

Characterization of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in Cisgender Men and Transgender Women Who Have Sex With Men Receiving Injectable Cabotegravir for HIV Prevention: HPTN 083

Mark A. Marzinke,^{1,2,a} Beatriz Grinsztejn,^{3,a} Jessica M. Fogel,¹ Estelle Piwowar-Manning,¹ Maoji Li,⁴ Lei Weng,⁴ Marybeth McCauley,⁵ Vanessa Cummings,¹ Shahnaz Ahmed,¹ Casey D. Haines,² Lane R. Bushman,⁶ Christos Petropoulos,⁷ Deborah Persaud,⁸ Adeola Adeyeye,⁹ Ryan Kofron,¹⁰ Alex Rinehart,¹¹ Marty St Clair,¹¹ James F. Rooney,¹² Daniel Pryluka,¹³ Lara Coelho,³ Aditya Gaur,¹⁴ Keren Middelkoop,¹⁵ Nittaya Phanuphak,^{16,17} Myron S. Cohen,¹⁸ Craig W. Hendrix,² Peter Anderson,⁶ Brett Hanscom,⁴ Deborah Donnell,⁴ Raphael J. Landovitz,^{19,b} and Susan H. Eshleman^{1,b}

¹Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, ²Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, ³Instituto de Pesquisa Clinica Evandro Chagas-Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁴Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington, USA, ⁵FHI 360, Durham, North Carolina, USA, ⁶University of Colorado, Aurora, Colorado, USA, ⁷Monogram Biosciences, South San Francisco, California, USA, ⁶Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA, ⁹Prevention Science Program, Division of AIDS, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, Maryland, USA, ¹⁰Department of Medicine, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA, ¹¹ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA, ¹²Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, ¹³Hospital Velez Sarfield, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ¹⁴St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA, ¹⁵Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ¹⁶Institute of HIV Research and Innovation, Bangkok, Thailand, ¹⁷Center of Excellence in Transgender Health, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, ¹⁸Department of Nedicine, University of North Carolina, North Carolina, USA, and ¹⁹Center for Clinical AIDS Research & Education, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA

Background. The HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 083 trial demonstrated that long-acting cabotegravir (CAB-LA) was more effective than tenofovir disoproxil fumarate–emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) in preventing human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in cisgender men and transgender women who have sex with men. We characterized HIV infections that occurred in the blinded phase of HPTN 083.

Methods. Retrospective testing included HIV testing, viral load testing, quantification of study drugs, and HIV drug resistance testing.

Results. Fifty-eight infections were evaluated, including 51 incident infections (12 in CAB arm and 39 in TDF/FTC arm). In many cases (5 in CAB arm and 37 in TDF/FTC arm), infection was associated with low or unquantifiable study drug concentrations. In 4 cases, infection occurred with on-time CAB-LA injections and expected plasma CAB concentrations. CAB exposure was associated with prolonged viral suppression and delayed antibody expression. In some cases, delayed HIV diagnosis resulted in CAB provision to participants with undetected infection, delayed antiretroviral therapy, and emergence of drug resistance; most of these infections would have been detected earlier with viral load testing.

Conclusions. Early detection of HIV infection and prompt antiretroviral therapy initiation could improve clinical outcomes in persons who become infected despite CAB-LA prophylaxis. Further studies are needed to elucidate the correlates of HIV protection in persons receiving CAB-LA.

Keywords. HIV; preexposure prophylaxis; prevention; cabotegravir; injectable; TDF/FTC; long-acting; men who have sex with men; HPTN 083.

Both men and transgender women who have sex with men are at increased risk of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Daily oral tenofovir (TFV) disoproxil fumarateemtricitabine (TDF/FTC) is approved by the US Food and

Correspondence: Susan H. Eshleman, Ross Bldg, Room 646, 720 Rutland Ave, Baltimore, MD 21205 (seshlem@jhmi.edu).

The Journal of Infectious Diseases® 2021;224:1581–92

Drug Administration and other agencies for prevention of HIV sexual transmission. Efficacy of daily TDF/FTC preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) is highly dependent on adherence [1, 2]. Alternative PrEP regimens using long-acting drugs may promote adherence and would increase options for HIV prevention [3].

Long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB) (CAB-LA) is an investigational HIV integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI) that is under evaluation for HIV treatment and prevention [1, 4–6]. The HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 077 trial evaluated the use of CAB-LA in uninfected persons. A regimen that included an oral phase followed by CAB-LA injections achieved pharmacokinetic drug targets in men and women [7].

Received 15 January 2021; editorial decision 15 March 2021; accepted 18 March 2021; published online March 19, 2021.

^aM. A. M. and B. G. contributed equally to this work.

^bR. J. L. and S. H. E. contributed equally to this work.

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/infdis/jiab152

CAB was quantifiable in plasma 76 weeks after the final injection in 13% of men and 42% of women [8].

HPTN 083 is an ongoing phase 2b/3 trial comparing CAB-LA with TDF/FTC for HIV PrEP in cisgender men who have sex with men and transgender women who have sex with men; 4566 eligible participants who tested negative for HIV infection were enrolled at 43 sites in the United States, Latin America, Asia, and Africa. The trial included a double-blind, double-dummy comparison of the 2 regimens (active CAB plus TDF/FTC placebo vs active TDF/FTC plus CAB placebo; 1:1 randomization). The study protocol included a 5-week oral lead-in phase, 148 weeks of injections, and a 48-week tail phase in which all participants were offered open-label TDF/ FTC. The trial was unblinded after a Data Safety Monitoring Board meeting in May 2020. The study demonstrated a 66% reduction in HIV incidence in the CAB arm compared with the TDF/FTC arm (0.41 vs 1.22 per 100 person-years; hazard ratio, 0.34 [95% confidence interval, .18-.62]; P < .001) [9]. In an adherence cohort, pharmacokinetic analysis indicated that 74.2% of participants in the TDF/FTC arm were adherent to the oral drug regimen [9].

