JCM Accepts published online ahead of print

1	SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY OF IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION FOR THE
2	DIAGNOSIS OF CUTANEOUS INFECTION BY Leishmania infantum IN DOGS
3	
4	Running title: In situ hybridization of <i>L. infantum</i> in dogs
5	
6	Rodrigo C. Menezes, ^{a#} Fabiano B. Figueiredo, ^a Annabel G. Wise, ^b Maria F. Madeira, ^c Raquel V
7	C. Oliveira, d Tânia M. P. Schubach, Matti Kiupel, and Ingeborg M. Langohr
8	
9	Laboratório de Pesquisa Clínica em Dermatozoonoses em Animais Domésticos, Instituto de
10	Pesquisa Clínica Evandro Chagas, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazila;
11	Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michigan
12	State University, Lansing, Michigan, USA ^b ; Laboratório de Vigilância em Leishmanioses,
13	Instituto de Pesquisa Clínica Evandro Chagas, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ,
14	Brazil ^e ; and Laboratório de Epidemiologia Clínica, Instituto de Pesquisa Clínica Evandro
15	Chagas, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil ^d
16	
17	
18	#Address correspondence to Rodrigo C. Menezes, rodrigo.menezes@ipec.fiocruz.br
19	
20	
21	
22	

ABSTRACT

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

An accurate diagnosis of infection by Leishmania infantum in dogs is fundamental for the control of zoonotic visceral leishmaniasis (VL). Histopathology (HP) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) are frequently used for the histological diagnosis of L. infantum in dogs, but have shown limited accuracy. To improve the sensitivity and specificity of the histological diagnosis of VL, we evaluated automated in situ hybridization (ISH) using a generic probe for Leishmania and a specific probe for L. infantum in surgical skin biopsies of dogs. The ISH results were compared with those of HP and IHC, using parasitological culture as the reference standard. Samples of skin from 51 dogs with cutaneous L. infantum infection and 51 non-infected dogs were randomly selected from samples of dogs from various cities in Brazil where canine VL is endemic. These samples were processed for parasitological culture, HP, IHC and ISH using both probes. The sensitivities of ISH using the specific probe, ISH using the generic probe, IHC and HP were, respectively, 74.5%, 70.6%, 69.5% and 57.6%. The specificity of both ISH probes tested was 100% and there was no cross-hybridization of the generic and specific probes with selected pathogenic fungi and protozoa. The specific probe discriminated L. infantum from the other species of Leishmania that infect dogs in the New World. ISH is highly sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of L. infantum in histologic samples of skin from infected dogs and can be used on routine biopsy material to make a diagnosis of leishmaniasis.

41

42

43

44

45

INTRODUCTION

Leishmaniasis is a worldwide disease caused by protozoa of the genus *Leishmania*, which infect wild and domestic mammals including humans (38). The spectrum of clinical forms of leishmaniasis can vary from focal cutaneous to disseminated visceral disease (38). There are

47 L. arabica and L. tropica in the Old World, and L. infantum, L. colombiensis, L. panamensis, L. 48 ICM Accepts published online ahead of print 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 fundamental for the control of zoonotic VL transmission. 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67

46

68

mexicana, L. braziliensis, L. peruviana, L. pifanoi and L. amazonensis in the New World (7, 8, 9, 11, 24, 34, 38). Although many species of *Leishmania* infect dogs, dogs are considered a proven reservoir only for L. infantum (7, 38). The species L. infantum can also infect humans, cats and wild mammals and is the cause of zoonotic visceral leishmaniasis (VL) (7, 21, 38). In many countries, zoonotic VL constitutes a significant public health problem, especially due to its prevalence, high mortality rates, mainly in children, and emergency rate in patients infected by the human immunodeficiency virus (22, 38). Transmission in endemic areas is usually via bites of infected sand flies, with dogs as the main domestic reservoir of the parasite (22, 38). Therefore, a rapid and accurate diagnosis of the infection of dogs with L. infantum is The clinical diagnosis of canine VL is difficult, with many animals being asymptomatic. Therefore, a variety of serological, parasitological and molecular laboratory methods have been developed to detect infection by L. infantum in dogs (20, 23). Serological assays, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and parasitological culture are the most sensitive methods for the diagnosis of L. infantum infection (13, 15, 17, 19, 28, 30, 37). However, these three methods do not allow visualization of the intact amastigotes within the tissue and correlation of the parasites with associated lesions, which is possible by histopathology (HP) and immunohistochemistry (IHC) (10). HP and IHC are frequently used in the current routine for the histological diagnosis of L. infantum in dogs, but these methods have limited accuracy and do not allow speciation (37, 28, 29). The speciation of *Leishmania* is currently only possible by parasitological culture followed

by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis (MLEE), which is the reference method, and by PCR (38).

twelve Leishmania species infecting dogs: L. donovani, L. infantum (syn. L. chagasi), L. major,

Hence, alternative histological methods are necessary to improve the accuracy of diagnosing infection of dogs with *L. infantum*.

