Annals of Hepatology

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

May-June, Vol. 14 No. 3, 2015: 310-316

The fatigue impact scale for daily use in patients with hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus chronic infections

Karine Miranda-Pettersen,* Mychelle Morais-de-Jesus,* Renato Daltro-Oliveira, † Adriana Dantas Duarte Dias, † Carlos Teles, § Maria Isabel Schinoni, | | Ângela Miranda-Scippa, *,†,‡ Raymundo Paraná, *,‡ Lucas C. Quarantini*,†,‡

* Programa de Pós-graduação em Medicina e Saúde, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. † Departamento de Neurociências e Saúde Mental, Faculdade de Medicina da Bahia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. [‡] University Hospital-Psychiatry Service, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. § Departamento de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Feira de Santana, Bahia, Brazil. University Hospital-Hepatology Service, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

Introduction. Fatigue is an important clinical finding in the hepatitis virus chronic infection. However, the absence of scales to measure fatigue, translated and validated for Brazilian Portuguese, prevents access to information essential in clarifying specific clinical conditions in this population. Aim. The aim of this study was to determine the psychometric properties of the fatigue impact scale for daily use (D-FIS), in Brazilian Portuguese, for patients with the hepatitis C virus (HCV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) chronic infection. Material and methods. In this cross-sectional study, the authors evaluated the D-FIS in 101 outpatients, followed at the reference hospital. The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview Brazilian (MINI PLUS) was used to identify psychiatric disorders, and the Short Form Health Survey 36-item (SF-36) to evaluate the self-reported quality of life. We also examined the impact of fatigue on the quality of life of this group of patients. Results. Relevant psychometric D-FIS results were: floor effect proved to be 1%; skewness was 0.46; item homogeneity was 0.59 and SEM (SD = 8.51) was 2.4. The Cronbach's alpha was 0.920 and itemtotal correlation yielded coefficients ranging from 0.65 (item 1) to 0.85 (item 3). In a linear regression model, fatigue and depression influenced the self-reported quality of life. Conclusion. This study presents that the fatigue scale for daily use in Brazilian Portuguese can be considered a useful tool to verify the presence of fatigue in patients with the hepatitis viruses B and C.

Key words. Psychometric properties. Daily fatigue impact scale. Chronic viruses hepatitis.

INTRODUCTION

Fatigue is an important clinical finding in different pathologies. It is a feeling of tiredness or exhaustion combined with impairment in the ability to perform daily activities and to find solutions in the absence of the usual strategies to recover energy, thereby negatively impacting quality of life. Thus, the study of fatigue becomes extremely challenging,

Correspondence and reprint request: Karine Miranda-Pettersen, BA, MsC. Hospital Universitário Professor Edgard Santos, Serviço de Psiguiatria, 3° andar, 40110-060 Salvador, BA, Brazil.

Fax: +55 71 30234111

E-mail: kamirandas@yahoo.com.br; quarantini@gmail.com

Manuscript received: August 11, 2014. Manuscript accepted: October 28, 2014. as fatigue presents itself as a symptom of both mental disorders and physical illnesses, despite presenting different characteristics. Because fatigue is a subjective phenomenon, with multifactorial and little known etiology and phenomenology, and its expression involves physical, cognitive and emotional axes, authors have difficulty in coming to a consensus on its definition.^{2,3}

Fatigue is characterized by physical symptoms such as lack of energy, tiredness, weakness, drowsiness, and decreased capacity for physical activity; cognitive symptoms such as reduced concentration and attention and slower thinking; and emotional symptoms such as reduced interest and motivation, boredom and the aversion to effort. Because of this variety of presentations, fatigue has been described as a clinical syndrome, or even a single symptom,⁴ that may be caused by a disease or infection process,

or a side effect of the treatment with influenza medications, interferon or other chronic disease medications such as cancer, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and multiple sclerosis.⁵

