-7708; Fax: +55-21-31-3295-3115; E-mail: ana@cpqrr.fiocruz.br

Received 29 November 2012; returned 15 February 2013; revised 11 July 2013; accepted 15 July 2013

Objectives: To evaluate *in vitro* interactions between paromomycin sulphate and the antileishmanial drugs meglumine antimoniate, amphotericin B, miltefosine and azithromycin against intracellular *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *infantum chagasi*, *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) *braziliensis* and *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis* amastigotes in peritoneal mouse macrophages.

Methods: First, drug susceptibility was assessed in 3, 5 and 7 day assays, followed by drug interaction assays with a modified fixed-ratio method. An overall mean sum fractional inhibitory concentration (Σ FIC) was calculated for each combination and each *Leishmania* species. The nature of the interactions was classified as synergistic if the mean Σ FIC was ≤ 0.5 , indifferent if the mean Σ FIC was >0.5 – 4.0 and antagonistic if the mean Σ FIC was >4.0 .

Results: *In vitro* synergism was observed for the combinations of paromomycin plus miltefosine [at 50% and 90% inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀ and IC₉₀, respectively)] and paromomycin plus amphotericin B (at the IC₉₀ level) against *L. (L.) amazonensis*, paromomycin plus meglumine antimoniate (at the IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ levels) and paromomycin plus amphotericin B (at the IC₅₀ level) against *L. (V.) braziliensis*, and paromomycin plus miltefosine, paromomycin plus amphotericin B (both at the IC₉₀ level) and paromomycin plus azithromycin (at the IC₅₀ level) against *L. (L.) infantum chagasi*.

Conclusions: This work provides a preclinical dataset that supports future studies on multidrug treatment schedules against New World leishmaniasis.

Keywords: leishmaniasis, drug combinations, treatments, drug susceptibility tests

Introduction

The current options to treat cutaneous and visceral forms of leishmaniasis include pentavalent antimonials, amphotericin B (as deoxycholate or liposomal formulations), miltefosine and the aminoglycoside paromomycin.¹ Although this therapeutic arsenal is more promising than that which was available many decades ago, it is far from satisfactory due to its many disadvantages. Resistance to pentavalent antimonials, which have been the first-line drugs to treat cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis, is now widespread in the Indian subcontinent.² New drug formulations such as amphotericin B, and its lipid formulations, and miltefosine have shown efficacy in treating leishmaniasis, but their high cost, parenteral administration, long term-therapy and potentially severe side effects limit the use of these drugs.^{3,4}

In this context, drug combinations are strategic alternatives for antileishmanial treatment. Possible favourable outcomes of multi-drug therapy include drug synergism and increased efficacies, reduced dosages and treatment durations with subsequent decreases in toxicities and costs and delays or prevention of drug resistance. Treatment schedules with drug combinations have been tested mainly against Old World visceral leishmaniasis, both in experimental models and in humans.^{5–8}

Here we report on the *in vitro* interactions between paromomycin and four other drugs with leishmanicidal activity against three *Leishmania* species of medical relevance in the Americas. This study aimed to construct a database for a rational approach to the identification of useful paromomycin combinations in the treatment of New World cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis. The choice of paromomycin as the fixed drug was based on the

following factors: reported clinical antileishmanial activity of this drug against cutaneous and visceral leishmaniasis caused by Old World *Leishmania* species;^{4,5,7-9} the scarce reports of this drug in Latin America; and the feasibility of topical formulations of this drug for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis, caused by New World species, that might be used in combination with systemic drugs.^{10,11}

Materials and methods

Leishmania strains and the culture of promastigote and amastigote-like forms

Leishmania (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis* strain IFLA/BR/1967/PH-8, *Leishmania* (*Viannia*) *braziliensis* strain MHOM/BR/75/M2903 and *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *infantum chagasi* strain MHOM/BR/70/BH46 were used throughout the study. The strains were maintained by successive passages in golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*) and parasites were harvested from the spleens [*L. (L.) infantum chagasi*] or skin lesions [*L. (L.) amazonensis* and *L. (V.) braziliensis*] of infected animals.

Drugs

Miltefosine and paromomycin sulphate were kindly supplied by Zentaris GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany) and Antibioticos (Milan, Italy), respectively. Amphotericin B deoxycholate (Anforicin[®]) and azithromycin dehydrate (Zitromax[®]) were purchased from Cristalia (Itapira, Brazil) and Pfizer (Bedford, USA). Meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime[®], Sanofi-Aventis Farmacêutica Ltd, Suzano, Brazil) was provided by the Brazilian Ministry of Health.

