

Promises and challenges: cabotegravir for preexposure prophylaxis

Matthew A. Spinelli^a, Beatriz Grinsztejn^b, and Raphael J. Landovitz^c

Purpose of review

Tenofovir-based oral PrEP has been effective in reducing population-level HIV incidence in multiple settings, although disparities remain. Injectable cabotegravir-based PrEP is an alternative that may be attractive to individuals with adherence challenges or who do not desire to take a daily medication. We review promises and challenges of cabotegravir-based PrEP.

Recent findings

Cabotegravir has demonstrated higher effectiveness than oral PrEP in two randomized trials, with a hazard ratio of 0.31 for HIV incidence among MSM and transgender women across multiple settings [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.18–0.62] and 0.11 for cisgender women in sub-Saharan Africa (95% CI 0.040.32). Cabotegravir was also highly effective among populations with disproportionate HIV incidence. Although cabotegravir breakthrough was rare, diagnosis was delayed with use of antigen/antibody-based HIV tests, and resistance occurred with breakthrough infections. Implementation will need to overcome several challenges, including HIV RNA laboratory monitoring not being widely available, requirement for additional staff time and clinic space, and need to provide oral medication during interruptions in dosing.

Summary

Cabotegravir-based PrEP is a highly effective additional PrEP option that will expand HIV prevention options. For successful roll-out, strategies for streamlined and accessible delivery of cabotegravir in real-world settings will need to be developed.

Keywords

injectable preexposure prophylaxis, long-acting cabotegravir, real-world implementation

INTRODUCTION

Tenofovir-based oral preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) has been effective in dramatically reducing population-level HIV incidence in multiple districts, including London [1], San Francisco [2], New South Wales [3,4], and in rural Kenya and Uganda [5]. Despite these successes, PrEP has not decreased HIV incidence equally, with youth, cisgender women, and black populations continuing to experience a disproportionate number of both incident and prevalent HIV infections [6–9]. To realize PrEP's benefits, individuals need to both initiate PrEP and remain on PrEP during periods at-risk [10,11]. In multiple studies, reasons for stopping or never initiating PrEP include, in addition to challenges accessing the medication, low self-perceived risk, concerns about medication side effects or a desire not to take a daily pill, stigma, or competing life events [11,12,13,14]. Long-acting PrEP agents have the potential to address some of these concerns by providing consistent protection through infrequent, discrete administration, avoiding the need to take a daily medication [15,16]. This review will discuss the promises and challenges of real-world cabotegravir implementation.

REVIEW: RESULTS FROM PHASE 3 TRIALS OF INJECTABLE PREEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS

Two large randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have demonstrated the effectiveness of injectable cabo-tegravir when compared with oral tenofovir-

^aDivision of HIV, ID, and Global Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA, ^bInstituto de Pesquisa Clinica Evandro Chagas-Fiocruz, Rio De Janeiro, Brasil and ^cUCLA Center for Clinical AIDS Research and Education, Los Angeles, California, USA

Correspondence to Raphael J. Landovitz, 911 Broxton Avenue, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90024, USA. Tel: +1 310 825 3782; fax: +1 310 477 7657; e-mail: rlandovitz@mednet.ucla.edu

Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2022, 17:186-191 DOI:10.1097/COH.00000000000000733

www.co-hivandaids.com

Volume 17 • Number 4 • July 2022

KEY POINTS

- Oral tenofovir-based PrEP is highly effective but disparities remain because of lower PrEP uptake and adherence among populations disproportionately impacted by the HIV epidemic.
- Injectable cabotegravir-based PrEP has demonstrated higher effectiveness than oral tenofovir-based PrEP in two randomized clinical trials among MSM and transgender women, as well as cisgender women in sub-Saharan Africa.
- Expansion of HIV prevention options is likely to increase overall uptake of PrEP, with most populations surveyed expressing a strong willingness to try injectable PrEP.
- Cabotegravir implementation will need to overcome challenges regarding delayed HIV diagnosis, access to HIV RNA testing, and streamlining of clinic procedures.
- Additional data on breakthrough infections despite on time cabotegravir iniections and resistance in real-world settings, as well as strategies for successful implementation and treatment monitoring is needed.