A recently established chromogenic in situ hybridization (ISH) technique is a promising method for the diagnosis of canine VL because it permits the highly specific identification of *Leishmania* in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical biopsies of dogs (10). However, the previously published probe for ISH was unable to speciate *Leishmania* (10). In addition, the published ISH protocol was based on manual labeling, which presents lower efficiency and productivity than automation (32). Thus, the present study aimed to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of automated ISH for the diagnosis of canine cutaneous infection caused by *L. infantum* on routinely processed surgical biopsy samples using the previously published generic probe for *Leishmania* and a newly designed probe specific for *L. infantum*. The results of both ISH tests were compared to IHC and HP in the same samples, using parasitological culture as the reference standard technique.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples

A prospective study was designed using randomly selected samples from 2,066 surgical skin biopsies of dogs collected between the years 2008 and 2012. The dogs originated from seven cities in Brazil with endemic canine VL: Niterói-RJ, Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Bauru-SP, Brasília-DF, Cuiabá-MT, Palmas-TO and Fortaleza-CE. Skin samples were selected for this study since they are easy to obtain and have been shown to be a good target for the confirmation of canine VL by parasitological culture (17).

For the collection of samples, one 3 mm punch biopsy was obtained from the intact skin over the scapula, after disinfection with 70% alcohol and local anesthesia with 2% lidocaine. Each obtained specimen was divided into two samples. One of them was immersed in sterile saline with antimicrobials (1) and submitted for parasitological culture. The other fragment was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and processed for routine paraffin embedding (5). The paraffin blocks were processed for ISH, IHC and HP. The IHC, HP and parasitological culture with identification of the species of trypanosomatids by MLEE were performed at the Evandro Chagas Clinical Research Institute, FIOCRUZ, Brazil. The ISH was performed at the Diagnostic Center for Population and Animal Health, Michigan State University, USA. The biopsy procedure performed on animals was approved by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals, FIOCRUZ, Brazil (license L-038/08).

Study Design

ISH using a previously published generic (ISH-GP) and a newly developed specific (ISH-SP) oligoprobe for the diagnosis of *L. infantum* infection in dogs were evaluated. In order to calculate the number of samples required for this study, the estimated values for the ISH were 70% of sensitivity/specificity according to preliminary tests, 17% of absolute error in sensitivity/specificity and 5% of alpha. Considering the loss of samples during processing, the calculated number was increased by 4%. As a result, 51 dogs positive for *L. infantum* infection in the parasitological culture and 51 negative dogs for *L. infantum* infection in the parasitological culture were randomly selected from 2,066 surgical skin biopsies of dogs. Ten of the 51 samples of the *L. infantum* negative group were from dogs positive for the protozoon *Trypanosoma caninum* based on parasitological culture. Thirty formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE)

114 s
115 c
116 (
117 I
118 I
119 e
120 e
121 v
122
123 I

samples (8 positive and 22 negative for *L. infantum* based on parasitological culture) were consumed after the testing with ISH-GP. They were replaced by new randomly selected samples (8 positive and 22 negative for *L. infantum* based on parasitological culture) for the testing with ISH-SP. All 132 samples were tested by IHC and HP and a total of 102 dogs was tested with ISH-GP as well as with ISH-SP. Parasitological culture was used as the reference standard to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of ISH-GP, ISH-SP, IHC and HP. The microscopic examination of the ISH, IHC and HP stained slides was performed blindly by a single pathologist with experience in microscopic diagnosis of *Leishmania* (RCM).

Parasitological Culture and Characterization by MLEE

Skin samples collected in saline were seeded in the biphasic culture medium NNN (Novy, MacNeal and Nicolle)/Schneider's Insect Medium (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and were incubated at 26-28 °C. The *Leishmania* promastigotes isolated were identified by MLEE using five enzymatic systems (6).

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

125

126

127

Immunohistochemistry and Histopathology

For immunohistochemistry, serial sections of 5 µm were obtained on silane-treated slides and processed according to a previously described protocol (29), with some modifications. The antigen exposure was performed by incubation of the sections in a sodium citrate buffer (pH = 6.0) at 100 °C for 20 min in steam. Then, the sections were incubated with rabbit anti-*Leishmania* polyclonal serum at the dilution of 1:500. Histological sections with numerous *Leishmania* amastigote forms were incubated with homologous non-immune serum as negative

control and with the rabbit anti-Leishmania polyclonal serum as positive control. For histopathology, serial sections of 5 µm were stained by hematoxylin-eosin (5).