Peritoneal macrophage preparation, infection procedures and determination of 50% and 90% inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀ and IC₉₀, respectively) of individual drugs

The determination of IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values of individual drugs was performed using the amastigote-macrophage assay.¹² Incubation time and concentration ranges of azithromycin, meglumine antimoniate and miltefosine evaluated for each species of *Leishmania* were defined from previous studies.¹²⁻¹⁴ Incubation time and the concentration ranges for amphotericin B and paromomycin were determined in preparation for this assay. The plates were incubated with drugs for 3, 5 and 7 days at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Further medium changes with fresh drugs were performed after 3 days in the 5 day assays and after 3 and 5 days in the 7 day assays. The assay results were analysed if at least 80% of the macrophages in the control wells were infected (assay quality control).

The results were obtained as ratios of the proportion of infection (amastigotes/100 macrophages) between the treated and non-treated cultures and were expressed as IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ as calculated by linear regression analysis (MiniTab 13.0) or linear interpolation (Microsoft Office Excel 2003) according to Huber and Koella.¹⁵

In vitro drug interaction assays

The interactions between the drugs were assessed using a modified fixed-ratio isobologram method according to Fivelman *et al.*¹⁶ Pre-determined IC₅₀ values were used to decide the maximum concentrations of the individual drugs after ensuring that the IC₅₀ values fell near the mid-point of a six-point 2-fold dilution series. The optimal time of action of the drugs in combination was chosen to establish a common time for the use of the two related drugs without prejudice to the actions of either.

The fractional inhibitory concentrations (FICs) and the sum FICs (Σ FICs) were calculated as follows: FIC of paromomycin sulphate = IC₅₀ or IC₉₀ of

paromomycin sulphate in combination/IC₅₀ or IC₉₀ of paromomycin sulphate alone. The same was applied to the partner drug. Σ FIC was calculated as FIC of paromomycin sulphate + FIC of partner drug. FICs and Σ FICs were calculated for all fixed-ratio solutions. Overall mean Σ FICs were used to classify the nature of the interactions as synergistic if the mean Σ FIC was ≤ 0.5 , antagonistic if the mean Σ FIC was > 4.0 and indifferent (additive) if the mean Σ FIC was $> 0.5 - 4.0$, according to Odds.¹⁷

Ethics

This study was conducted under a license approved by the Committee on Animal Research of the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Brazil (Protocol P321/06; License L0024/8).

Results

In vitro susceptibility of intracellular amastigotes to individual drugs

The individual IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ values that were calculated for different periods of drug exposure are given in Table 1 as μ M and μ g/mL concentrations. All *Leishmania* isolates were susceptible to the drugs. No toxicity towards macrophages was observed at the drug concentrations used in this study, based on morphological observations.

In vitro drug interactions against intracellular amastigotes in peritoneal macrophages

Interactions between paromomycin sulphate and other drugs were assessed with a modified fixed-ratio method, and the data were analysed at IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ levels. Table 2 shows the mean Σ FICs for three independent experiments at each level. For the associations between paromomycin sulphate and miltefosine and between paromomycin and amphotericin B against *L. (L.) infantum chagasi*, it was not possible to determine the FIC values at the IC₅₀ level because the lowest concentrations in the drug combinations used inhibited $> 50\%$ of growing parasites. Synergism was observed between paromomycin and miltefosine at the IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ levels and between paromomycin and amphotericin B at the IC₉₀ level against *L. (L.) amazonensis*. For *L. (V.) braziliensis*, synergism was observed between paromomycin and meglumine antimoniate at the IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ levels and between paromomycin and amphotericin B at the IC₅₀ level. For *L. (L.) infantum chagasi*, synergism was verified between paromomycin and miltefosine and amphotericin B, both at the IC₉₀ level, and between paromomycin and azithromycin at the IC₅₀ level.