diso-proxil-fumarate/emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) PrEP: HIV Prevention Trials Network (HPTN) Study 083 and HPTN Study 084. HPTN 083 is a doubleblind double-dummy RCT, which assessed the effectiveness of every 8-week cabotegravir for PrEP compared with oral TDF/FTC PrEP among cisgender men and transgender women at 43 sites in the United States, Latin America, Asia, and Africa using a noninferior-ity study design [17**]. Overall, 4566 participants, of whom 12.5% were transgender women, were assigned 1: 1 to injectable cabotegravir given every 8 weeks or daily oral TDF/ FTC PrEP, and were followed for 153 weeks during the blinded phase of the study. Among the 1698 participants enrolled in the United States, 49.8% were black. The blinded portion of the study was stopped early for efficacy, with injectable cabotegravir demonstrating superiority over TDF/FTC with a hazard ratio of 0.34 for HIV incidence when compared with TDF/FTC [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.18–0.62], with an incidence of 0.41 and 1.22 per 100 person-years in the cabo-tegravir and TDF/ FTC arms, respectively in the pre-specified analysis [17**]. HIV infection was identified after enrollment in 51 participants, with only 12 occurring in the cabotegravir group [18**]. When examining subgroups, the magnitude and direction of the effectiveness of cabotegravir was preserved among populations who have historically experienced greater adherence challenges with TDF/ FTC PrEP,

including among youth, transgender women, and US black participants. In the open-label phase, the HIV incidence increased in both the cabotegravir and TDF/FTC arms (0.54 vs. 1.57 per 100 person-years), related at least in part to lower adherence in both arms, although the hazard ratio remained the same when comparing both arms (0.34 95% CI 0.22–0.53) [19**].

HPTN 084 is a similarly designed study among cisgender women in Eastern and Southern Africa that used a superiority study design. Overall, 3223 cisgender women were enrolled, with 57% of participants 18–25 years old. Of the 38 incident infections occurring in the study, only 4 occurred in the cabotegravir arm, providing a hazard ratio of 0.11 for HIV infection compared with TDF/FTC (95% CI 0.04–0.32), also demonstrating superiority of cabotegravir compared with TDF/FTC [20**]. There were no differences in treatment effect among younger participants (age <26 years).

POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES OF INJECTABLE CABOTEGRAVIR

Given injectable cabotegravir's high effectiveness in these two phase 3 clinical trials, cabotegravir's rollout is expected to contribute significantly to expanding HIV prevention options. Although TDF/FTC can achieve near-perfect efficacy for prevention of HIV sexual transmission with high adherence, significant adherence challenges have been documented among populations at highest risk of HIV infection [6,7,9]. Injectable cabotegravir obviates the need for daily, potentially stigmatizing adherence behavior (daily pill-taking) but requires that the participant to present every 8 weeks to receive the injection. Although some PrEP users will prefer to continue or start oral PrEP, some PrEP users will prefer an injectable option. Participants have reported equal or higher acceptability for a hypothetical injectable PrEP option; including among sub-Saharan African men and women [16,21–23], and United States-based cisgender women [24]; while 74% of United States-based MSM reported a high likelihood of using injectable PrEP [25]. There was particularly high interest among individuals not willing to take oral PrEP because of the desire to avoid taking a daily pill, or those with concerns about stigma from daily pilltaking [26–28]. Data from the contraceptive literature have suggested that the availability of choice of contraceptive options leads to higher overall uptake [29], which is hypothesized to hold true for HIV prevention strategies given varying preferences for oral vs. injectable PrEP among populations at-risk for HIV [30].

Several innovations in cabotegravir delivery are likely to enhance acceptability, including the ability to bypass an oral lead-in phase, which although part of the original randomized studies, is now being offered as optional in the open-label extensions of HPTN 083 and 084 [31"]. 'Direct to inject' cabotegravir appears viable as aside from injection site reactions (ISRs), injectable cabotegravir has a very low rate of adverse events and excellent tolerability, as evidenced by the low rate of injection discontinuations in HPTN 083/084 [17**,20**]. Weight gain was initially a concern with integrase inhibitorbased PrEP given dramatic increases in posttreatment body weight among people living with HIV who initiated dolutegravir-based antiretroviral therapy (ART) [32]. However, in HPTN 083, body weight increased only 1.23 kg/year (95% CI 1.05–1.42) as compared with 0.37 kg/year in the TDF/FTC group (95% CI 0.18–0.55), with no difference in weight gain after week 40. In HPTN 084, there were weight increases of 2.4 kg/year on cabotegravir and 2.2 kg/ year on TDF/FTC, which was not significantly different (P=0.12) [20**]. As differences in weight gain were not seen in a phase 2 study comparing cabotegravir and placebo (HPTN 077) [33], differences in weight gain may be driven by weight-suppressive effects of TDF, rather than the weight gain being driven by cabotegravir [34].