Resistance to TFV and FTC has been observed in persons who acquired HIV infection while receiving TDF/FTC PrEP [10–13]. Resistance to CAB and other INSTIs has been observed in HIV treatment trials [14]. TDF/FTC can also suppress viral replication and delay antibody (Ab) production in persons with incident HIV infection who continue to use PrEP because they are not aware of their infection status [12]. These effects could be more pronounced using potent, long-acting PrEP agents. This report includes detailed analysis of HIV infections observed in the blinded phase of HPTN 083, including analysis of drug concentrations obtained with oral CAB and CAB-LA injections, the impact of CAB-LA on detection of HIV infection, and emergence of HIV drug resistance.

METHODS

Samples Used for Analysis

Samples were obtained from participants in HPTN 083 (NCT0272009). Plasma was stored at every study visit (Figure 1). Dried blood spot samples were stored at week 4 and at injection visits starting at week 9. Data are presented for samples collected in the blinded phase of the trial.

HIV Testing at Study Sites

HIV testing was performed at study sites using locally available tests (Supplementary File 1). All participants had a negative HIV RNA test within 14 days before enrollment. The testing algorithm included a rapid HIV test and a laboratorybased antigen-Ab (Ag/Ab) test. Test results were reviewed by a centralized committee if a reactive or positive result was obtained. HIV DNA testing was performed at the direction



Figure 1. HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 083 study design. Figure shows an overview of the HPTN 083 trial design. The study included a 5-week oral lead-in phase (step 1), a 148-week injection phase (step 2), and a 48-week open-label tail phase (step 3). Participants randomized to the tenofovir disoproxil fumarate—emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) arm received daily coformulated tablets with 300 mg of TDF and 200 mg of FTC in steps 1 and 2, with placebo tablets in step 1 and placebo injections in step 2. Participants randomized to the cabotegravir (CAB) arm received oral daily CAB (30-mg tablets) in step 1, followed by long-acting CAB (CAB-LA) injections (600 mg) in step 2, with placebo tablets in both phases. Participants in both arms received open-label daily TDF/FTC in step 3. The first 2 injections were given 4 weeks apart starting at week 5, followed by injections 8 weeks apart starting at week 9 (*arrows*). A nonreactive rapid test was required before each injection. Study drugs were stopped if the participant had a reactive or positive human immunodeficiency virus test at the study site, if the participant declined drug administration, if this was deemed necessary owing to an adverse event, or for another reason at the discretion of the site principal investigator.

of this committee in cases with indeterminate test results. The first site-positive visit was defined as the first visit near the time of HIV diagnosis at when a reactive or positive HIV test was obtained at a study site.

Retrospective Testing

Retrospective testing was performed at the HPTN Laboratory Center and other laboratories in the United States, as described below. Information about the assays and algorithms used for testing is provided in Supplementary Files 1–4.

Identification of HIV Infections

HIV testing was performed in all cases in which a reactive or positive result was obtained at a study site. The testing algorithm included the Architect HIV Ag/Ab Combo Test (Ag/Ab test); the Geenius HIV 1/2 Supplemental Assay (confirmatory Ab test); and the Aptima HIV-1 RNA Qualitative Assay (qualitative RNA test). Additional testing was performed to determine the timing of HIV infection events. HIV test results from study sites and the HPTN Laboratory Center were reviewed by an independent Endpoint Adjudication Committee, which made a final determination of HIV status and identified the first HIV-positive visit. Additional information is provided in Supplementary Files 1 and 2.

Viral Load Testing

Viral load testing was performed using the RealTime HIV-1 Viral Load Assay (limit of quantification, 40 copies/mL). Selected samples were also tested at the University of Pittsburgh using a single-copy HIV RNA assay [15]. Additional information is provided in Supplementary File 2.

Table 1. Classification of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infections^a

Antiretroviral Drug Testing

TFV and CAB measurements in plasma and TFV diphosphate (TFV-DP) measurements in dried blood spots were performed using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. The limits of quantification for these assays are as follows: CAB, 0.025 μ g/mL; TFV, 0.31 ng/mL; TFV-DP, 31.3 fmol per punch. Additional information is provided in Supplementary Files 2 and 3.

HIV Drug Resistance Testing

HIV resistance testing was performed using the GenoSure PRIme assay, which provides resistance data for nucleoside/nucleotide reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), nonnucleoside reversetranscriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), protease inhibitors, and INSTIs. The 2019 drug resistance mutations update from the International Antiviral Society–USA was used to identify resistance-associated mutations [16]. Phenotyping was performed for CAB arm participants using the PhenoSense INSTI assay, which provides data for all licensed INSTIs. CAB was included in the assay. Additional information is provided in Supplementary File 4.

Ethical Considerations

The HPTN 083 protocol was approved by the institutional review boards and/or ethics committees and ministries of health for all participating sites. All participants provided written informed consent.

RESULTS

Identification of HIV Infections

Fifty-eight HIV infections were identified in the blinded phase of HPTN 083 (Table 1). These included 7 infections in participants who were HIV positive at enrollment (4 in the CAB arm and 3 in

Infection Type and Study Arm	Infections, No.	Classification Group	Breakthrough Infections, No. ^b	Case Summaries
Baseline				
CAB arm	4 (A1–A4)	HIV positive at study enrollment		Supplementary File 5
TDF/FTC arm	3 (E40–42)	HIV positive at study enrollment		Supplementary File 6
Incident				
CAB arm	5 (B1–B5)	No recent CAB exposure ^c	0	Supplementary File 5
	3 (C1–C3)	Infected during the CAB oral lead-in period	0	Supplementary File 5
	4 (D1–D4)	Infected in the setting of on-time CAB-LA injections	4 ^d	Figure 2
TDF/FTC arm	39 (E1–E39)	Infected after enrollment	2 ^e	Supplementary File 6 and Figure 3 ^f

Abbreviations: CAB, cabotegravir; CAB-LA, long-acting injectable cabotegravir; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; TDF/FTC, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine.