Fatigue has been identified as very frequent in patients with chronic hepatitis C. In a study by Hassoun, et al., ⁶ which posits that 3% of the population worldwide are carriers of hepatitis C, fatigue was reported by 67% of patients, and cited by 49% as one of the worst symptoms, if not the worst. Moreover, in hepatitis C, fatigue is associated with depression and significantly limits work performance ⁷ and quality of life, especially in the areas of physical dimensions and social relations. ⁸

The self-reported experience of fatigue has not been associated with the severity of liver disease caused or not by hepatitis C virus (HCV), the level of viremia or disease duration.^{2,9} Meanwhile, research indicates that patients with HCV frequently associate other factors as having a significant role in the experience of fatigue, such as social functioning, psychological disorders, socioeconomic status, cognitive impairment and non-liver-related physiological factors.¹⁰

The fatigue impact scale (FIS)¹¹ is a multidimensional scale and has proven to be a robust tool in investigating the impact of fatigue on the quality of life of various groups of patients. It has been one of the most widely used tools, translated and validated in 30 languages, and there now exists modified versions, such as the modified FIS (MFIS), the daily FIS (D-FIS), the unidimensional FIS and the abbreviated MFIS.¹²

The D-FIS was developed to assess daily changes in fatigue^{3,5} in individuals with various physical ailments, and has already been validated for patients with Parkinson's disease¹³ and multiple sclerosis,¹⁴ in English and Spanish. It presents high internal consistency and constructs validity and sensitivity to change. However, the absence of scales to measure fatigue, translated and validated for Brazilian Portuguese, prevents access to information essential in clarifying specific clinical conditions for this target population. Therefore, studies directed toward the adequacy of instruments that can contribute to further development in this area are of great importance.

AIM

The aim of this study was to determine the psychometric properties of the D-FIS, in Brazilian Portuguese, for patients with the HCV and hepatitis B

virus (HBV) chronic infection, followed at the reference hospital.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

All consecutive patients (n = 101) diagnosed with HCV and HBV, over 18 years old, evaluated from June 2010 to May 2011 in the outpatient clinic of the Hepatology Service-University Hospital, at Federal University of Bahia, Brazil were investigated in this cross-sectional design study. The research included subjects from various stages of the patient evolution of the illness, such as: subjects during interferon plus ribavirin treatment, naïve patients and patients after treatment failed. Four trained researchers applied a protocol, consisting of a questionnaire with socio-demographic and clinical data, the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview Brazilian Version 5.0.0 (MINI PLUS), 15 used for identification of psychiatric disorders, the D-FIS,⁵ and the Short Form Health Survey 36-item $(SF-36).^{16}$

D-FIS was developed by means of Rasch analysis, which selects appropriate scale items for evaluation and was validated by a sample of 93 subjects with flu-like illnesses. It is a self-reported questionnaire that consists of 8 items, each describing one possible experience of fatigue. Patients are asked to rate the extent to which fatigue has been a problem for them in regard to each of these items, on a scale ranging from 0 (no problem) to 4 (extreme problem).⁵

The D-FIS was translated into Portuguese by two independent people fluent in English and aware of the purpose of this study. After that, a back translation to English was performed by a native English speaker. Because there was not a gold standard for fatigue assessment in Brazilian Portuguese, we used the SF-36, a reliable and valid quality of life outcome measure in Brazil. 16 It is a multidimensional questionnaire consisting of 36 items, grouped within 8 domains. For this study, we used the domains 'physical functioning' consisting of ten items, 'Role limitations due to physical health' consisting of four items, 'role limitations due to emotional problems' consisting of three items and 'vitality and energy' consisting of four items. This instrument was chosen because the categorization of fatigue is consistent with the general constructs measured by these four domains of the SF-36 scale.