Given disproportionately low uptake and adherence with oral PrEP for youth, black MSM, and transgender women, these populations have experienced worsening disparities in HIV diagnoses in the PrEP era [6–8,35,36,37^{*}]. It is, therefore, reassuring that injectable cabotegravir has demonstrated comparable effectiveness among youth, black MSM, and transgender women in clinical trials. The high effectiveness of injectable cabotegravir in HPTN 084 supports it as a particularly exciting option for women in sub-Saharan at-risk of HIV, given prior documented adherence challenges among cisgender women in nonserodiscordant partnerships [38–40]. However, advantages in bypassing daily adherence behavior may not be realized if individuals cannot access injectable PrEP or do not return for repeat injections. Additional data from delivery of injectable PrEP in real-world settings will be needed to support roll-out and national program decisions to include injectable PrEP as part of prevention services.

POTENTIAL CHALLENGES OF INJECTABLE CABOTEGRAVIR AS PREEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS: HIV DIAGNOSIS, DELIVERY, THE PHARMACOKINETIC 'TAIL'

A key challenge with the implementation of injectable cabotegravir as PrEP is its impact on diagnosis of

breakthrough HIV infections. In HPTN 083, in 21 of 58 cases of HIV infection, study sites did not detect HIV infection in at least one study visit using an HIV screening algorithm that included fourth generation antigen/antibody testing [18**]. All 4 baseline infections and 7 of 12 incident cases were missed for at least one visit, with those experiencing a delay having a median delay of 98 days (range 35 – 185) and a median delay of 34 days (range 14–36) in the TDF/FTC arm. It should be noted that the delay in TDF/FTC arm detection likely was significantly shorter than 34 days but an administrative bias from the interval of testing provided the result of 34 days. This source of bias is critical to the interpretation of this finding as it has been occasionally misinterpreted. In the TDF/FTC arm, only 7 of 39 incident infections were missed using the same testing algorithm, in addition to the 3 baseline infections [18^{••}]. Nearly all of these cases would have been detected at an earlier visit through use of an HIV RNA assay for screening, which could have permitted more immediate initiation of ART. As a result of these findings, the 2021 update of the CDC PrEP guidelines recommends HIV RNA testing at cabotegravir initiation and at every 8-week follow-up visit; indeed the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) prescribing information also recommends the use of an HIV RNA assay that is US FDA cleared for diagnostic use. Currently, the only assays that are so-cleared are the Hologic Aptima assay, and the Roche Cobas HIV-1/HIV-2 Qualitative Test. The use of every 8 weeks HIV RNA testing at each clinical visit is being further evaluated in the HPTN 083 and 084 open-label extensions [18**]. Both quantitative and qualitative viral load testing is expensive and could cause implementation of cabotegravir-based PrEP to remain limited in low-income and middleincome countries (LMICs) should these testing requirements be also adopted by other regulatory agencies and guideline panels. Although pooling of HIV viral load specimens, with individual confirmatory testing performed only when the pooled specimen is positive, can help to reduce these costs [41], this approach may reduce sensitivity and may not be feasible in lower volume PrEP programs or those that perform high-volume HIV RNA testing for HIV treatment, or in regions where such assays are unavailable. In order to determine if the additional resource investment required to implement more sensitive diagnostics is cost-effective in these settings, additional data are needed - which include insights into the timing and tempo of evolution of INSTI resistance, considerations of risk for occult transmission to others, and implications for personal health. Importantly, the number of HIV infections that could be averted using cabotegravir PrEP compared with oral PrEP is likely to favor leveraging of the most sensitive HIV testing routinely available for screening when using cabotegravir, even when RNA-based assays are not available or practical.

In addition to the potential need for HIV RNA testing, there are other implementation challenges that will need to be addressed with the roll-out of cabotegravir as PrEP. The implementation of cabotegravir/rilpivirine as HIV treatment in United States settings provides an important example of the barriers facing the implementation of LAI PrEP [42,43]. The need for prior authorization in most contexts, as opposed to a 'buy-and-bill mechanism', that would allow the drug to be stocked in clinics for easy use, has increased administrative staff burden, cumbersome prior authorization processes, and delays in access. Injectable cabotegravir requires gluteal administration by a licensed provider, and is not approved currently to be self-administered by the patient. This has additional impact on clinic flow, space, and staffing, given the need for additional examination rooms for injections and more frequent in-person clinic visits for patients. As a result of these implementation challenges, uptake of injectable cabote-gravir/rilpivirine as ART has been slower than expected, and has not been incorporated into the ART programs for LMICs [43]. Successful streamlining of clinic visits and recruitment of patients motivated to switch to long-acting ART have been key factors in success of cabotegravir/rilpivirine implementation [44^{*}], and will likely need to be replicated with cabotegravir PrEP implementation.