^aTable 1 shows the system used to classify cases in the CAB arm (groups A–D) and the TDF/FTC arm (group E). Groups were assigned based on study arm and timing of HIV infection/ exposure to study drug. Each case was assigned a group letter and a case number (eg, case A1).

^bIn the TDF/FTC arm, breakthrough infections were defined as having plasma and dried blood spot drug concentrations consistent with good adherence to daily oral dosing at the time of the first HIV-positive visit and at key earlier visits. In the CAB arm, breakthrough infections were defined as having target drug concentration (>8 times the 90% inhibitory concentration) at the first HIV-positive visit.

°Group B included participants who had no CAB injections or had their last injection ≥6 months before their first HIV-positive visit.

^dThese 4 participants had expected drug concentrations at the first HIV-positive visit (D1–D4).

^eThese 2 participants had drug concentrations consistent with good adherence to oral TDF/FTC (cases E16 and E34); exposure to HIV resistant to TDF/FTC may have contributed to infection in 1 case (E16).

^fCase E16 only.

the TDF/FTC arm; baseline cases) and 51 who acquired HIV infection after enrollment (12 in the CAB arm and 39 in the TDF/ FTC arm; incident cases). The 58 cases were divided into groups A–E based on factors related to exposure to study drug (Table 1). Laboratory results and key events for these cases are shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Supplementary Files 5 and 6.

Exposure to Study Drugs Before HIV Infection

Plasma CAB concentrations were determined for participants in the CAB arm (Figure 2, Supplementary Files 3 and 5). The in vitro protein-adjusted 90% CAB inhibitory concentration (PA-IC₉₀) is 0.166 µg/mL [17, 18]. In this study, CAB concentrations were stratified into 4 groups: $<1\times$ PA-IC₉₀, $1-4\times$ PA-IC₉₀ (0.166–0.664 µg/mL), $4-8\times$ PA-IC₉₀ (0.664–1.33 µg/mL), and $\geq 8\times$ PA-IC₉₀ (≥ 1.33 µg/mL) (Supplementary File 3). In 12 of 16 cases in the CAB arm, participants received ≥ 1 injection. Ten of them had a decline in CAB concentrations in the weeks after the first injection; however, none fell below $1\times$ PA-IC₉₀.

Four baseline infections (group A) and 12 incident infections (groups B–D) were identified in the CAB arm. Five of 12



Figure 2. Case summaries (cabotegravir [CAB] arm; group D). The figure shows a summary of laboratory results and key events for participants in the CAB study arm for cases in which infection occurred despite on-time injections. *A*, Case D1. *B*, Case D2. *C*, Case D3. *D*, Case D4. Additional information, including results from testing performed at study sites, is provided in Supplementary File 5. Data are shown as a function of time (weeks since enrollment, based on calendar dates). Annotations above graphs show results obtained from testing performed at the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) Laboratory Center. Plus signs indicate reactive or positive test results; minus signs, nonreactive or negative test results. Viral load values represent the number of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) RNA copies per milliliter; 1 viral load result for case D2 was obtained using a single-copy RNA assay. Viral load results noted as <40 indicate that HIV RNA was detected at <40 copies/mL. Results from HIV genotyping are shown. All drug resistance mutations are shown for integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs); major drug resistance mutations are shown for nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (INSTIs); major drug resistance mutations are shown for nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (INRTIs). Brackets show numbers of days between the last injection and the first HIV-positive visit, graphs show plasma CAB concentrations and key events, and horizontal lines mark the following concentration cutoffs: 1.33 µg/mL (8 times the in vitro protein-adjusted 90% CAB inhibitory concentration [8× PA-IC_{sol}], 0.664 µg/mL (4× PA-IC_{sol}), and 0.166 µg/mL (1× PA-IC_{sol}). Shaded areas indicate that the participant was receiving antiretroviral therapy (cases D1, D3, and D4) or postexposure prophylaxis (case D2). Abbreviations: Ag/Ab: antigen-antibody test; BLO, below the limit of quantification (<0.025 µg/mL); IND, indeterminate test result; ND, not detected.



participants with incident infection had no CAB injections (B2 and B5) or had the last CAB injection >6 months before the first HIV-positive visit (B1, B3, and B4). At the first HIV-positive visit, 2 of these participants had CAB concentrations <1× PA-IC₆₀ (B1 and B3) and 3 did not have quantifiable CAB (B2, B4, and B5). Three of the 12 participants acquired HIV during the oral lead-in phase (group C). Two of these participants had CAB concentrations $\geq 8 \times$ PA-IC₉₀ at the first HIV-positive visit (C1 and C3), and 1 did not have quantifiable CAB at any visit before infection (C2). The remaining 4 participants acquired HIV despite mostly on-time CAB-LA injections (group D; Figure 2). These participants had 2-7 injections before the first HIV-positive visit; the median CAB concentration at that visit (interquartile range) was 1.56 (1.48-1.71) µg/mL. In these 4 cases, CAB concentrations were $\geq 8 \times \text{PA-IC}_{00}$ at 83% of the evaluable visits before the first HIV-positive visit and were $\geq 4 \times$ ${\rm PA-IC}_{_{90}}$ at 95% of those visits. These 4 cases were classified as breakthrough infections (Table 1).

