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Abstract. Mucosal leishmaniasis (ML) is often clinically silent until reaching a highly advanced state. In this prospective study, 6 of 220 patients with early cutaneous leishmaniasis were diagnosed with mucosal involvement by otorhinolaryngological examination (a rate similar to the reported rate of late ML). Detection of early ML may represent an important strategy in preventing severe mucosal destruction in human leishmaniasis.

INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is endemic in several countries. Mucosal leishmaniasis (ML) usually presents as a severe form of disease affecting mainly the anterior nasal region and occasionally the pharynx and larynx. ML is potentially lethal if not adequately treated. In the majority of cases, the mucosal disease occurs after the cutaneous lesions, and the diagnosis of mucosal involvement is established only months to years after a clinical cure of the initial cutaneous site of infection. ML is not normally recognized by the patient until partial destruction of the nose mucosa and/or cartilage occurs. Clinical diagnosis of the early-stage mucosal disease is uncommon and is generally a casual finding observed during routine physical examination of patients with current or past cutaneous lesions. There are few reported cases of mucosal lesions that are detected at the same time as the initial cutaneous lesion, and the frequency of such cases is unknown. In this study, we performed a careful otorhinolaryngological examination in 220 consecutive CL cases as a strategy for early ML detection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and clinical evaluation. From November 2000 to June 2002, 220 consecutive patients with CL were evaluated at our field clinic in the municipality of Jequié (Bahia State). All patients were submitted to physical examination, including dermal and otorhinolaryngological exams. The diagnostic criteria for CL included clinical examination, Montenegro skin test (MST), serology, histopathological evaluation, and parasite isolation. After anterior rhinoscopy, oropharynx exam, and mirror laryngoscopy, patients with suspicious lesions were submitted for a fiberoptic exam. Patients with active primary localized CL (without scar from a previous cutaneous infection) and with simultaneous and distant mucosal involvement (hyperemia, edema, erosion, and/or granulomatous aspect at the oral, pharyngeal, and/or laryngeal mucosa) were classified as a concomitant mucocutaneous form. Cases of concomitant disease were confirmed by the presence of Leishmania at the mucosal site (immunohistochemistry and parasite isolation in hamsters) as well as by clinical response to antimonial therapy. Patients were treated with antimonials.
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facturer’s instructions. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed as previously described.\textsuperscript{10}

RESULTS

Six of 220 patients diagnosed with CL concomitantly presented with ML disease (3 men and 3 women; mean age, 36 years). Table 1 summarizes the clinical data from these patients. None of them had a prior history of leishmaniasis or presented with a cutaneous scar. Mucosal lesions were restricted to the nose in five patients, and the otolaryngological examination revealed localized lesions with hyperemia, edema, erosion, and/or granulomatous aspect, without destruction of the anterior nasal cartilage (Figure 1). Only one patient presented with pharyngeal and laryngeal involvement and had a nasal septal perforation. All concomitant mucocutaneous patients had four or fewer lesions on their extremities and/or face, and four of them had < 30 days of cutaneous disease (Table 1). Regional lymphadenopathy was present in four of six concomitant patients with leishmaniasis. Lymphadenopathy was not predictive of mucosal lesions. In two patients, lymph nodes were cervical; in the others, lymph nodes were axillary and inguinal.

None of the 214 patients with only CL presented with any mucosal lesions. Five concomitant patients with CL and ML were biopsied. One patient did not consent to the biopsy procedure, and this case was confirmed by clinical examination, serology, MST, and clinical response to antimonial therapy (Table 1, patient E). There was no case of other concomitant infection or mucosal disease, and none of the patients had a history of intranasal cocaine use. None of them had immunosuppressive conditions, and the lesions healed with medical treatment.

MST was negative in only one patient whose first cutaneous lesion had appeared only 20 days before examination. This patient’s serological exam was positive, and large numbers of parasites were visible on the histopathological and immunohistochemical examinations. Anti-leishmania antibody titers were positive in 60% of the concomitant patients with CL and ML.

Parasites were detected by histopathology and immunohistochemistry in tissue samples from three of five concomitant patients with CL and ML. Parasites from four patients with ML concomitant leishmaniasis were isolated by culture, and this resulted in a positive diagnosis in all of these patients. Parasite strains were characterized as \textit{Leishmania braziliensis} by PCR.