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

136

137

Probe Design for ISH

The generic probe is a digoxigenin-labeled oligonucleotide probe that detects a 5.8S ribosomal RNA sequence specific to all relevant Leishmania species (10). The specific probe was developed based on previous published sequences from GenBank using the computer program Oligo 6 (31) and following previously described parameters (10, 12). It is an oligonucleotide probe (5'-GCCCCTACCCGGAGGACCAGAAAAGTT-3') labeled with digoxigenin at the 5' end (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) and that targets a fragment of the kinetoplast minicircle DNA (kDNA) gene. The specific probe was designed to discriminate L. infantum from the other species of Leishmania that infect dogs in the New World such as L. colombiensis, L. panamensis, L. mexicana, L. braziliensis, L. peruviana, L. pifanoi and L. amazonensis (8). The in silico analysis using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast.cgi) showed that the specific probe cross reacted only with L. donovani, L. tropica, L. major, which are species that do not occur in the New World. Following labeling with digoxigenin at the 5' end, both oligoprobes were purified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA).

154

155

156

157

158

ISH Technique

Several preliminary tests were done to define the best protocol and concentration of each probe in order to achieve the best signal-to-noise ratio and thus the maximum sensitivity and specificity. Considering that each probe had different properties of melting temperature at the

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

NaCl concentration of 50 mM, of guanine-cytosine (GC) content and molecular weight, and also different targets and specificities, a different protocol and concentration were used for each probe.

Serial tissue sections of the selected FFPE skin samples were prepared at 5 µm thickness and placed on positively charged slides. These slides were then submitted to deparaffinization and

fixation using the Discovery XT automated slide processing system (Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., Tucson, AZ), as programed in the protocol for RiboMap in situ hybridization reagent system (Ventana Medical Systems). Proteolytic treatment was performed using Protease 3 (0.02 units/ml alkaline protease, Ventana Medical Systems) for 12 min at 37 °C. Thereafter, the slides received pre-treatment through mild cell conditioning using the citrate buffer-based RiboCC reagent (Ventana Medical Systems) for 4 min at 95 °C. The slides were then submitted to denaturation for 4 min at 37 °C, followed by hybridization with the antisense oligonucleotide probe for *Leishmania* suspended in hybridization buffer (RiboHybe, Ventana Medical Systems). The time of hybridization was 1 hour at 37 °C for the generic probe and at 47 °C for the specific probe. The concentration used for the generic probe was 93 ng/ml (1:10,000 dilution) and for the specific probe was 893 ng/ml (dilution 1:1,000). For the generic probe, three stringency washing steps were performed using 0.5× RiboWash (Ventana Medical Systems; equivalent to 0.5× saline sodium citrate) each for 4 min at 42 °C. For the specific probe, four stringency washing steps were performed at 42 °C using 0.1× RiboWash (Ventana Medical Systems; equivalent to 0.1× saline sodium citrate) for 4 min for the first three and for 8 min for the forth washing step. After the stringency washes, the slides were incubated with anti-digoxigenin antibody for 32 min at 37 °C. The anti-digoxigenin antibody for the generic probe was a rabbit polyclonal serum (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO) at the dilution of 1:20,000. For the specific probe, a rabbit

monoclonal anti-digoxigenin antibody (Invitrogen Corporation, Frederick, MD) was used at the dilution of 1:10,000. After streptavidin-alkaline phosphatase conjugate (UMap anti-Rb AP, Ventana Medical Systems) incubation for 16 minutes at 37 °C, the signal was detected automatically using the BlueMap NBT/BCIP substrate kit (Ventana Medical Systems) for 2 hours at 37 °C. Finally, the sections were counterstained with the nuclear fast red equivalent reagent Red Counterstain II (Ventana Medical Systems) for 4 min before coverslipping. Sections of FFPE skin and lymph node samples of dogs infected with numerous *L. infantum* amastigote forms were used as controls. Infection by *L. infantum* in these controls had been confirmed by parasitological culture and MLEE. For the negative reagent controls, sections were treated only with RiboHybe hybridization buffer. The total duration of slide processing for ISH-GP was 8:17 hours and for ISH-SP was 8:25 hours using the Discovery XT.