Concentrations of plasma TFV and TFV-DP in dried blood spots were determined for participants in the TDF/ FTC arm (Figure 3 and Supplementary File 6). The half-lives of TFV and TFV-DP are 17 hours and 17 days, respectively; based on the analytical sensitivity of each assay, plasma and dried blood spots provide detection windows of 1–2 weeks and 2–3 months after TDF/FTC cessation, respectively [19– 21]. Two of 39 participants with incident infection had TFV and TFV-DP concentrations consistent with daily TDF/FTC adherence. In 1 case (E16; Figure 3A), TFV and TFV-DP concentrations were 107 ng/mL and 1663 fmol per punch, at the first HIV-positive visit. The other participant had a protective plasma TFV concentration at the first HIV-positive visit (56.7 ng/mL; E34; Figure 3B); a TFV-DP result was not



Figure 3. Case summary (tenofovir [TFV] disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine [TDF/FTC] arm, cases E16 and E34). Figure shows a summary of laboratory results and key events for 2 cases in the TDF/FTC study arm. *A*, Case E16. *B*, Case E34. Data are shown as a function of time (weeks since enrollment, based on calendar dates). Annotations above each graph show results obtained from testing performed at the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) Laboratory Center. Plus signs indicate reactive or positive test results; minus signs, nonreactive or negative test results. Viral load values indicate numbers of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) RNA copies per milliliter. Major drug resistance mutations are shown. Graphs show TFV and TFV diphosphate (TFV-DP) concentrations and key events. Black dashed horizontal lines indicate plasma TFV concentrations of 10 and 40 ng/mL, which correspond to 4 and 7 doses per week, respectively; gray dashed lines, TFV-DP concentrations of 350, 700, and 1250 fmol per punch, which correspond to 2, 4, and 7 doses per week, respectively. For case E16 (*A*), all drug concentrations after the week 9 study visit were consistent with daily oral TDF/FTC dosing, except for the result obtained at week 43; At that visit, the TFV concentration was 22.5 ng/mL, which is consistent with 4 doses per week. For case E34 (*B*), results from dried blood spot testing were not available at the first HIV-positive visit; this participant had plasma TFV and TFV-DP concentrations consistent with daily TDF/FTC use in the preceding months. Abbreviations: Ag/Ab: antigen-antibody; BLQ, below the limit of quantification (0.31 ng/mL for TFV; 31.3 fmol per punch for TFV-DP); IND, indeterminate test result; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor.

available for that visit. However, this participant had plasma TFV and TFV-DP concentrations consistent with daily TDF/ FTC use in the preceding months. These 2 cases were classified as breakthrough infections (Table 1).

Delayed Detection of HIV Infection

In 21 of the 58 cases (36.2%; 11 of 16 [68.8%] in the CAB arm and 10 of 42 [23.8%] in the TDF/FTC arm), testing at study sites failed to detect infection at \geq 1 study visits. In 14 of 21 cases (10 or 11 in the CAB arm and 4 of 10 in the TDF/FTC arm), delayed detection of HIV infection resulted in provision/administration of study drug to participants after they were HIV infected (Figures 2 and 3, Supplementary Files 5 and 6).

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/224/9/1581/6178946 by Fundacao Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ) user on 03 December 2021

In the CAB arm, detection of infection was delayed at study sites in all 4 baseline cases and 7 (58.3%) of 12 incident cases (median delay [range], 62 [28–72] days for baseline cases and 98 [35–185] days for incident cases). Viral load testing would have detected infection at the first HIV-positive visit in all 4 baseline cases and 5 of 7 incident cases. The median viral load (range) at the first HIV-positive visit was 24 095 (1360–50 080) copies/mL for the 4 baseline cases and 130 (<40 to 860) copies/ mL for the 5 incident cases. In the TDF/FTC arm, detection of infection was delayed at study sites in all 3 baseline cases and 7 of 39 incident infections (17.9%) (median delay [range], 34 [14–36] days for baseline cases and 31 [7–68] days for incident cases, excluding a case with a visit interval of 372 days). Viral load testing would have detected infection at the first HIV-positive visit in 9 cases. The median (range) viral load at the first HIV-positive visit was 1930 (124–10 000) copies/mL for the 3 baseline cases and 13 230 (<40 to 22 070) copies/mL for the 6 incident cases with detectable viral load.

Table 2 shows results from retrospective testing using an Ag/ Ab test, a confirmatory Ab test, qualitative RNA test, and viral load test; more extensive testing was performed in the CAB arm (Supplementary File 1). Persons who received CAB near the first HIV-positive visit (groups A, C, and D) were more likely to have delays in obtaining reactive or positive test results than participants who did not receive CAB near the time of infection (group B). Reversion of the Ag/Ab test from reactive to nonreactive was observed in 4 participants and reversion of the confirmatory Ab test from indeterminate to negative was observed in 1 participant. Assay reversion was not observed in participants who did not receive CAB near the time of infection (group B).

Prolonged viral suppression was observed in 2 of 4 baseline cases and 6 of 7 incident cases where participants received CAB near the first HIV-positive visit (groups A, C, and D); in 4 of 11 cases, the qualitative RNA assay result reverted from reactive to

nonreactive. Prolonged viral suppression and reversion of qualitative RNA assay results were not observed in the TDF/FTC arm or in CAB arm participants who did not receive CAB near the time of infection (group B).

HIV Drug Resistance

HIV genotyping was performed at the first viremic visit (>500 copies/mL) and at subsequent visits before initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART); phenotypic resistance testing was performed for participants in the CAB arm (Tables 3 and 4). Supplementary File 4 includes additional information about drug resistance testing and descriptions of the 12 cases with resistance to study drugs.

Genotyping results were obtained at the first viremic visit for 13 of 16 cases in the CAB arm (1 failed analysis; 2 had no viremic visits) and 40 of 42 in the TDF/FTC arm (2 had no viremic visit). Nine cases had NNRTI resistance only (2 in the CAB and 7 in the TDF/FTC arm); 4 had NNRTI and NRTI resistance (1 in the CAB and 3 in the TDF/FTC arm); and 1 had NRTI resistance only (in the TDF/FTC arm). All 5 cases with NRTI resistance had K65R and/or M184I/V at the first HIVpositive visit. In 4 cases, virology and pharmacology data suggest that the participants were infected with drug-resistant HIV (cases with little or no TDF/FTC use; A1, E3, E13, and E25). In the fifth case, exposure to virus with K65R may have been responsible for breakthrough infection in a participant with good TDF/FTC adherence (E16). Two participants in the TDF/

Table 2. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Test Results Associated With Delays in Detection of HIV Infection^a