This research was considered a Class I Hazard, or low risk for the individual and collective, and followed the Guidelines and Standards Resolution 196/ 96 as well as the Helsinki Declaration of 1989 on Research Involving Human Subjects. The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (CEP-MCO-UFBA, Number: 14/2002). The subjects participated in the study only after being made aware of the objectives and procedures of the study and after voluntarily agreeing to participate in the study, signing an Informed Consent form.

Statistics

All data were analyzed using the Data Analysis and Statistical Software STATA Version 11. To investigate the underlying structure of the scale, the responses of the total sample (n = 101) were subjected to a principal-component analysis with varimax rotation. From the factor analysis of items in the scale of fatigue, two components were defined as mainly responsible for the overall change of scale: factor 1 (F1) represented by physical fatigue, and factor 2 (F2) cognitive fatigue. From the extraction of these factors, we carried out the calculation of Pearson's correlations. All correlations were statistically significant at p < 0.05. We calculated the coefficient of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's sphericity test, and the measure of commonality. The internal consistency of the FIS-D was evaluated with Cronbach's alpha. Because of multiple comparisons, results were considered statistically significant when P < 0.01.

RESULTS

The descriptive analysis of the socio-demographic data of the patients is presented in table 1. About 20.7% of the patients presented HBV infection; 73.2% of patients presented HCV infection and just 2.9% presented HBV and HCV infection. Regarding the severity of liver disease and the degree of fibrosis, all patients are A1F1. Marital status, type of viral infection, ethnic group, occupation and eligibility for transplant were unrelated to fatigue. Women and depressed patients showed increased fatigue levels (P < 0.05).

The comparability between HCV and HBV subjects was tested before all validation analyses. Both groups were similar in socio-demographic and clinical variables and the analysis as only one group, HCV plus HBV was feasible.

Metric properties of the D-FIS

To examine the underlying structure of the scale, a principal-component analysis was performed with all data (n = 101). Two components with eigenvalues equal to or greater than 1.0 were extracted, which explained 77.1% of the total variance of the scale. The existing names of the subscales were used to label these components: physical and cognitive factors. The psychosocial factor presented eigenvalues less than 1.0. The results of the varimax rotation final factor are represented in table 2. The required item-factor loading higher than 0.700 was found in all items and was considered significant. The second item, assessing the impact of fatigue on the 'need to reduce the workload or responsibilities', was assigned to the physical factor, although it is part of the psychosocial subscale. We used the coefficient of Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin = 0.82 and Bartlett's sphericity test with P < 0.0001, with the results indicating that the correlations between items of fatigue met the assumptions of factor analysis. The measure of commonality presents values above 0.60 which indicates that the variables of the scale of fatigue are well explained by factors generated.

The D-FIS mean (9.81; SD=8.5) was close to the median (9). Insofar as distribution data was concerned, floor and ceiling effects proved to be 1% and 19.8% (limits: 1-15%), respectively. Skewness for the total D-FIS score was 0.46. Item distribution of

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

	N (prevalence %)	Р
Gender		
Female	44 (43.5)	< 0.02*
Male	57 (56.4)	
Marital status		
Single	27 (26.7)	< 0.16
Married	57 (56.4)	
Divorced	10 (9.9)	
Widowed	5 (4.9)	
Ethnic group		
White	23 (22.7)	< 0.65
Not white	73 (75.2)	
Transplant		
Eligible	14 (13.8)	< 0.65
Not eligible	87 (86.1)	
Depressive episode		
Absent	85 (84.2)	< 0.0001*
Present	16 (15.8)	

^{*} Correlation significant at 0.05 (2-tailed). † HBV: hepatitis B virus. ‡ HCV: hepatitis C virus.

response options was considerably homogeneous, with mean scores ranging from 0.81 (item 5) to 1.65 (item 4), variances from 1.4 (item 5) to 2.1 (item 4), and a standard error of means from 0.11 to 0.14. Item total correlation yielded coefficients ranging from 0.65 (item 1) to 0.85 (item 3) (Table 3).