Providers will also need to review contraindications of cabotegravir use, including the use of rifampin and rifapentine, some anticonvulsants including carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, or phenytoin, as well as coadministration of drugs, which could impact metabolism via uridine diphosphate-glucuronosyl-transferase (UGT)1A1. Individuals with fillers and buttock implants were excluded from the HPTN registrational studies out of safety concerns, although injection into the thigh is being studied within the FLAIR cabotegravir/rilpivirine treatment study -results of safety and pharmacokinetic equivalence of these anterior thigh injections are eagerly anticipated. ISRs are common with injectable cabotegravir, with 81.4 vs. 31.3% of the TDF/FTC group who received at least one injection experiencing an ISR, although most of these ISRs were mild and were described as pain or tenderness at the injection site and were mostly of 1 -2 days duration and selflimited. Of the 2117 participants who received at least one active cabotegravir injection, only 2.4% discontinued the injections because of an injectionrelated adverse event. Nevertheless, providers will need to become comfortable with the management of ISRs during cabotegravir implementation. Lastly, there is potential for additional costs accrued by patients and delivery programs when compared with TDF/FTC oral PrEP, which is now available generically. Benefit navigators, administrative staff, and/or pharmacists, therefore, have important roles in the delivery of injectable cabotegravir PrEP.

The pharmacologic 'tail' of injectable cabotegravir is often cited as an additional concern for cabotegravir roll-out [45]. In the phase 2 HPTN 077 study, 13% of cisgender male and 42% of cisgender female individuals had detectable cabotegravir concentrations 76 weeks following the last injection [46]. Although the long pharmacologic tail of cabotegravir provides forgiveness for delayed doses and could even potentially support quarterly dosing among cisgen-der women, the persistence of detectable drug at low concentrations could increase chances of development of resistance if HIV acquisitions were to occur during that period of decay. Reassuringly, in HPTN 083, there was no evidence of integrase resistance in any of the three individuals who were diagnosed with incident HIV infection during the 'tail' phase [18**]. However, additional data from the HPTN 083/084 open-label extensions, and realworld cohorts will be needed to confirm that significant integrase inhibitor resistance does not occur during HIV acquisition during the cabotegravir tail phase. Provision of oral 'bridging' during periods in which access to injectable cabotegravir is interrupted and best available HIV prevention services after cabotegravir discontinuation, is expected to prevent development of cabotegravir resistance in the setting of PrEP failure, and should be emphasized in roll-out efforts. In the registrational studies, 48 weeks of oral TDF/FTC was used to 'cover the tail' beginning 8 weeks after the final injection of cabotegravir. A significant challenge will occur among individuals who stop cabo-tegravir PrEP because of decreased selfperceived risk of HIV infection as motivation to continue oral PrEP could wane; further, those challenged historically by daily oral pill taking may not succeed when asked to use daily oral interventions either as 'bridging' or as 'tail' coverage.

Although cabotegravir failure is rare, integrase inhibitor resistance can occur with PrEP breakthrough, with one of four individuals demonstrating evidence of integrase resistance who initiated cabotegravir in the setting of acute HIV infection; two of three individuals who HIV-seroconverted during the oral lead-in period; and all four individuals who HIV-seroconverted despite on time cabo-tegravir injections [18**]. No data are yet available on the ability to achieve virologic suppression using second-generation integrase inhibitor-based ART (i.e. dolutegravir or bictegravir) following cabote-gravir breakthrough.

The cause of breakthrough in the four cases despite on time injections remains unclear. Possible explanations include the comparatively lower tissue drug levels in the rectum as compared with the plasma (cabotegravir partitions in rectal tissue at 8–10% of plasma concentrations) [47], inflammation related to rectal sexually transmitted infections, large exposure innocula, or other factors. Use of more sensitive HIV RNA testing could have potentially prevented development of HIV resistance in most of these cases, by identifying incident infection prior to the development of inte-grase resistance. This is an important concern, given the transition to dolutegravir-based regimens as first-line ART regimens worldwide. In the setting of limited access to HIV viral load and resistance testing in some LMICs, it will be important to monitor cases of cabotegravir PrEP breakthrough carefully during roll-out to ensure that integrase resistance dose not increase significantly at a population level, particularly if the rate of on-time injections is lower in real-world settings or if HIV monitoring adherence is not perfect [48]. In LMICs, treatment of individuals who experience breakthrough on cabotegravir will likely require protease inhibitor-based regimen usage, given limited access to genotyping, or NNRTI-based regimens if NNRTI resistance can be excluded.