		TDF/FTC Arm			
	Baseline: Group A (n = 4)	Incident: Group B (n = 5)	Incident: Groups C and D (n = 7	Baseline (n = 3)	Incident (n = 39)
Delay between 1st reactive qualitative RNA test and 1st reactive Ag/Ab test					
Participants, no. (%)	3 (75)	0	7 (100)	3 (100)	8 (21)
Duration of delay, range, d (among those with delayed Ag/Ab test result)	14-60 ^b	NA	35–185	14–36	7–68°
Delay between 1st reactive qualitative RNA test and 1st positive confirmatory Ab test					
Participants, no. (%)	4 (100) ^d	1 (20)	7 (100) ^e	3 (100)	18 (46) ^f
Duration of delay, d (among those with delayed con- firmatory Ab test result)	60-248 ^d	3	36–185 ^e	21–49	4-68 ^{c,f}
Participants with assay reversion, no. (%)					
Ag/Ab test (from reactive to nonreactive)	2 (50) ^b	0	2 (29)	0	0
Confirmatory Ab test (from indeterminate to negative)	0	0	1 (14) ^g	0	0
Qualitative RNA test (from reactive to nonreactive)	2 (50)	0	2 (29)	0	0

Abbreviations: Ab, antibody; Ag, antigen; CAB, cabotegravir; NA, not applicable; TDF/FTC, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine.

^aTable 2 shows the delays observed for different human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) test outcomes at the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) Laboratory Center for the participant groups described in Table 1. The table also shows the number of cases in which reversion of test results was observed for the Ag/Ab test, the confirmatory Ab test, and the qualitative RNA test. Data are shown for visits before initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART).

^bOne case did not have a reactive Ag/Ab test after 41 days of follow-up.

°Excludes 1 case with a 372-day visit window.

^dTwo cases did not achieve a positive confirmatory Ab test (longest pre-ART follow-up, 98 days).

^eThree cases did not achieve a positive confirmatory Ab test (longest pre-ART follow-up, 333 days).

^fEight cases did not achieve a positive confirmatory Ab test (longest pre-ART follow-up, 14 days).

⁹This participant did not achieve a positive confirmatory Ab test after 333 days of follow-up.

				Drug Resistance Mutations ^b				
Case	Sample Type ^a	Visit Type	Subtype	NRTI	NNRTI	PI	INSTI	INSTI Phenotype ^{°, °}
A1	1st Viremic	Enrollment	В	K65R, M184V	L100I, K103N, P225H	L10V, I62V		NA (assay failure)
A2	1st Viremic	Enrollment	С			M36I, L89M		Sensitive to all INSTIs; RC, 101% (95% CI, 64%–160%)
	Follow-up (60 d later)	wk 6				M36I, L89M	E138K, Q148K	NA (assay failure)
	Follow-up (69 d later)	wk 6				M36I, L89M	E138K, Q148K	NA (assay failure)
B1	1st Viremic	Yearly 1	В		V179T, Y181C , H221Y	162V		NA (assay failure)
C1 ^e	1st Viremic	wk 9	В			L10I, M36I	Q148R	NA (assay failure)
	Follow-up (10 d later)	wk 10				L10I, M36I	E138E/K, G140G/S, Q148R	NA (assay failure)
	Follow-up (14 d later)	wk 10				L10I, M36I	E138E/K, G140G/S, Q148R	NA (assay failure)
C3	1st Viremic	wk 9	В			M36I, I62V, A71T	E138A, Q148R	CAB (FC,5.92), EVG (FC above max), RAI (FC, 17), DTG (FC, 1.69), BIC (FC, 1.2); RC, 1.3% (95% CI, .82%–2.1%)
	Follow-up (1 d later)	Interim visit				M36I, I62V, A71T	E138A, Q148R	CAB (FC, 7.42), EVG (FC above max), RAI (FC, 35), DTG (FC, 2.39), BIC (FC, 1.48) RC, 5.2% (95% CI, 3.3–8.3%)
D3	1st Viremic	wk 17	BF		K103N	L10V, M36I		Sensitive to all INSTIs; RC, 47% (95% CI, 30%–47%)
	Follow-up (112 d later)	wk 33	•••		K103N	L10V, M36I	R263K	NA (assay failure)
	Follow-up (117 d later)	wk 33			K103N	L10V, M36I	R263K	CAB (FC, 2.32), EVG (FC, 4.14), RAL (FC, 1.38), DTG (FC, 2.29), BIC ^f (FC, 2.89); RC, 24% (95% Cl, 15%–38%)
D4	1st Viremic	Follow-up wk 12	С			K20R, M36I, L89M	G140A, Q148R	CAB (FC, 13), EVG (FC, 107), RAL (FC, 43), DTG (FC, 2.09), BIC ^f (FC, 2.77); RC, 25% (95% CI, 16%–40%)

Bold indicates major DRMs. Italic indicates mutations associated with resistance to study drugs.

Bold texts in "INSTI Phenotype" column indicate phenotyping results.

Abbreviations: BIC, bictegravir; CAB, cabotegravir, CI, confidence interval; DTG, dolutegravir; EVG, elvitegravir; FC, fold change; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NA, not available; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor; RAL, raltegravir; RC, replication capacity. ^aThe first viremic visit was the first visit with a viral load >500 copies/mL.

^bDrug resistance mutations (DRMs) listed in the 2019 Drug Resistance Mutations update from the International Antiviral Society–USA [16]. The notations E/K and G/S indicate that the DRM was detected as a mixture with the wild-type amino acid.

^cRC indicates the ability of recombinant viruses containing the integrase region and the C-terminal region of reverse-transcriptase derived from test samples to replicate in the absence of drug, compared with the RC of a test vector; 95% CIs are shown. An RC of 100% corresponds to the RC of wild-type (INSTI-naive) viruses.

^dFC indicates drug susceptibility (ratio of the 50% inhibitory concentration for the test sample compared with the reference).

^eCase C1 also had the INSTI polymorphism, L74I.