Discussion

For the three tested species, there was no constant nature of interaction according to the IC₅₀ and IC₉₀ levels for some of the combinations. This was true for paromomycin plus amphotericin B against *L. (L.) amazonensis* (synergy only at the IC₉₀ level), paromomycin plus amphotericin B against *L. (V.) braziliensis* (synergy only at the IC₅₀ level) and paromomycin plus miltefosine or paromomycin plus amphotericin B (both showed synergy only at the IC₉₀ level) and paromomycin plus azithromycin (synergy only at the IC₅₀ level) against *L. (L.) infantum chagasi*. These results suggest that the modes of action of drug combinations against *Leishmania* spp. may depend on their concentrations. The same situation was

Table 1. Activity of individual drugs against intracellular amastigotes expressed as IC₅₀ and IC₉₀

Drugs	Days	<i>L. (L.) amazonensis</i>				<i>L. (V.) braziliensis</i>				<i>L. (L.) infantum chagasi</i>			
		IC ₅₀		IC ₉₀		IC ₅₀		IC ₉₀		IC ₅₀		IC ₉₀	
		μM	μg/mL	μM	μg/mL	μM	μg/mL	μM	μg/mL	μM	μg/mL	μM	μg/mL
Meglumine antimoniate, mol. wt 121.75	3	1365.9	166.3 (99.6–232.9)	2726.1	331.9 (189.7–474.1)	330.2	40.2 (34.6–45.8)	682.5	83.1 (71.3–94.8)	1416.0	172.4 (127.9–216.8)	2955.2	359.8 (279.1–440.5)
	5	1433.3	174.5 (130.9–218.2)	2822.2	343.6 (299.1–398.0)	307.2	37.4 (28.5–46.3)	568.4	69.2 (52.0–86.4)	1122.8	136.7 (85.0–188.0)	2457.5	299.2 (217.4–381.0)
	7	886.2	107.1 ^a	3766.7	458.6 ^a	178.2	21.7 (12.5–31.0)	544.6	66.3 (49.7–82.9)	398.4	8.5 ^a	1362.6	165.9 ^a
Miltefosine, mol. wt 407.57	3	3.2 ^b	1.3 ^b (0.7–1.9)	7.9 ^b	3.2 ^b (2.2–4.2)	5.4 ^b	2.2 ^b (1.5–2.9)	13.9 ^b	5.7 ^b (4.7–6.7)	4.4 ^b	1.8 ^b (0.7–3.0)	12.4 ^b	5.0 ^b (3.3–6.8)
	5	ND	ND	0.8	0.3 ^a	2.0	0.8 ^a	9.8	4.0 ^a	6.9	2.8 (1.6–3.9)	13.5	5.5 (3.8–7.1)
	7	ND	ND	2.3	0.9 ^a	0.8	0.3 (0.2–0.8)	2.2	0.9 (0.5–1.2)	ND	ND	1.5	0.6 ^a
Paromomycin, mol. wt 615.63	3	217.4	133.8 ^a	1569.8	966.4 ^a	344.4	212.0 (161.1–262.9)	698.1	429.8 (324.8–534.7)	336.7	207.3 (149.8–264.8)	792.4	487.8 (364.1–611.5)
	5	77.0	47.4 ^a	415.3	255.7 ^a	253.4	156.0 (98.0–241.1)	494.5	304.4 (211.1–397.7)	64.3	39.6 ^a	240.7	148.2
	7	ND	ND	193.8	119.3 ^a	233.6	143.8 (75.9–211.6)	438.7	270.1 (180.0–359.9)	ND	ND	ND	ND
Amphotericin B, mol. wt 923.49	3	0.08	0.07 (0.05–0.09)	0.16	0.15 (0.11–0.19)	0.04	0.04 (0.01–0.06)	0.12	0.11 (0.09–0.13)	0.04	0.04 (0.03–0.05)	0.10	0.09 (0.07–0.10)
	5	0.04	0.04 ^a	0.21	0.19 ^a	0.02	0.02 ^a	0.04	0.04 ^a	0.03	0.03 (0.02–0.04)	0.08	0.07 (0.05–0.08)
	7	0.16	0.15 ^a	0.01	0.01 ^a	0.06	0.06 (0.04–0.09)	0.13	0.12 (0.09–0.15)	0.01	0.01 ^a	0.03	0.03 ^a
Azithromycin, mol. wt 748.98	3	28.4	21.3 (14.3–28.3)	56.0	42.0 (29.1–54.9)	5.7	4.3 (1.3–9.9)	20.6	15.4 (11.2–19.6)	19.9	14.9 (12.0–17.8)	40.9	30.6 (25.7–35.5)
	5	21.7	16.3 (10.3–24.2)	45.0	33.7 (27.4–43.0)	ND	ND	4.3	3.2 ^a	9.7	7.3 (2.7–11.9)	31.0	23.2 (17.7–28.7)
	7	ND	ND	14.7	11.0	ND	ND	18.3	13.7 (3.3–24.1)	ND	ND	10.1	7.6 (1.7–13.4)

ND, not determined; it was not possible to determine the IC₅₀ values because the lowest concentrations used inhibited >50% of growing parasites.