Probe Validation

Before testing the ISH on the selected canine skin samples, validation of each probe was performed to confirm the specificity of the generic probe to *Leishmania* and the specificity of the specific probe to *L. infantum* by excluding cross-hybridization with selected pathogenic fungi and protozoa. For this purpose, FFPE tissues infected with various protozoa and fungi and FFPE pellets of some of these microorganisms obtained by centrifugation of cultured pathogens were tested. Validation samples of protozoa consisted of *L. infantum* (skin of dog; pellet of promastigote forms), *L. braziliensis* (skin of dog and hamster; pellet of promastigote forms), *L. amazonensis* (skin of mouse; pellet of promastigote forms), *Trypanosoma caninum* (pellet of epimastigote, spheromastigote and trypomastigote forms), *T. cruzi* (heart of mouse; pellet of epimastigote and trypomastigote forms), *Neospora caninum* (lung of rat; pellet of tachyzoites),

Toxoplasma gondii (lung and heart of dog; brain of mouse; intestine and lymph nodes of wallaby), Sarcocystis neurona (brain of horse) and Rangelia vitalii (heart and kidney of dog). The methods used for the diagnosis of protozoa were isolation in parasitological culture and MLEE, except for T. gondii and N. caninum, which were identified by PCR and IHC, and R. vitalii, which was detected by HP in tissues of a dog with the characteristic clinical and pathological alterations caused by this protozoon (14). Validation samples of fungi consisted of Blastomyces dermatitidis (lung of dog), Cryptococcus neoformans (nasal mucosa of horse), Sporothrix (skin of dog and cat) and Histoplasma capsulatum (spleen and kidney of dog; pellet of mycelial form). The diagnosis of all these fungi was based on mycological culture and Grocott's methenamine silver stain. In all pellets and tissue samples used for validation, microorganisms were easily visible by light microscopy.

Statistical Analysis

Data obtained were stored in the EpiData software and then analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (version 16.0) for Windows. The sensitivity and its respective 95% confidence interval (CI), the specificity and accuracy of ISH-GP, ISH-SP, IHC and HP were compared to the reference standard (parasitological culture). The comparison between the sensitivity and specificity of ISH-GP, ISH-SP, IHC and HP was descriptive.

RESULTS

The ISH using both the generic (ISH-GP) and specific (ISH-SP) probes clearly detected amastigote forms of *Leishmania* with a dark blue signal that was slightly stronger for the ISH-GP (Fig. 1A). The ISH-SP showed instead less background and a better signal-to-noise ratio (Fig.

228	1B). There was no cross-hybridization of either probe with any of the other microorganisms
229	tested. However, there was cross-reaction of the polyclonal anti-digoxigenin antibody (Sigma-
230	Aldrich) used for ISH-GP with cysts and tachyzoites of Toxoplasma gondii. This problem was
231	solved by replacing this antibody with the same monoclonal anti-digoxigenin antibody
232	(Invitrogen) used for ISH-SP. All species of Leishmania tested were detected by ISH-GP (Fig.
233	1A, D). The ISH-SP detected only L. infantum (Fig.1B, E, F).
234	The IHC clearly detected amastigote forms of Leishmania with a dark brown signal and a
235	good signal-to-noise ratio (Fig. 1C).
236	The results of sensitivity and accuracy of ISH-SP, ISH-GP, IHC and HP for detecting L .
237	infantum are listed in Table 1. The specificity of ISH-SP, ISH-GP, IHC and HP tested was 100%.
238	Of the 51 skin samples positive for L. infantum by parasitological culture, 36 were detected by
239	ISH-GP. Fifteen skin samples positive by parasitological culture were not detected by ISH-GP.
240	Of the 51 kin samples positive for L. infantum by parasitological culture, 38 were detected by
241	ISH-SP. Thirteen skin samples positive by parasitological culture were not detected by ISH-SP.
242	
243	DISCUSSION
244	The ISH-GP and ISH-SP were both sensitive and specific methods for the diagnosis of
245	Leishmania in dogs, showing satisfactory accuracy compared to the reference standard. The

The ISH-GP and ISH-SP were both sensitive and specific methods for the diagnosis of *Leishmania* in dogs, showing satisfactory accuracy compared to the reference standard. The values of sensitivity of both ISH methods were very close to those of IHC, with ISH-SP being the most sensitive, followed by ISH-GP, IHC and HP. The somewhat higher sensitivity of ISH-SP in spite of the slightly lower signal intensity compared to ISH-GP may be due to the better signal-to-noise ratio of ISH-SP. The use of ISH and IHC increased the number of correctly diagnosed

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

positive cases compared to HP similar to what has been reported by other authors when using IHC (28, 33, 35, 37).