^fPhenotyping results showing partial susceptibility.

FTC arm had baseline infection with no resistance at enrollment; both had NRTI resistance at a subsequent visit (1 with M184I and 1 with M184I/V; E41 and E42). These participants likely acquired resistance from study drug exposure (Table 4 and Supplementary File 6).

INSTI resistance mutations were detected in 5 cases in the CAB arm (4 with INSTI resistance only and 1 with INSTI and NNRTI resistance; Table 3). In 2 cases (A2 and D3), resistance was clearly acquired, since there was no resistance at the first HIV-positive visit. In the other 3 cases (C1, C3, and D4), INSTI resistance was detected at the first viremic visit, 35–141 days after the first HIV-positive visit. In these 5 cases,

infection was first detected at study sites a median (range) of 47 (35–117) days after the first HIV-positive visit. The participants received 1–3 CAB-LA injections before the site detected the infections. The median CAB concentration (range) at the first visit with INSTI resistance was 1.84 (1.11–3.84) μ g/mL.

The participant with baseline infection developed INSTI resistance with E138K + Q148K after receiving 1 CAB-LA injection (A2). The 2 participants infected during the oral lead-in period had INSTI resistance at the first viremic visit after receiving CAB-LA. One participant had L74I + Q148R at the first viremic visit and had L74I + E138E/K + G140

	Sample Type ^a	Visit Type	Subtype	Drug Resistance Mutations ^b					
Case				NRTI	NNRTI	PI	INSTI		
E3	1st Viremic	wk 137	В	M184I					
E6	1st Viremic	Interim visit after wk 99	В		K103N	M36I, D60E			
E7	1st Viremic	wk 97	В		A98G, K103N	M36I, I62V	T97A		
E11	1st Viremic	wk 73	В		K103N				
E13	1st Viremic	wk 65	В	M184V	K103N	162V, A71T			
E14	1st Viremic	wk 65	В		K103N				
E15	1st Viremic	wk 4	В		G190S	162V			
	Follow-up	Yearly 1			G190S	162V			
E16	1st Viremic	wk 57	В	K65R	V179D, Y181C , G190A , Q207E, H221Y , F227L	L10V, D60E, I62V			
E25 ^c	1st Viremic	wk 43	BF	M184V	K103N, P225H	M36I			
E32°	1st Viremic	wk 27	В		K103N	L10V, M36I, I62V			
E39	1st Viremic	wk 9	В		K103S	L10I, I62V, A71V			
	Follow-up (7 d later)	wk 10			K103S	L10I, I62V, A71V			
E41	1st Viremic	Enrollment	В						
	Follow-up (34 d later)	wk 4		M184I					
E42°	1st Viremic	Enrollment	В		T369V	M36I			
	Follow-up (14 d later)	wk 2		M184I/V		M36I			

Bold indicates major DRMs. Italic indicates mutations associated with resistance to study drugs.

Abbreviations: INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside/nucleotide reverse-transcriptase inhibitor; PI, protease inhibitor.

^aThe first viremic visit was the first visit with a viral load >500 copies/mL

^bDrug resistance mutations (DRMs) listed in the 2019 Drug Resistance Mutations update from the International Antiviral Society–USA [16].

°Cases E25, E32, and E42 also had the INSTI polymorphism, L741.

G/S + Q148R 10 days later (C1); the other participant had E138A + Q148R at the first viremic visit (C3). In the remaining 2 cases, participants were infected despite on-time CAB-LA injections and had INSTI resistance after receiving 4 CAB-LA injections. One had NNRTI resistance at the first viremic visit and NNRTI resistance and INSTI resistance with R263K 112 days later (D3). The other participant had INSTI resistance with G140A + Q148R at the first viremic visit (D4).

Phenotyping results were obtained for 3 of the 5 participants who had INSTI resistance (1 with E138A + Q148R, 1 with G140A + Q148R, and 1 with R263K; Table 3). The first 2 cases were resistant to CAB, elvitegravir, and raltegravir but susceptible to dolutegravir (DTG); 1 was susceptible to bictegravir (BIC; C3), and 1 was partially susceptible to BIC (D4). The third case was resistant to elvitegravir and partially susceptible to BIC but was susceptible to CAB, DTG, and raltegravir. Viral replication capacity was low in all 3 cases (1.3%, 25%, and 24%).

DISCUSSION

In HPTN 083, HIV incidence was low in both study arms, highlighting the efficacy of both oral TDF/FTC and CAB-LA. In the TDF/FTC arm, 37 of the 39 participants who acquired infection during the study had drug concentrations indicating

suboptimal adherence to the daily oral regimen. The oral lead-in phase in the CAB arm also required daily pill taking. Based on drug measurements, adherence to oral CAB was poor in one-third of the participants with incident infection, which may have contributed to infection in 1 case. Owing to barriers with adherence to daily oral PrEP regimens and the good safety profile of CAB-LA, the oral phase before CAB-LA initiation may not be necessary or desirable.

In 6 of the 12 incident cases in the CAB arm, participants had CAB concentrations at the first HIV-positive visit that are expected to be protective against HIV acquisition ($\geq 8 \times \text{PA-IC}_{90}$) [17, 22]. Two of these infections occurred during the oral lead-in phase; these infections may have occurred before target drug concentrations were attained. Although pill count data in these cases suggest 96% adherence to oral CAB, these measures are often unreliable [23, 24], and actual adherence is unknown. The other 4 cases were classified as breakthrough infections. In 3 cases, the first HIV-positive visit was 8–13 weeks after a visit with a CAB concentration <8× PA-IC₉₀; this may have increased risk of PrEP failure.

The lag between the decline in CAB concentration and detection of infection may reflect a delay between initial infection in the rectal mucosa and lymph nodes and systemic dissemination of infection. Rectal tissue CAB concentrations are also lower than plasma CAB concentrations (approximately 8%) [25]; however, this alone does not explain variability in HIV risk among study participants. Rectal sexually transmitted infections could increase HIV risk through an increase in mucosal CD4⁺ cells or mucosal barrier erosion [26]. Rectal sexually transmitted infections were not diagnosed proximal to the first HIV-positive visit in these cases but were common in HPTN 083 and may have been undiagnosed at the time of HIV infection.