CI values are in brackets when the IC₅₀ was calculated by linear regression analysis. CI values are only given for μg/mL.

^aIC determined by linear interpolation.

^bData already published.¹²

Table 2. Mean Σ FICs of interactions between paromomycin sulphate and partner drugs against intracellular amastigotes

Leishmania spp.	Paromomycin sulphate + drug	Days of incubation	Mean Σ FIC \pm SD		Nature of interaction ^a
			at the IC ₅₀ level	at the IC ₉₀ level	
<i>L. (L.) amazonensis</i>	meglumine antimoniate	5	0.68 \pm 0.13	0.88 \pm 0.26	indifferent – indifferent
	miltefosine	3	0.34 \pm 0.20	0.35 \pm 0.13	synergistic – synergistic
	amphotericin B	5	1.39 \pm 0.17	0.46 \pm 0.15	indifferent – synergistic
	azithromycin	5	0.64 \pm 0.05	0.65 \pm 0.17	indifferent – indifferent
<i>L. (V.) braziliensis</i>	meglumine antimoniate	7	0.29 \pm 0.21	0.49 \pm 0.25	synergistic – synergistic
	miltefosine	7	1.36 \pm 1.52	1.14 \pm 0.81	indifferent – indifferent
	amphotericin B	3	0.10 \pm 0.08	0.95 \pm 0.12	synergistic – indifferent
	azithromycin	3	0.78 \pm 0.26	1.28 \pm 0.14	indifferent – indifferent
<i>L. (L.) infantum chagasi</i>	meglumine antimoniate	5	0.50 \pm 0.33	0.67 \pm 0.19	indifferent – indifferent
	miltefosine	5	ND	0.06 \pm 0.04	synergistic
	amphotericin B	5	ND	0.46 \pm 0.20	synergistic
	azithromycin	5	0.48 \pm 0.26	0.88 \pm 0.26	synergistic – indifferent

ND, not determined; it was not possible to determine the FIC values at the IC₅₀ level because the lowest concentrations in the drug combinations used inhibited >50% of growing parasites.

^a Σ FIC \leq 0.5, synergistic; Σ FIC > 4.0, antagonistic; Σ FIC > 0.5 – 4.0, indifferent.¹⁷

observed in the assessments of drug associations for *Leishmania donovani* and *Plasmodium falciparum*, in which the interactions were classified from indifferent at the IC₅₀ level to synergistic at the IC₉₀ level, and from indifferent at the IC₅₀ level to synergistic at the IC₉₀ and IC₉₉ levels, respectively.^{6,18}

These *in vitro* experimental data indicate synergistic associations between currently used antileishmanial drugs and paromomycin sulphate against the three tested *Leishmania* species. At least two associations were synergistic against the three species evaluated: against *L. (L.) amazonensis*, paromomycin plus amphotericin B and paromomycin plus miltefosine; against *L. (V.) braziliensis*, paromomycin plus meglumine antimoniate and paromomycin plus amphotericin B; and against *L. (L.) infantum chagasi*, paromomycin plus meglumine antimoniate, paromomycin plus amphotericin B and paromomycin plus azithromycin.

Drug interactions were previously studied for *Leishmania (Leishmania) donovani*. Seifert and Croft⁶ observed a synergistic interaction between miltefosine and sodium stibogluconate and indifference when miltefosine was combined with amphotericin B, paromomycin or sitamaquine. In another study, the interaction between miltefosine and amphotericin B was also considered to be indifferent.^{6,19} Seifert *et al.*²⁰ evaluated the interactions between sitamaquine and five other antileishmanial drugs and found synergism between sitamaquine and pentamidine.

Although our *in vitro* study has provided evidence for synergistic and indifferent (additive) antileishmanial combinations, these data do not necessarily imply *in vivo* effectiveness and indifference, respectively. Seifert and Croft,⁶ for example, did not observe *in vitro* synergism for the combinations of miltefosine and paromomycin or miltefosine and amphotericin B against *L. (L.) donovani*, but *in vivo* tests indicated enhanced activity of these same combinations. Thus, *in vivo* tests of antileishmanial drugs in combination against these three *Leishmania* species are currently ongoing.