The internal consistency analysis is shown in Table 3. The overall Cronbach's alpha was 0.920, with 0.911 for physical and 0.891 for cognitive factors of the scale. A Cronbach's alpha coefficient value 0.70 was considered the lowest acceptable limit. The Cronbach's alpha of each item deleted also presents results lower than the overall Cronbach's alpha. These results indicate high internal validity and homogeneity of D-FIS, because these items are not redundant, and therefore, necessary for the homogeneity of the scale. Inter-item correlation coefficients attained values from 0.38 (items 1-7) to 0.8 (items 4-8), and item homogeneity was 0.59. The sensitivity SEM (SD = 8.51)

was 2.4. Sensitivity is the type of internal responsiveness to change and is defined as the capacity of a measure to change in a determined lapse of time and may be computed as standard error of measurement. In the case of the application of an effective treatment, the sensitivity to change depends on two elements: the measure used to assess the treatment and the treatment itself. ^{18,19}

Pearson's correlations of levels of fatigue versus the four components of quality of life (Table 4) present a weak negative correlation between cognitive fatigue (F2) and areas of limitation due to physical functioning and vitality. Physical fatigue (F1) had moderate negative correlations with the four domains of quality of life assessed, as well as cognitive fatigue, which also had a moderately negative correlation with the areas of functional capacity and limitation by emotional aspects. All correlations were statistically significant at p < 0.05.

Table 2. Principal component factor analysis with varimax rotation.

Component	Fact	Commonalities	
	Physical (F1)	Cognitive (F2)	
Physical effort	0.833	0.338	0.808
Reduced workload	0.830	0.216	0.736
Less motivated	0.796	0.433	0.821
Physical activities	0.786	0.330	0.727
Less alert	0.753	0.230	0.620
Slow thinking	0.288	0.877	0.852
Finishing tasks	0.298	0.849	0.810
Making decisions	0.332	0.828	0.796
Eigenvalues	5.142	1.027	
Pecentage of variance explained	64.272	12.839	
Total variance explained (%)	77	.111	

Extraction method: principal component analysis. Rotation method: varimax with Kaiser Normalization (factor loading higher than 0.700 was considered significant). F1: factor 1. F2: factor 2.

Table 3. Internal consistency analysis of fatigue impact scale for daily use (FIS-D): Cronbach's alpha if item deleted.

Item	Component	Total score	Fac	ITC	
	·		Physical (F1)	Cognitive (F2)	
1	Less alert	0.916	0.917		0.65
2	Reduced workload	0.912	0.913		0.71
3	Less motivated	0.900	0.872		0.85
4	Physical effort	0.904	0.874		0.81
5	Making decisions	0.912		0.854	0.71
6	Finishing tasks	0.913		0.854	0.69
7	Slow thinking	0.912		0.825	0.70
8	Physical activities	0.908	0.880		0.75
	Total by factor		0.911	0.891	
	Overall total	0.920			

F1: factor 1. F2: factor 2. ITC: item-total correlation.

Table 4. Pearson's correlations of levels of fatigue vs. components of quality of life.

	Overall fatigue		Physical fatigue (F1)		Cognitive fatigue (F2)	
	Pearson's correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	Pearson's correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)	Pearson's correlation	Sig. (2-tailed)
Physical health						
Physical functioning	- 0.56**	0.0000	-0.46**	0.0000	-0.33**	0.0001
Physical role	- 0.45**	0.0000	-0.42**	0.0000	-0.19	0.052
Bodily pain	- 0.43**	0.0000				
General health	- 0.38**	0.0001				
Mental health						
Vitality	- 0.60**	0.0000	-0.53**	0.0000	-0.29**	0.004
Emotional role	- 0.38**	0.0001	-0.20*	0.041	-0.36**	0.0000
Social functioning	- 0.65**	0.0000				
Mental health	- 0.42**	0.00001				

^{*}Correlation significant at 0.05 (2-tailed). **Correlation significant at 0.01 (2-tailed). F1: factor 1. F2: factor 2.