In addition to the infections described above, 3 occurred >6 months after the last CAB injection. CAB concentrations were very low or unquantifiable at the first HIV-positive visit in all 3 cases; HIV infection was detected at the site at the first HIV-positive visit, and ART was initiated promptly. INSTI resistance was not detected in any of these 3 cases. Breakthrough infections were observed in 2 participants in the TDF/FTC arm who had drug concentrations consistent with good adherence. In 1 case, exposure to HIV with the K65R mutation may have played a role in PrEP failure. Breakthrough infections with CAB-LA PrEP due to transmitted drug resistance were not observed in this study, which may suggest an important potential benefit of CAB-LA.

Delays in detection of HIV infections at study sites were observed in both study arms, but were more frequent and longer in the CAB arm. In the TDF/FTC arm, most participants in these cases had acute HIV infection at the first HIV-positive visit; those infections were usually detected at the next study visit. In contrast, delayed detection of infection in the CAB arm was usually associated with a long period of viral suppression and delayed Ab expression. HIV infection would have been detected at an earlier visit in almost all of these cases using a viral load assay. This could have limited exposure to study drugs after infection and provided an opportunity for earlier ART initiation. These findings suggest that use of viral load testing to screen for HIV infection in patients on CAB-LA may help prevent acquisition of INSTI resistance. This is being evaluated in the upcoming HPTN 083 open-label extension study.

INSTI resistance was detected in 5 of 14 CAB arm participants (35.7%) with genotyping data. These 5 participants received 1–3 CAB-LA injections after they were infected, before infection was detected at the study sites. Different patterns of CAB mutations were detected in the 5 cases. Susceptibility to DTG and at least partial susceptibility to BIC were retained in the 3 cases with phenotypic resistance test results (1 with E138A + Q148R, 1 with G140A + Q148R, 1 with R263K). This is important, because DTG and BIC are recommended in firstline HIV treatment regimens [27].

The current study provides important information relevant to use of CAB-LA for HIV prevention. The frequency of incident HIV infection during the injection phase among participants with CAB concentrations $\geq 8 \times \text{PA-IC}_{90}$ at the first HIV-positive visit was very low (observed in 4 participants). Long periods of viral suppression and delayed

Ab production were observed in participants receiving CAB-LA, which delayed HIV diagnosis, led to drug administration after infection, and delayed ART initiation. Most of these infections would have been detected using a sensitive viral load assay. In 2 cases, INSTI-resistant viruses had low replication capacity and retained susceptibility to DTG/BIC; however, ongoing exposure to CAB-LA in another case, due to delayed ART access, led to accumulation of additional resistance mutations. While CAB-LA is highly protective against HIV infection, infections can occur despite on-time injections with expected CAB concentrations. The open-label extension phase of HPTN 083 will provide additional information about the relationship between pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic properties of CAB-LA and HIV protection and the use of viral load testing to improve detection of breakthrough infections.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Notes

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) 083 study team and participants; the HPTN 083 site principal investigators; the laboratory staff at the study sites and the HPTN Laboratory Center; John Mellors and laboratory staff at the University of Pittsburgh; Michael Seisa, Yolanda Lie, and laboratory staff at Monogram Biosciences; the members of the HPTN 083 Endpoint Adjudication Committee and HIV Alias Committee (William Meyer, Jeanne Marrazzo, Sheila Peel, Carole Wallis, Aida Asmelash, and Eric Daar); expert virology consultants (Grace Aldrovandi and Daniel Kuritzkes); investigators at ViiV Healthcare; and Richard Clark and other investigators at Gilead Sciences.

Author contributions. All authors participated in the study, contributed to manuscript preparation, and reviewed the manuscript.

Designed study: M. A. M., R. J. L., and S. H. E. Coordinated laboratory testing: E. P. M. Coordinated and performed virology testing: V. C. and S. A. Coordinated and performed CAB and tenofovir (TFV) testing: C. D. H. Coordinated and performed TFV diphosphate (TFV-DP) testing: L. R. B. Responsible for TFV-DP testing: P. A. Provided expertise on HIV drug resistance testing: C. P. Responsible for HIV DNA testing: D. Persaud. Provided pharmaceutical support: A. R., M. S. C., and J. F. R. Analyzed data: M. A. M., J. M. F., R. J. L., and S. H. E. Data management and analysis: M. L. and L. W. Provided clinical information for a study participant and scientific input: D. Pryluka. Provided graphic arts support: R. K. Lead Laboratory Pharmacologist: M. A. M. HPTN 083 Protocol Co-Chair: B. G. HPTN Laboratory Center Virologist: J. M. F. HPTN Laboratory Center Deputy Director: E. P. M. HPTN SDMC Data Analyst: M. L. and L. W. HPTN 083 Study Coordinator: M.M. HPTN 083 NIH Medical Officer: A.A. HPTN 083 Site PIs: L. C., A. G., K. M., and N. P. HPTN Leadership and Operations Center PI: M. S. Cohen. HPTN 083 Protocol Pharmacologist: C. W. H. HPTN 083 Statistician: B. H. HPTN 083 Protocol Statistician. D. D. HPTN 083 Protocol Chair: R. J. L. HPTN 083 Virologist: S. H. E. Drafted the manuscript: M. A. M., J. M. F., R. J. L., and S. H. E. Reviewed and edited the proof set: M. A. M., J. M. F., and S. H. E.

Disclaimer. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Financial support. This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Office of the Director, National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the National Institute of Mental Health (grants UM1AI068619, UM1AI068617, and UM1AI068613).