This work provides an *in vitro* preclinical dataset that supports future studies addressing multidrug treatment schedules against New World leishmaniasis.

Acknowledgements

Zentaris GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany) and Antibioticos (Milan, Italy) provided the miltefosine and the paromomycin sulphate used in this study, respectively.

Funding

This work was supported by the 'Minas Gerais State Agency for Research and Development' (FAPEMIG), the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) and Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz).

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

References

- Singh N, Kumar M, Singh RK. Leishmaniasis: current status of available drugs and new potential drug targets. *Asian Pac J Trop Med* 2012; **5**: 485–97.
- Sundar S. Drug resistance in Indian visceral leishmaniasis. *Trop Med Int Health* 2001; **6**: 849–54.
- Saint-Pierre-Chazalet M, Ben Brahim M, Le Moyec L *et al.* Membrane sterol depletion impairs miltefosine action in wild-type and miltefosine-resistant *Leishmania donovani* promastigotes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64**: 993–1001.
- Sundar S, Jha TK, Thakur CP *et al.* Injectable paromomycin for visceral leishmaniasis in India. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **356**: 2571–81.
- Aguiar MG, Pereira AM, Fernandes AP *et al.* Reductions in skin and systemic parasite burdens as a combined effect of topical paromomycin and oral miltefosine treatment of mice experimentally infected with *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010; **54**: 4699–704.
- Seifert K, Croft SL. *In vitro* and *in vivo* interactions between miltefosine and other antileishmanial drugs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; **50**: 73–9.

- 7** Sundar S, Rai M, Chakravarty J et al. New treatment approach in Indian visceral leishmaniasis: single-dose liposomal amphotericin B followed by short-course oral miltefosine. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; **47**: 1000–6.
- 8** Sundar S, Sinha PK, Rai M et al. Comparison of short-course multidrug treatment with standard therapy for visceral leishmaniasis in India: an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 477–86.
- 9** Kim DH, Chung HJ, Bleys J et al. Is paromomycin an effective and safe treatment against cutaneous leishmaniasis? A meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2009; **3**: e381.
- 10** Gonçalves GS, Fernandes AP, Souza RC et al. Activity of a paromomycin hydrophilic formulation for topical treatment of infections by *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis* and *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis*. *Acta Trop* 2005; **93**: 161–7.
- 11** Santos AM, Noronha EF, Ferreira LA et al. Effect of a hydrophilic formulation of topical paromomycin on cutaneous leishmaniasis among patients with contraindications for treatment with pentavalent antimonials. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 2008; **41**: 444–8.
- 12** de Morais-Teixeira E, Damasceno QS, Galuppo MK et al. The *in vitro* leishmanicidal activity of hexadecylphosphocholine (miltefosine) against four medically relevant *Leishmania* species of Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2011; **106**: 475–8.
- 13** de Morais-Teixeira E, de Carvalho AS, da Costa JCS et al. *In vitro* and *in vivo* activity of meglumine antimoniate produced at Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz, Brazil, against *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis*, *L. (L.) chagasi* and *L. (Viannia) braziliensis*. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 2008; **103**: 358–62.
- 14** de Oliveira-Silva F, de Morais-Teixeira E, Rabello A. Antileishmanial activity of azithromycin against *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis*, *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis*, and *Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2008; **78**: 745–9.
- 15** Huber W, Koella JC. A comparison of three methods of estimating EC50 in studies of drug resistance of malaria parasites. *Acta Trop* 1993; **55**: 257–61.
- 16** Fivelman QL, Adagu IS, Warhurst DC. Modified fixed-ratio isobologram method for studying *in vitro* interactions between atovaquone and proguanil or dihydroartemisinin against drug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; **48**: 4097–102.
- 17** Odds FC. Synergy, antagonism, and what the checkerboard puts between them. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2003; **52**: 1.
- 18** Gupta S, Thapar MM, Wernsdorfer WH et al. *In vitro* interactions of artemisinin with atovaquone, quinine, and mefloquine against *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002; **46**: 1510–5.
- 19** Ménez C, Buysse M, Besnard M et al. Interaction between miltefosine and amphotericin B: consequences for their activities towards intestinal epithelial cells and *Leishmania donovani* promastigotes *in vitro*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2006; **50**: 3793–800.
- 20** Seifert K, Munday J, Syeda T et al. *In vitro* interactions between sitamaquine and amphotericin B, sodium stibogluconate, miltefosine, paromomycin and pentamidine against *Leishmania donovani*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2011; **66**: 850–4.