The results of the current study confirm ISH as an accurate method for the diagnosis of L. infantum infection in dogs. Nonetheless, it is less sensitive for the diagnosis of L. infantum infection in dogs than parasitological culture, PCR on frozen skin samples and some serological assays (13, 17, 19, 28, 30, 37). ISH failed to detect between 25.5% and 29.4% of the parasitological culture positive cases in the current study. In the previous study using the same generic ISH probe (10), ISH was negative in 3 dogs out of 6 positive for L. infantum by PCR. In a systematic review (30), the majority of studies on serological assays for the diagnosis of L. infantum infection in dogs found sensitivities higher than 75%. Nonetheless, parasitological culture, PCR and serological assays present disadvantages that prevent their use alone for the routine diagnosis of L. infantum infection in dogs. The parasitological culture is time-consuming, because it takes from 5 to 30 days (in average 15 days) to be completed and there are worldwide only a small number of reference centers currently using MLEE (1, 38). In addition, this method is susceptible to microbiologic contamination, which in many cases prevents its use in samples collected in the field, where proper storage and sterile conditions may be difficult to attain (38, 20). Furthermore, parasitological culture may be difficult to perform due to poor adaptation of some isolates to the medium (38, 20). The drawbacks of PCR are lack of standardization of the different protocols used among laboratories, possibility of contamination and the fact that it does not necessarily indicate live infection with Leishmania (2, 15, 37, 38). Serologic assays may yield false-positive results due to cross-reactivity with sera of dogs infected with L. braziliensis, T. cruzi, T. caninum and Ehrlichia canis (3, 19, 27, 36). Also, they do not necessarily indicate

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

current infection (20) and do not differentiate positive results produced by natural infection from those induced by vaccines (30).

The main advantage of ISH over parasitological culture, PCR, serological assays, IHC and HP for the diagnosis of Leishmania infection in dogs is that it simultaneously allows visualization of the intact amastigotes within the tissue and speciates them as Leishmania infantum, as demonstrated in the present study by ISH-SP. This observation of amastigotes within the tissue, which is not possible by parasitological culture, PCR and serological assays, offers the possibility to correlate parasites with the associated lesions and also to semi-quantify the parasite load (10, 26, 28). Similarly to ISH, IHC and HP also link amastigotes of Leishmania to lesions (28); however, they are not able to discriminate L. infantum from other Leishmania species. An important advantage of ISH compared to IHC and HP is therefore the higher specificity of ISH. Extensive testing of the specificity of ISH has shown no cross-reaction of the Leishmania probes with other histomorphologically similar organisms (10), which was confirmed in the current study. Although IHC in the present study was specific for the diagnosis of Leishmania, cross reactivity with histomorphologically similar fungi such as Histoplasma capsulatum has been demonstrated (29). In addition, parasitic organisms such as Histoplasma and Trypanosoma are difficult to be differentiated from Leishmania by HP; thus, wrong or inconclusive etiologic diagnoses may occur using this method (4, 10). Furthermore, commercially available anti-Leishmania antibodies for IHC that work well on FFPE tissues are currently lacking (4, 10). The possibility of automation is another advantage of ISH (32), which was tested with success in the present work, but has not yet been evaluated for IHC in the diagnosis of L. infantum infection in dogs. This automation reduced the time to one day for the labeling of the slides in comparison to the two days needed for the manual protocols of the IHC

used in the present study and of the previously reported ISH (10). In addition to productivity, automation will improve the reproducibility of ISH.

The use of ISH-SP for the specific detection of *L. infantum* will be particularly important in some areas in south-eastern Brazil, where this protozoal organism co-occurs with *L. braziliensis* (18, 19). In these areas, many dogs afected only by *L. braziliensis* are unnecessarily euthanatized as a method for controlling VL (22) due to serological cross reaction with *L. infantum*. Dogs parasitized by species of *Leishmania* other than *L. infantum* do not have to be euthanatized in Brazil because the dog is not a proven reservoir of the other *Leishmania* species and thus is not considered to be involved in their zoonotic transmission (22). Considering that the specific probe cross reacted in silico with *L. donovani*, *L. tropica*, *L. major*, its use is not as useful in areas where these species occur in dogs such as in the Middle East, Africa and Asia (9, 11, 16, 24, 25), possibly warranting the design of additional probes.

The current study demonstrated that ISH-GP and ISH-SP have a high sensitivity and specificity, improving the histological diagnosis of *L. infantum* in routinely processed, formalin-fixed surgical skin biopsies of dogs. Hence, the concurrent use of ISH-GP and ISH-SP should be implemented in the laboratory as a useful tool to not only detect *Leishmania* in general, but to differentiate *L. infantum* in surgical samples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the histopathology technicians Tom Wood and Kelli Cicinelli from DCPAH, Michigan State University, USA and Luiz Claudio Ferreira from IPEC, FIOCRUZ, Brazil for their technical assistance; Mr. Rodrigo Méxas from IOC, FIOCRUZ, Brazil for processing the figures; and Dr. Jitender P. Dubey from USDA, USA, Dr. Rafael A.