Potential conflicts of interest. C. P. is an employee, officer, and shareholder of Laboratory Corporation of America. A. R. and M. S. C. are employees of ViiV Healthcare. J. F. R. is an employee and stockholder of Gilead Sciences. R. J. L. has served on scientific advisory boards for Gilead and Merck and has received honoraria from Roche and Janssen. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

Author roles

References

- Riddell J 4th, Amico KR, Mayer KH. HIV preexposure prophylaxis: a review. JAMA 2018; 319:1261–8.
- Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, et al; iPrEx Study Team. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. N Engl J Med 2010; 363:2587–99.
- Gulick RM, Flexner C. Long-acting HIV drugs for treatment and prevention. Annu Rev Med 2019; 70:137–50.
- Stellbrink HJ, Hoffmann C. Cabotegravir: its potential for antiretroviral therapy and preexposure prophylaxis. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2018; 13:334–40.
- Margolis DA, Gonzalez-Garcia J, Stellbrink HJ, et al. Longacting intramuscular cabotegravir and rilpivirine in adults with HIV-1 infection (LATTE-2): 96-week results of a randomised, open-label, phase 2b, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2017; 390:1499–510.
- 6. Murray MI, Markowitz M, Frank I, et al. Satisfaction and acceptability of cabotegravir long-acting injectable

suspension for prevention of HIV: patient perspectives from the ECLAIR trial. HIV Clin Trials **2018**; 19:129–38.

- Landovitz RJ, Li S, Grinsztejn B, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of long-acting injectable cabotegravir in low-risk HIV-uninfected individuals: HPTN 077, a phase 2a randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med 2018; 15:e1002690.
- 8. Landovitz RJ, Li S, Eron JJ Jr, et al. Tail-phase safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of long-acting injectable cabotegravir in HIV-uninfected adults: a secondary analysis of the HPTN 077 trial. Lancet HIV **2020**; 7:e472–81.
- Landovitz RJ, Donnell D, Clement ME, et al. HPTN 083 interim results: pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) containing long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB-LA) is safe and highly effective for cisgender men and transgender women who have sex with men (MSM,TGW). New Engl J Med 2021; In press.
- Lehman DA, Baeten JM, McCoy CO, et al; Partners PrEP Study Team. Risk of drug resistance among persons acquiring HIV within a randomized clinical trial of singleor dual-agent preexposure prophylaxis. J Infect Dis 2015; 211:1211–8.
- 11. Weis JF, Baeten JM, McCoy CO, et al; Partners PrEP Study Team. Preexposure prophylaxis-selected drug resistance decays rapidly after drug cessation. AIDS **2016**; 30:31–5.
- Sivay MV, Li M, Piwowar-Manning E, et al; HPTN 067/ADAPT Study Team. Characterization of HIV seroconverters in a TDF/FTC PrEP Study: HPTN 067/ADAPT. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75:271–9.
- Parikh UM, Mellors JW. Should we fear resistance from tenofovir/emtricitabine preexposure prophylaxis? Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2016; 11:49–55.
- Anstett K, Brenner B, Mesplede T, Wainberg MA. HIV drug resistance against strand transfer integrase inhibitors. Retrovirology 2017; 14:36.
- Tosiano MA, Jacobs JL, Shutt KA, Cyktor JC, Mellors JW. A simpler and more sensitive single-copy HIV-1 RNA assay for quantification of persistent HIV-1 viremia in Individuals on suppressive antiretroviral therapy. J Clin Microbiol 2019; 57:e01714-18.
- Wensing AM, Calvez V, Ceccherini-Silberstein F, et al. 2019 Update of the drug resistance mutations in HIV-1. Top Antivir Med 2019; 27:111–21.
- Andrews CD, Spreen WR, Mohri H, et al. Long-acting integrase inhibitor protects macaques from intrarectal simian/human immunodeficiency virus. Science 2014; 343:1151-4.
- Spreen W, Min S, Ford SL, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and monotherapy antiviral activity of GSK1265744, an HIV integrase strand transfer inhibitor. HIV Clin Trials 2013; 14:192–203.
- 19. Hendrix CW, Andrade A, Bumpus NN, et al. Dose frequency ranging pharmacokinetic study of tenofovir-emtricitabine

after directly observed dosing in healthy volunteers to establish adherence benchmarks (HPTN 066). AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses **2016**; 32:32–43.

- Anderson PL, Liu AY, Castillo-Mancilla JR, et al. Intracellular tenofovir-diphosphate and emtricitabinetriphosphate in dried blood spots following directly observed therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2018; 62:e10710-17.
- 21. Gilead. Truvada prescribing information. https:// wwwgileadcom/~/media/files/pdfs/medicines/hiv/ truvada/truvada_pipdf 2020. Accessed 28 November 2020.
- 22. Andrews CD, Yueh YL, Spreen WR, et al. A long-acting integrase inhibitor protects female macaques from repeated high-dose intravaginal SHIV challenge. Sci Transl Med **2015**; 7:270ra4.
- 23. Amico KR, Marcus JL, McMahan V, et al. Study product adherence measurement in the iPrEx placebo-controlled trial: concordance with drug detection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr **2014**; 66:530–7.

- 24. van der Straten A, Brown ER, Marrazzo JM, et al; MTN-003 VOICE Protocol Team for Microbicide Trials Network. Divergent adherence estimates with pharmacokinetic and behavioural measures in the MTN-003 (VOICE) study. J Int AIDS Soc **2016**; 19:20642.
- 25. Spreen W, Ford SL, Chen S, et al. GSK1265744 pharmacokinetics in plasma and tissue after single-dose long-acting injectable administration in healthy subjects. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 67:481–6.
- 26. Wall KM, Karita E, Nyombayire J, et al. Genital abnormalities, hormonal contraception, and HIV transmission risk in Rwandan serodifferent couples. J Infect Dis **2021**; 224:81–91.
- 27. US Department of Health and Human Services. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. https://clinicalinfohivgov/en/guidelines/ adult-and-adolescent-arv/what-start-initial-combinationregimens-antiretroviral-naive?view=full 2019. Accessed 12 December 2020.