DISCUSSION

The finding of initial stage mucosal leishmaniasis is unusual, considering the usually late diagnosis of the disease. Early mucosal lesions were previously described as a slight edematous swelling and reddening, as well as ulcers of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)/sex</th>
<th>Number and location of cutaneous lesions</th>
<th>Time with disease</th>
<th>Location and characteristic of mucosal lesion</th>
<th>MST</th>
<th>Serology IFI</th>
<th>Histopathology/IMH</th>
<th>Parasite isolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>24/F</td>
<td>Three Right upper limb</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td>Septum and left inferior turbinate/edema and erosion</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>One Left lower limb</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Anterior nasal septum and right inferior turbinate/edema and erosion</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>1/80</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>Two Left lower limb</td>
<td>08 months</td>
<td>Anterior septum, left inferior turbinate, oral cavity, pharynx, larynx/granulomatous aspect, mucosal ulceration and edema, nasal septal perforation</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>1/160</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>19/F</td>
<td>One Right lower limb</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>Inferior turbinates/small erythematous lesions</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>16/F</td>
<td>Four Frontal region and limb</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Anterior nasal septum and inferior turbinate/hyperemia, edema and erosion</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>1/80</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>71/M</td>
<td>One Left lower limb</td>
<td>08 months</td>
<td>Anterior nasal septum/hyperemia and granulomatous aspect</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>NP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MST, Montenegro skin test; IFI, indirect immunofluorescence; NP, not performed.
septum’s mucosa and inferior cornet. Here, we have shown that four of six patients presented with concomitant mucosal and cutaneous disease with < 30 days of disease progression. In addition, these patients displayed mucosal lesions similar to those reported by others (1) (Figure 1). To our knowledge, this is the first endoscopic image documentation of an early mucosal lesion caused by L. braziliensis. All the patients were followed up for 5–11 months after treatment, and we did not observe any active mucosal lesions after antimonial therapy.

Simultaneous CL and ML lesions were previously described by others. Nevertheless, these studies did not report if the cutaneous ulcers were the primary site of infection. In that case, secondary cutaneous lesions caused by reactivation or reinfection were also probably included. Furthermore, most of these reports did not show the presence of parasites, reinforcing the idea that advanced cases of ML were largely represented in that series of patients. We obtained a high level of positive parasite detection, which is in sharp contrast to the difficulty in isolating parasites from late mucosal disease. Although parasites have not been typed in all 220 CL cases, we and others have previously shown that L. braziliensis is highly prevalent in the field study area.

In 1922, Klots and Lindenberg studied four concomitant patients with primary CL and ML and suggested that, to detect early stages of mucosal lesion, a systemic search of the nose must be made in all cases of skin leishmaniasis. In contrast to our study, they found parasitological confirmation in only one patient. A possible explanation for these differences is that, in addition to the isolation of Leishmania parasites after inoculation in hamsters, we used PCR, a highly sensitive method for diagnosis of CL and ML cases.

In this series, the prevalence of concomitant cutaneous and mucosal disease at the initial simultaneous manifestation of leishmaniasis was 2.7%. It is possible that many cases of cutaneous disease later involving mucosal lesions had already presented nasal alterations at the time of diagnosis of the primary lesions. The identification of those cases shows the importance of routine evaluation of the nasal mucosa in all patients with CL and suggests that metastatic mucosal lesions can already be identified during primary cutaneous disease.

Received December 20, 2005. Accepted for publication April 25, 2006.

Financial support: This study was supported by CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) and FAPESB (Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia) grants. V.B. received doctorate fellowship from CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), Brazil. A.B., J.C. and M.B.-N. are senior investigators from CNPq. We thank Eliane Goes Nascimento and members of the Centro de Referências para Doenças Endêmicas Pirajá da Silva for support in endemic area.

Disclosure: There are no commercial or other associations that might pose a conflict of interest.

Authors’ addresses: Vivane S. Boaventura, Virginia Cafe, Jackson Costa, Fabiano Oliveira, Andre Baica, Andrea Rosato, Luiz A, R. de Freitas, Claudia Brodsky, Manoel Barral-Netto, and Aldina Barral, Centro de Pesquisas Gonçalo Muniz Rua Waldemar Falcão, 121 Candeal, CEP 40296-710, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.

Reprint requests: Prof. Aldina Barral, Centro de Pesquisas Gonçalo Muniz, Rua Waldemar Falcão, 121, Candeal CEP 40296-710 Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. E-mail: abarral@cpqgm.fiocruz.br.

REFERENCES