Table 5. Linear regression-fatigue as an explanatory variable for quality of life, adjusted for diagnosis of major depression.

SF-36 domains	Crude difference			Adjusted difference			$\Delta\%$
	Coef.	р	Adj	Coef.	р	Adj	
	(Std. Err)		R-squared	(Std. Err)		R-squared	
Physical health							
Physical Functioning	-1.56 (0.23)	0.000	0.31	-1.38 (0.24)	0.000	0.33	11.39
Physical Role	-2.31 (0.46)	0.000	0.19	-2.14 (0.49)	0.000	0.19	7.13
Bodily Pain	-1.77 (0.24)	0.000	0.35	-1.31 (0.23)	0.000	0.49	26.37
General Health	-1.79 (0.43)	0.000	0.14	-1.26 (0.45)	0.006	0.21	29.69
Mental health							
Vitality	-1.46 (0.31)	0.000	0.18	-1.07 (0.32)	0.001	0.25	26.94
Emotional Role	-0.96 (0.23)	0.000	0.14	-0.71 (0.24)	0.005	0.19	26.37
Social Functioning	-2.19 (0.26)	0.000	0.41	-1.71 (0.25)	0.000	0.52	21.61
Mental Health	-1.07 (0.23)	0.000	0.17	-0.50 (0.20)	0.015	0.46	52.93

Impact of fatigue on quality of life

As shown in table 4, Pearson's correlations of levels of fatigue vs. the components of quality of life present a moderately negative correlation (r=-0.38 to -0.64, P < 0.001). These results confirm that, in our study, fatigue is inversely proportional to the subjects' quality of life perception.

Results of the linear regression analysis, which took SF-36 domains as a dependent variable, are shown in table 5. The analyses suggest that fatigue is an important independent variable, which can be a determinant of the deterioration of quality of life. However, depression was identified as an independent significant predictor or impairment of the absolute fatigue score (P < 0.01). The smallest percentage variation ($\Delta\%$) between the crude and adjusted difference, in this analysis, was 7.13% in the physical role domain, while the greatest (52.93%) appears in the mental health domain.

DISCUSSION

Despite the relevance of fatigue in the context of different chronic diseases, to our knowledge, no objective scale for its measurement is validated in Portuguese. This is the first cross-sectional study evaluating the use of D-FIS with HBV and HCV infection patients in Brazilian Portuguese. We therefore consider it important because although data is scarce, estimates indicate that in Brazil, the prevalence of HCV infection is intermediate, ranging from 1 to $2\%^{20}$ and fatigue is one of the most common complaints of patients, occurring independently of liver disease and impairing their ability to function at work or in society. 21

In the current study, the metric properties presented are the following: the mean score was near the median; skewness and ceiling effects were located within the agreed limit. The floor effects (i.e., all eight items are presented with 'no problems' by

subjects) were higher than the limit (15%), which means that 19.8% of the subjects' responses did not demonstrate goodness of fit statistics. This result may be related to the fact that most subjects in this subgroup were men (70%), who presented lower fatigue levels. Nevertheless, feasibility and acceptability were considered satisfactory, as were the scaling assumptions: item response options registered a homogeneous distribution, and item-total correlation coefficients were clearly higher than the criterion value, and close to those of previous studies.^{5,13,14} The D-FIS Cronbach's alpha (0.92) proved satisfactory even for individual comparisons^{17,22} and, once again, similar to the value obtained in the original study ($\alpha = 0.91$). Furthermore the item homogeneity value (0.59) was indicative of a moderate-to-high intercorrelation between scale components. With these results, it is possible to conclude that D-FIS internal consistency can be deemed satisfactory, just as the resulting sensitivity (SEM = 2.14) that may be used as an indicator of precision and potential responsiveness.¹⁷

In the absence of a fatigue measurement tool accepted for universal use in Brazilian Portuguese, this study administrated the SF-36, a multidimensional questionnaire for generic assessment of health, for which measurement properties such as reproducibility, validity and sensitivity to change have already been demonstrated in other studies. The results demonstrate that fatigue is associated with perceived quality of life of the components evaluated by the SF-36 scale, which was determined as the standard tool for this study.