- 318 Fighera from UFSM, Brazil and Dr. Léa C. Finkelstein from FIOCRUZ, Brazil for generously
- providing the paraffin-embedded tissues infected with N. caninum, R. vitalii and L. amazonensis,
- 320 respectively. RCM has a fellowship from CAPES Foundation, Ministry of Education of Brazil,
- 321 process number: BEX 6925/10-3.

322

- 323 REFERENCES
- 1. Almeida ABPF, Sousa VRF, Sorte ECB, Figueiredo FB, Paula DAJ, Pimentel MFA,
- Dutra V, Madeira MF. 2011a. Use of parasitological culture to detect *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*)
- *chagasi* in naturally infected dogs. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. **11:**1555-1560.
- 327 2. Almeida ME, Steurer FJ, Koru O, Herwaldt BL, Pieniazek NJ, Silva AJ. 2011b.
- 328 Identification of *Leishmania* spp. by molecular amplification and DNA sequencing analysis of a
- fragment of rRNA internal transcribed spacer 2. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49:3143-3149.
- 330 3. Barros JHS, Almeida ABPF, Figueiredo FB, Sousa VRF, Fagundes A, Pinto AGS,
- 331 Baptista C, Madeira MF. 2012. Occurrence of Trypanosoma caninum in areas overlapping
- with leishmaniasis in Brazil: what is the real impact of canine leishmaniasis control? Trans. R.
- 333 Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. **106:**419-423.
- 4. Bourdoiseau G, Marchal T, Magnol JP. 1997. Immunohistochemical detection of
- 335 Leishmania in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of canine skin and lymph nodes. J.
- 336 Vet. Diagn. Invest. 9:439-440.
- 337 5. Carson FL, Hladick C. 2009. Histotechnology: a self-instructional text, 3 rd ed. ASCP Press
- 338 Chicago, IL.
- 6. Cupolillo E, Grimaldi Jr G, Momen H. 1994. A general classification of New World
- 340 *Leishmania* using numerical zymotaxonomy. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. **50:**296-311.

- 7. **Dantas-Torres F.** 2007. The role of dogs as reservoirs of *Leishmania* parasites, with emphasis
- on Leishmania (Leishmania) infantum and Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis. Vet. Parasitol.
- 343 **149:**139-146.
- 344 8. Dantas-Torres F. 2009. Canine leishmaniosis in South America. Parasit. Vectors 2(Suppl
- 345 1):S1.
- 346 9. Dereure J, El-Safi SH, Bucheton B, Boni M, Kheir MM, Davoust B, Pratlong F, Feugier
- 347 E, Lambert M, Dessein A, Dedet JP. 2003. Visceral leishmaniasis in eastern Sudan: parasite
- identification in humans and dogs; host-parasite relationships. Microbes Infect. **5:**1103-1108.
- 349 10. Dinhopl N, Mostegl MM, Richter B, Nedorost N, Maderner A, Fragner K, Weissenböck
- 350 **H.** 2011. In situ hybridisation for the detection of *Leishmania* species in paraffin wax-embedded
- canine tissues using a digoxigenin-labelled oligonucleotide probe. Vet. Rec. **169:**525.
- 11. Elbihari S, Cheema AH, El-Hassan AM. 1987. Leishmania infecting man and wild animals
- in Saudi Arabia. 4. Canine cutaneous leishmaniasis in the Eastern Province. Trans. R. Soc. Trop.
- 354 Med. Hyg. **81:**925-927.
- 355 12. Erdtmann-Vourliotis M, Mayer P, Riechert U, Händel M, Kriebitzsch J, Höllt V. 1999.
- 356 Rational design of oligonucleotide probes to avoid optimization steps in in situ hybridization.
- 357 Brain Res. Brain Res. Protoc. 4: 82-91.
- 358 13. Ferreira SA, Leite RS, Ituassu LT, Almeida GG, Souza DM, Fujiwara RT, Andrade
- 359 ASR, Melo MN. 2012. Canine skin and conjunctival swab samples for the detection and
- 360 quantification of *Leishmania infantum* DNA in an endemic urban area in Brazil. PLoS Negl.
- 361 Trop. Dis. **6:** e1596.

