WHAT IS ANOPHELES ALLOPHA (LUTZ & PERYASSÚ, 1921) (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE)?

RICARDO LOURENÇO-DE-OLIVEIRA & LEONIDAS M. DEANE

We regard Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) allopha (Lutz & Peryassú, 1921) as a nomen nudum in view of the ambiguities in its original description, as explained in this note.

In their recent work on the Anopheline mosquitoes of the Amazon Region, Faran & Linthicum (1981) divided Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albitarsis Lynch Arribálzaga, 1878 in two species: An. albitarsis and An. allopha (Lutz & Peryassú, 1921), the first being restricted to the South-East of South America and the second extending from Guatemala to a few degrees below the Tropic of Capricorn. An. allopha has also been used in a later publication (Clark-Gil & Darsie, 1983), and before it is adopted by other workers we think it appropriate to point out that this name cannot be used, for the following reasons.

An. allopha was described, from Rio de Janeiro, in 1921 by Lutz & Peryassú (In Peryassú, 1921) as Cellia allopha when species with some morphological characters coincident with those of the present subgenus Nyssorhynchus Blanchard, 1902, were placed in the genus Cellia Theobald, 1902. Later, Cellia became a subgenus of Anopheles, morphologically different from Nyssorhynchus and restricted to the African Continent.

In the original description of allopha it is stated that in the abdominal tergites there are creamish scales forming triangles, the species being close to argyritarsis but differing by being slightly paler and by lacking the lateral tufts of scales on the abdomen. This absence of tufts of scales is also a character given in a dichotomous key by Peryassú (1921) to separate allopha from the other Brazilian anophelines known at the time. In an illustration that accompanies the original description, the abdominal tergites show clearly the median patches of scales and the absence of the lateral tufts. The wing in the figure is undoubtedly of the subgenus Nyssorhynchus (sixth vein white with a small black spot near each extremity), but the absence of the lateral tufts excludes it from this subgenus, while the presence of the dorsal scales excludes it from the subgenus Myzorhynchella Theobald, 1907, in which both the tufts and the dorsal scales are lacking. Therefore, by the combination of its wing markings and abdominal scaling, allopha cannot be placed in any of the subgenera of American anophelines.

After the description of allopha several specialists were unable to define it. Bonne (1924) and Dyar (1925) regarded it as a synonym of argyritarsis, and Christophers (1924) as a variety of this species. Root (1926), while studying the Nyssorhynchus, hesitated to regard allopha as a synonym of argyritarsis stating that "The reference to this species of Peryassú's 'Cellia allopha' is questionable, since I was not able to examine the types of Peryassú's species. Of species identified as 'allopha' by Peryassú and by men he had trained, a majority belonged to the present species (argyritarsis), although some badly-rubbed specimens of albitarsis and of darlingi were also included". In 1928 Costa Lima did not envisage allopha as a valid species, proposing that this name should not be used even as a variety of argyritarsis. In the same year Dyar placed allopha as a synonym of argyritarsis, and Shannon & Davis (1930) and Shannon (1933) did not include allopha among the synonyms of any of the anophelines known at the time. However, for Souza Pinto (1934) allopha would be a synonym of argyritarsis, and for Lane (1953), of albitarsis.

The authors of allopha did not designate a type for the species. As both of them had been on the staff of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute we tried to locate specimens of this species in the Entomological Collection of the Institute: we only found one vial, in Lutz's collection, with four pinned specimens labelled Cellia allopha, but they all were Anopheles parvus (Chagas, 1907), a species belonging to the subgenus Myzorhynchella and known before allopha was described.

Since long ago several workers have pointed out the fact that An. albitarsis, one of the vectors of human malaria in various parts of South America, is a polytypic or a markedly polymorphic species (Ayroza Galvão, 1940; Ayroza Galvão & Damasceno, 1944; Deane, Causey & Deane, 1948; Forattini, 1962; Kreutzer, Kitzmiller & Rabbani, 1976; Iglesias, Nascimento & Oliveira, 1981). However, even if albitarsis is a complex of cryptic species, the name allopha could not be used to designate one of them.

For the reasons above we think it suitable to regard Anopheles allopha (Lutz & Peryassú, 1921) as a nomen nudum.

RESUMO

Consideramos Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) allopha (Lutz & Peryassú, 1921) como nomen nudum em vista das ambigüidades existentes na descrição original, conforme explicamos nesta nota.

REFERENCES

- AYROZA GALVÃO, A.L., 1940. Contribuição ao conhecimento dos anofelinos do grupo Nyssorhynchus de São Paulo e regiões vizinhas (Diptera, Culicidae). Arq. Zool, São Paulo, 1:399-484.
- AYROZA GALVÃO, A.L. & DAMASCENO, R.G., 1944. Observações sobre anofelinos do complexo albitarsis (Diptera, Culicidae). An. Fac. Med. Univ. São Paulo, 20:73-87.
- BONNE, C., 1924. Remarques sur les Cellia sud-américaines. Bull. Soc. Path. Exot., 17:132-137.
- CHRISTOPHERS, S.R., 1924. Provisional list and reference catalogue of the Anophelini. Ind. Med. Res. Mem., 3:1-105.
- CLARK-GIL, S. & DARSIE, R.F., 1983. The mosquitoes of Guatemala. Their identification, distribution and bionomics, with keys to adult females and larvae in English and Spanish. Mosq. Syst., 15:151-284.
- COSTA LIMA, A., 1928. Sobre algumas anophelinas encontradas no Brasil. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, Supl. 3:91-113.
- DEANE, L.M.; CAUSEY, O.R. & DEANE, M.P., 1948. Notas sobre a distribuição e a biologia dos anofelinos das regiões Nordestina e Amazônica do Brasil. Rev. Serv. Esp. Saúde Públ., 1:827-965.
- DYAR, H.G., 1925. The mosquitoes of Panama. Ins. Ins. Mens., 13:101-195.
- DYAR, H.G., 1928. The mosquitoes of the Americas. Carnegie Institution of Washington, publ. n. 387, 616 pp., 418 fgs.
- FARAN, M.E. & LINTHICUM, K.J., 1981. A handbook of the Amazonian species of Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosq. Syst., 13:1-81.
- FORATTINI, O.P., 1962. Entomologia Médica. Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Vol. I, 662 pp.
- IGLESIAS, R.R.; NASCIMENTO, L.P. & OLIVEIRA, A.C., 1981. Anopheles albitarsis domesticus como vetor de malária. Ciência e Cultura, Supl. 7:707.
- LANE, J., 1953. Neotropical Culicidae. Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Vol. I, 548 pp.
- KREUTZER, R.D.; KITZMILLER, J.B. & RABBANI, M.G., 1976. Cytogenetically distinguishable sympatric and allopatric populations of the mosquito Anopheles albitarsis. Acta Amazônica, 6:473-481.
- PERYASSÚ, A.G., 1921. Os anophelineos do Brasil. Archs. Mus. Nac., Rio de Janeiro, 23:9-99.
- ROOT, F.M., 1926. Studies on Brazilian mosquitoes. I. The Anophelines of the Nyssorhynchus group. Am. J. Hyg., 6:684-717.
- SHANNON, R.C., 1933. Anophelines of the Amazon Valley. Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash., 35:117-143.
- SHANNON, R.C. & DAVIS, N.C., 1930. Observation on the Anophelini (Culicidae) of Bahia. Brazil. Ann. Ent. Soc. Am., 23:467-505.
- SOUZA PINTO, G.A., 1934. Os anophelinos do Brasil. Folha Médica, 17 e 18:193-198 e 206-213.