The physical factor, extracted from D-FIS by varimax rotation, which presents the most variance (64%) explained, indicates that the D-FIS is more effective at identifying possible worsening of fatigue related to particular physical symptoms, in our sample. This fact is corroborated by the moderate association of this factor with domains related in SF-36, such as 'physical functioning', 'physical role' and 'vitality' and a weak correlation with 'emotional role'. Although the cognitive factor, which presents 13% of variance explained, has a moderate association with 'physical functioning' in SF-36, it has no association with 'physical role' even if it has a tendency to correlate. The cognitive factor, in D-FIS, also presents association with the mental health domains of SF-36. These results indicate that D-FIS is a feasible instrument for measuring related fatigue in patients with viral hepatitis.

The linear regression analysis identified the effect of depression, associated with fatigue, as a predictor of impairment of the self-reported quality of life. Depression presents a modifying effect of fatigue in all eight domains of SF-36, except those related to 'physical functioning' and 'physical role', for which it presents a confounding effect. These results suggest that the presence of depressive symptoms is associated with the increasing severity of fatigue, and its impact on mental and social aspects of the self-reported quality of life. However, we must consider that the D-FIS is a better instrument for identifying physical characteristics which have a lower correlation for depression.

In the current study, overall fatigue was associated with self-reported quality of life in all domains, especially social functioning, vitality and physical functioning. Similar results were found by Marcellin, et al.⁸ who studied depression and fatigue in 115 HIV-HCV co-infected patients.

This study has a number of limitations. The size and characteristics of this consecutive clinical sample restrict generalization of results. Furthermore, the widespread location of subjects prevented the use of a methodology that allows for calculations of other psychometric properties such as responsiveness and reproducibility. Another limitation is that the cross-sectional survey does not investigate the chronological relationship between fatigue and depression, and their interaction upon the self-reported quality of life.

It is important to emphasize that the absence of directed studies of the impact of fatigue in viral hepatitis patients' lives in Brazil could be related to the fact that there are no appropriate, widely used instruments for these patients. An appropriate instrument would allow effective targeting of therapeutic strategies aimed at physical and social relation dimensions of quality of life, which are the most affected by fatigue in our sample. Moreover, such an instrument would allow for fatigue management even before starting HCV treatment with interferon, which brings a host of side effects, including fatigue and depression.

This study concludes that the fatigue scale for daily use in Brazilian Portuguese can be considered a useful tool to verify the presence of fatigue in patients with hepatitis viruses B and C. This instrument can contribute to the future development of specific treatments to reduce the impact of fatigue on the quality of life of these patients.

ABBREVIATIONS

• **D-FIS:** fatigue impact scale for daily use.

HCV: hepatitis C virus.HBV: hepatitis B virus.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. André Lyra for his technical assistance and to Angela Burkholder de Oliveira for proofreading. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This project was partially supported by the National Council of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq): [474869/2010-5] - Edital Universal MCT/CNPq 14/2010.