- 362 14. Fighera RA, Souza TM, Kommers GG, Irigoyen LF, Barros CSL. 2010. Patogênese e
- 363 achados clínicos, hematológicos e anatomopatológicos da infecção por Rangelia vitalii em 35
- 364 cães (1985-2009). Pesq. Vet. Bras. **30:** 974-987.
- 365 15. Gomes YM, Paiva Cavalcanti M, Lira RA, Abath FGC, Alves LC. 2008. Diagnosis of
- canine visceral leishmaniasis: biotechnological advances. Vet. J. 175:45-52.
- 367 16. Guessous-Idrissi N, Berrag B, Riyad M, Sahibi H, Bichichi M, Rhalem A. 1997. Short
- 368 report: Leishmania tropica: etiologic agent of a case of canine visceral leishmaniasis in Northern
- 369 Morocco. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 57:172-173.
- 370 17. Madeira MF, Figueiredo FB, Pinto AGS, Nascimento LD, Furtado M, Mouta-Confort
- 371 E, Paula CC, Bogio A, Gomes MCA, Bessa AMS, Passos SRL. 2009. Parasitological
- diagnosis of canine visceral leishmaniasis: is intact skin a good target? Res. Vet. Sci. 87: 260-
- 373 262.
- 374 18. Madeira MF, Schubach A, Schubach TMP, Pacheco RS, Oliveira FS, Pereira SA,
- 375 Figueiredo FB, Baptista C, Marzochi MCA. 2006a. Mixed infection with Leishmania
- 376 (Viannia) braziliensis and Leishmania (Leishmania) chagasi in a naturally infected dog from Rio
- de Janeiro, Brazil. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. 100:442-445.
- 378 19. Madeira MF, Schubach AO, Schubach TMP, Pereira AS, Figueiredo FB, Baptista C,
- 379 Leal CA, Melo CX, Confort EM, Marzochi MCA. 2006b. Post mortem parasitological
- 380 evaluation of dogs seroreactive for Leishmania from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Vet. Parasitol.
- 381 **138:**366-370.
- 382 20. Maia C, Campino L. 2008. Methods for diagnosis of canine leishmaniasis and immune
- response to infection. Vet. Parasitol. 158:274-287.

- 384 21. Maia C, Nunes M, Campino L. 2008. Importance of cats in zoonotic leishmaniasis in
- 385 Portugal. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 8:555-560.
- 386 22. Ministério da Saúde. 2006. Manual de vigilância e controle da leishmaniose visceral.
- 387 Ministério da Saúde, Brasília, Brasil.
- 388 23. Miró G, Cardoso L, Pennisi MG, Oliva G, Baneth G. Canine leishmaniosis new
- 389 concepts and insights on an expanding zoonosis: part two. 2008. Trends Parasitol. 24:371-377.
- 390 24. Mohebali M, Malmasi A, Hajjaran H, Jamshidi S, Akhoundi B, Rezaei M, Janitabar S,
- 391 Zarei H, Charehdar S. 2011. Disseminated leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania tropica in a
- puppy from Karaj, Central Iran. Iranian J. Parasitol. **6:**69-73.
- 393 25. Morsy TA, Schnur LF, Feinsod FM, Salem AM, Wahba MM, El Said SM. 1987. Natural
- 394 infections of *Leishmania major* in domestic dogs from Alexandria, Egypt. Am. J. Trop. Med.
- 395 Hyg. **37:**49-52.
- 396 26. Mostegl MM, Richter B, Dinhopl N, Weissenböck H. 2011. Influence of prolonged
- 397 formalin fixation of tissue samples on the sensitivity of chromogenic in situ hybridization. J. Vet.
- 398 Diagn. Invest. 23:1212-1216.
- 399 27. Otranto D, Paradies P, Caprariis D, Stanneck D, Testini G, Grimm F, Deplazes P,
- 400 Capelli G. 2009. Toward diagnosing Leishmania infantum infection in asymptomatic dogs in an
- area where leishmaniasis is endemic. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. **16:**337-343.
- 402 28. Queiroz NMGP, Silveira RCV, Noronha Jr ACF, Oliveira TMFS, Machado RZ,
- 403 **Starke-Buzetti WA.** 2011. Detection of *Leishmania* (L.) chagasi in canine skin. Vet. Parasitol.
- 404 **178:**1-8.
- 405 29. Quintella LP, Cuzzi T, Madeira MF, Okamoto T, Schubach AO. 2009.
- 406 Immunoperoxidade technique using an anti-Leishmania (L.) chagasi hyperimmune serum in the

