



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Letter to the Editor

Vaccine effectiveness of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 against COVID-19 in a socially vulnerable community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: author's response

Otavio T. Ranzani^{1, 2, *}, Fernando A. Bozza^{3, 4}

¹ Barcelona Institute for Global Health, ISGlobal, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública, Barcelona, Spain

² Pulmonary Division, Heart Institute (InCor), Hospital das Clínicas HCFMUSP, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Brazil

³ National Institute of Infectious Disease Evandro Chagas, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (INI-FIOCRUZ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

⁴ D'Or Institute for Research and Education (IDOR), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 March 2022

Accepted 27 March 2022

Available online 6 April 2022

Editor: L. Leibovici

To the editor

We appreciate the interest in our publication [1,2]. First, we would like to highlight that our study followed a pre-specified protocol, which was based on other protocols for evaluation of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness applying case-control, test-negative study design conducted in Brazil and in accordance with the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations [3,4].

Regarding the decrease in effectiveness following the first dose, the change overtime for the first dose is shown in Table 1 of the original article [2]. We did not evaluate change overtime for the second dose because we had not sample size/power to conduct this analysis. As stated in our discussion, the observed decrease overtime for the first dose can be attributed to some reasons. For instance, waning, which is the natural decline of protection, as well as the surge of the Delta variant. Because we do not have data on sequencing, we cannot disentangle this issue further, as well as we cannot obtain an estimate for protection against Gamma and Delta variants.

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.03.008>.

* Corresponding author. Otavio T. Ranzani, MD, PhD, Barcelona Institute for Global Health, ISGlobal, Campus Mar, Barcelona Biomedical Research Park, Doctor Aiguader 88, Barcelona, 08003, Spain.

E-mail addresses: otavio.ranzani@isglobal.org (O.T. Ranzani), fernando.bozza@ini.fiocruz.br (F.A. Bozza).

We agree that evaluation of effectiveness by age is important [3], but we pre-specified that we would conduct this analysis by the median age. Subgroup analysis could lead to spurious findings if not pre-specified. We have very few elderly recruited in the study because of the age distribution of the analysed population in “Complexo da Maré.” Finally, our study population comprises only adults, and children were not even receiving COVID-19 vaccines at the time of study, therefore it would be impossible to estimate direct vaccine effectiveness in children.

Regarding potential confounding factors, we excluded previous infected individuals (eFigure 3, supplementary material of the original article [2]). We agree that personal behaviour could affect the risk of infection, and if this behaviour is different between vaccinated individuals compared to unvaccinated individuals, we could have residual confounding, as acknowledged in the article [2]. However, as also discussed in the manuscript, our indicator bias did not indicate any remarkable bias, under the assumption of a case-control, test-negative design [5]. We agree cross-protection could influence on vaccine effectiveness estimates if those tested negative individuals were symptomatic by some virus with cross-protection, however this is still a hypothesis for COVID-19 vaccines.

We would like to highlight that our vaccine estimates were in accordance to previous literature and robust to several sensitivity analyses, as shown in the supplementary material of the original article [2].

Transparency declaration

This work is part of the Grand Challenges International COVID-19 Data Alliance (ICODA) pilot initiative, delivered by Health Data Research UK and funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Minderoo Foundation. This study was also supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development and Carlos Chagas Filho Foundation for Research Support of the State of Rio de Janeiro. OTR is funded by a Sara Borrell grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (CD19/ 00110). All authors reported no conflicts. All authors conducted the research independently of the

funding bodies. The findings and conclusions of this article reflect the opinions of the authors and not those of the funding bodies or other affiliations of the authors.

Author contributions

OTR and FAB equally contributed to this study.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Redes da Mare for all their support and the efficient strategies on community engagement and communication during the pandemic, as well as the Unidade de Apoio ao Diagnóstico da COVID-19 for their support on the testing diagnosis. OTR acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and State Research Agency through the “Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019e2023” Program (CEX2018- 000806-S) and from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Program. In addition, the authors thank the Center for Healthcare Operations and Intelligence research group

for their discussions and collaborative production of scientific analyses of the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil.

References

- [1] Zeng G. Re: vaccine effectiveness of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 against COVID-19 in a socially vulnerable community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil by Ranzani et al. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2022;28:1165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.03.008>.
- [2] Ranzani OT, Silva AAB, Peres IT, Antunes BBP, Gonzaga-da-Silva TW, Soranz DR, et al. Vaccine effectiveness of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 against COVID-19 in a socially vulnerable community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a test-negative design study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2022;28:736e.1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.01.032>.
- [3] Ranzani OT, Hitchings MDT, Dorion M, D'Agostini TL, de Paula RC, de Paula OFP, et al. Effectiveness of the CoronaVac vaccine in older adults during a gamma variant associated epidemic of COVID-19 in Brazil: test negative case-control study. *BMJ* 2021;374:n2015. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n2015>.
- [4] Patel MK, Bergeri I, Bresee JS, Cowling BJ, Crowcroft NS, Fahmy K, et al. Evaluation of post-introduction COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness: summary of interim guidance of the World Health Organization. *Vaccine* 2021;39:4013–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.099>.
- [5] Hitchings MDT, Lewnard JA, Dean NE, Ko AI, Ranzani OT, Andrews JR, et al. Use of recently vaccinated individuals to detect bias in test-negative case-control studies of COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. *Epidemiology* 2022;33:450–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.2021.06.23.21259415>.