REFERENCES

- Pavan K, Schmidt K, Marangoni B, Mendes MF, Tilbery CP, Lianza S. Esclerose Multipla: Adaptação transcultural e validação da escala modificada de impacto da fadiga. Arq Neuropsiguiatr 2007; 65: 669-73.
- Huet PM, Deslauriers J, Tran A, Faucher C, Charbonneau J. Impact of fatigue on the quality of life of patients with primary biliary cirrhosis. Am J Gastroenterol 2000; 95: 760-7.
- Dittner AJ, Wessely SC, Brown RG. The assessment of fatigue: a practical guide for clinicians and researchers. J Psychosom Res 2004; 56: 157-70.
- Arnold LM. Understanding fatigue in major depressive disorder and other medical disorders. *Psychosomatics* 2008; 49: 185-90.
- Fisk JD, Doble SE. Construction and validation of a fatigue impact scale for daily administration (D-FIS). Qual Life Res 2002; 11: 263-72.
- Hassoun Z, Willems B, Deslauriers J, Nguyen BN, Huet PM. Assessment of fatigue in patients with chronic hepatitis C using the Fatigue Impact Scale. *Dig Dis Sci* 2002; 47: 2674-81
- Hilsabeck RC, Hassanein TI, Perry W. Biopsychosocial predictors of fatigue in chronic hepatitis C. J Psychosom Res 2005; 58: 173-8.
- 8. Marcellin F, Preau M, Ravaux I, Dellamonica P, Spire B, Carrieri MP. Self-reported fatigue and depressive symptoms as main indicators of the quality of life (QOL) of patients living with HIV and Hepatitis C: implications for clinical

- management and future research. HIV Clin Trials 2007; 8: 320-7.
- 9. Prince MI, James OFW, Holland NP, Jones DEJ. Validation of a fatigue impact score in primary biliary cirrhosis: towards a standard for clinical and trial use. *J Hepatol* 2000; 32: 368-73.
- Seaman K, Paterson BL, Vallis M, Hirsch G, Peltekian KM. Future directions for investigation of fatigue in chronic hepatitis C viral infection. *Chronic Illness* 2009; 5: 115-28.
- 11. Fisk JD, Ritvo PG, Ross L, Haase DA, Marrie TJ, Schlech WF. Measuring the functional impact of fatigue: initial validation of the fatigue impact scale. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 1994; 18: S79.
- 12. Frith J, Newton J. Fatigue impact scale. *Occupational Medicine* 2010; 60: 159.
- Martinez-Martin P, Catalan MJ, Benito-Leon J, Moreno AO, Zamarbide I, Cubo E, van Blercon N, et al. Impact of fatigue in Parkinson's disease: the Fatigue Impact Scale for Daily Use (D-FIS). Qual Life Res 2006; 15: 597-606.
- 14. Benito-Leon J, Martinez-Martin P, Frades B, Martinez-Gines ML, de Andres C, Meca-Lallana JE, Antiguedad AR, et al. Impact of fatigue in multiple sclerosis: the Fatigue Impact Scale for Daily Use (D-FIS). *Mult Scler* 2007; 13: 645-51.
- Amorim P. Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): validação de entrevista breve para diagnóstico de transtornos mentais. Rev Bras Psiguiatr 2000; 22: 106-15.
- Ciconelli RM, Ferraz MB, Santos W, Meinão I, Quaresma MR. Brazilian-Portuguese version of the SF-36. A reliable and valid quality of life outcome measure. Rev Bras Reumatol 1999; 39: 143-50.
- Lohr KN. Assessing health status and quality-of-life instruments: attributes and review criteria. Quality of Life Research 2002; 11: 193-205.
- Husted JA, Cook RJ, Farewell VT, Gladman DD. Methods for assessing responsiveness: a critical review and recommendations. J Clin Epidemiol 2000; 53: 459-68.
- Garcia de Yebenes Prous MJ, Salvanes FR, Ortells LC. Responsiveness of outcome measures. Reumatologia Clinica [English edition] 2008; 4: 240-7.
- Martins T, Narciso-Schiavon JLUZ, Schiavon LDEL. Epidemiologia da infeccao pelo virus da hepatite C. CEP:88704:900.
- Karaivazoglou K, Iconomou G, Triantos C, Hyphantis T, Thomopoulos K, Lagadinou M, Gogos C, et al. Fatigue and depressive symptoms associated with chronic viral hepatitis patients. health-related quality of life (HRQOL). *Ann Hepatol* 2010; 9: 419-27.
- 22. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistics notes: Cronbach's alpha. *BMJ* 1997; 314: 572.