- diagnosis of culture-confirmed American tegumentary leishmaniasis. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao
- 408 Paulo. **51:** 83-86.
- 409 30. Romero GAS, Boelaert M. 2010. Control of visceral leishmaniasis in Latin America a
- 410 systematic review. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 4: e584.
- 411 31. Rychlick W, Rhoads RE. 1989. A computer program for choosing optimal oligonucleotides
- 412 for filter hybridization, sequencing and in vitro amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res.
- 413 **17:**8543-8551.
- 414 32. Segalés J, Ramos-Vara JA, Duran CO, Porter A, Domingo M. 1999. Diagnosing
- infectious diseases using in situ hybridization. Swine Health Prod. 7:125-128.
- 416 33. Tafuri WL, Santos RL, Arantes RME, Gonçalves R, Melo MN, Michalick MSM, Tafuri
- 417 **WL.** 2004. An alternative immunohistochemical method for detecting *Leishmania* amastigotes in
- paraffin-embedded canine tissues. J. Immunol. Methods **292:**17-23.
- 419 34. Tolezano JE, Uliana SRB, Taniguchi HH, Araújo MFL, Barbosa JAR, Barbosa JER,
- 420 **Floeter-Winter LM, Shaw JJ.** 2007. The first records of *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) amazonensis
- 421 in dogs (Canis familiaris) diagnosed clinically as having canine visceral leishmaniasis from
- 422 Araçatuba County, São Paulo State, Brazil. Vet. Parasitol. 149:280-284.
- 423 35. **Toplu N, Aydogan A.** 2011. An immunohistochemical study in cases with usual and unusual
- 424 clinicopathological findings of canine visceral leishmaniosis. Parasitol. Res. 109:1051-1057.
- 425 36. Troncarelli MZ, Camargo JB, Machado JG, Lucheis SB, Langoni H. 2009. Leishmania
- 426 spp. and/or Trypanosoma cruzi diagnosis in dogs from endemic and nonendemic areas for canine
- visceral leishmaniasis. Vet. Parasitol **164:**118-123.
- 428 37. Xavier SC, Andrade HM, Monte SJH, Chiarelli IM, Lima WG, Michalick MSM, Tafuri
- 429 WL, Tafuri WL. 2006. Comparison of paraffin-embedded skin biopsies from different

430	anatomical regions as sampling methods for detection of Leishmania infection in dogs using
431	histological, immunohistochemical and PCR methods. BMC Vet. Res. 2:17.
432	38. World Health Organization. 2010. Control of the leishmaniases: report of a meeting of the
433	WHO Expert Committee on the Control of Leishmaniases, Geneva, 22-26 March 2010. WHO
434	technical report series, no. 949.
435	
436	
437	
438	
439	
440	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG 1. In situ hybridization using A) the generic probe for Leishmania spp. on the skin of a dog showing numerous intrahistiocytic Leishmania infantum amastigotes labeled with a dark blue signal, and B) the specific probe for L. infantum on the skin of a dog showing numerous intrahistiocytic L. infantum amastigote forms labeled with a dark blue signal. Note that the signal is slightly weaker than that of the generic probe and there is less background, which allowed clearer visualization of individualized amastigote forms. C) Immunohistochemistry of the skin of a dog showing numerous dark-brown stained L. infantum amastigote forms within macrophages. In situ hybridization using D) the generic probe for Leishmania spp. on the skin of a dog showing numerous intrahistiocytic L. braziliensis amastigote forms labeled with a dark blue signal, E) the specific probe for L. infantum on the skin of a dog infected by L. braziliensis demonstrating that amastigote forms (arrows) were not labeled, and F) the same specific probe on the skin of a mouse experimentally infected with L. amazonensis showing numerous intrahistiocytic amastigote forms not labeled. Bar of all figures = 33 μ m.

478

479

Table 1. Sensitivity and accuracy of in situ hybridization using a specific probe for *Leishmania* infantum (ISH-SP), in situ hybridization using a generic probe for *Leishmania* (ISH-GP), immunohistochemistry (IHC) and histopathology (HP) for detecting *L. infantum* in formalin-

480 fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical skin biopsies of dogs.

Method	Sensitivity in %	Accuracy in %
	(95% CI)	
ISH-SP (N=102)	74.5 (66.1 - 83.0)	87.2
ISH-GP (N=102)	70.6 (61.7 - 79.4)	85.3
IHC (N= 132)	69.5 (61.1 - 77.9)	86.4
HP (N= 132)	57.6 (49.2 - 66.1)	81.1

N = number of skin samples tested; CI = confidence interval.

482

481

JCM Accepts published online ahead of print

483

- 3 Table 1. Sensitivity and accuracy of in situ hybridization using a specific probe for Leishmania
- 4 infantum (ISH-SP), in situ hybridization using a generic probe for Leishmania (ISH-GP),
- 5 immunohistochemistry (IHC) and histopathology (HP) for detecting L. infantum in formalin-
- 6 fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical skin biopsies of dogs.

Method	Sensitivity in %	Accuracy in %
	(95% CI)	
ISH-SP (N=102)	74.5 (66.1 - 83.0)	87.2
ISH-GP (N=102)	70.6 (61.7 - 79.4)	85.3
IHC (N= 132)	69.5 (61.1 - 77.9)	86.4
HP (N= 132)	57.6 (49.2 - 66.1)	81.1

N = number of skin samples tested; CI = confidence interval.