CONCLUSION

Several ongoing studies will facilitate cabotegravir's implementation and are eagerly anticipated, including bridging trials in adolescents, and of alternate injection sites, such as the thigh (being examined in FLAIR), as well as pregnancy data from the 084 openlabel extension. The implementation lifecycle will also require study of strategies that could potentially facilitate its uptake, including new care delivery models, such as integrated care with contraception and/or gender-affirming hormone therapy, mobile or more convenient administration through drop-in clinics, pharmacy and other nontraditional venue administration, and mHealth technologies to facilitate on-time injections. As roll-out continues, cabotegravir-based PrEP is expected to contribute significantly to global efforts to end the HIV epidemic because of its ability to avoid daily consumer-initiated dosing, while providing protection through administration, potentially reducing stigma, with high efficacy and tolerability.

Acknowledgements

M.A.S. was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Mental Health K23 MH122286. R.J.L. reports additional support by the

UCLA Center for HIV Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Services (CHIPTS) NIMH grant P30 MH58107; and the UCLA Clinical Translational Science Institute (CTSI) Grant UL1TR001881. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NIH. M.A.S. has no conflicts of interest to report. R.J.L. reports serving on advisory boards for Gilead and Merck, Inc, and receiving honoraria from Janssen and Cepheid. B.G. reports serving on advisory boards from GSK, ViiV, Merck and Janssen.

Financial support and sponsorship

None

Conflicts of interest

M.A.S. was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute of Mental Health K23 MH122286. R.J.L. reports additional support by the UCLA Center for HIV Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Services (CHIPTS) NIMH grant P30MH 58107; and the UCLA Clinical Translational Science Institute (CTSI) Grant UL1TR001881. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of NIH.

REFERENCES AND RECOMMENDED READING

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- ■■ of outstanding interest
- Sullivan AK, Chiavenna C, Cartier A, et al. HIV and STI incidence among MSM users and nonusers of HIV PrEP in England: results from the IMPACT trial. 2021. In: 18th European AIDS Conference. October 27. London, England. #BPD2/7.
- Scheer S, Hsu L, Schwarcz S, et al. Trends in the San Francisco human immunodeficiency virus epidemic in the 'getting to zero' era. Clin Infect Dis 2017: 66:1027-1034.
- Grulich AE, Jin F, Bavinton BR, et al. Long-term protection from HIV infection with oral HIV preexposure prophylaxis in gay and bisexual men: findings from the expanded and extended EPIC-NSW prospective implementation study. Lancet HIV 2021; 8:e486-e494.
- Grulich AE, Guy R, Amin J, et al. Population-level effectiveness of rapid, targeted, high-coverage roll-out of HIV preexposure prophylaxis in men who have sex with men: the EPIC-NSW prospective cohort study. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e629–e637
- 5. Koss CA, Havlir DV, Ayieko J, et al. HIV incidence after preexposure prophy-
- laxis initiation among women and men at elevated HIV risk: A populationbased study in rural Kenya and Uganda. PLoS Med 2021; 18:e1003492.

This large demonstration project of oral PrEP among women and men in rural Kenya and Uganda demonstrates the impact of large-scale roll out of PrEP in reducing HIV incidence in rural, sub-Saharan African settings.

- Serota DP, Rosenberg ES, Lockard AM, et al. Beyond the biomedical: preexposure prophylaxis failures in a cohort of young black men who have sex with men in Atlanta, Georgia. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 67:965–970.
- Scott HM, Spinelli M, Vittinghoff E, et al. Racial/ethnic and HIV risk category disparities in PrEP discontinuation among patients in publicly-funded primary care clinics. AIDS 2019; 33:2189–2195.
- Deutsch MB, Glidden DV, Sevelius J, et al. HIV preexposure prophylaxis in transgender women: a subgroup analysis of the iPrEx trial. Lancet HIV 2015; 2:e512 – e519.
- Celum C, Hosek S, Tsholwana M, et al. PrEP uptake, persistence, adherence, and effect of retrospective drug level feedback on PrEP adherence among young women in southern Africa: results from HPTN 082, a randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med 2021; 18:e1003670.

- Haberer JE, Bangsberg DR, Baeten JM, et al. Defining success with HIV preexposure prophylaxis: a prevention-effective adherence paradigm. AIDS 2015: 29:1277-1285.
- Laborde ND, Kinley PM, Spinelli M, et al. Understanding PrEP persistence: provider and patient perspectives. AIDS Behav 2020; 24:2509-2519.
- Spinelli MA, Laborde N, Kinley P, et al. Missed opportunities to prevent HIV infections among preexposure prophylaxis users: a population-based mixed methods study, San Francisco, United States. J Int AIDS Soc 2020; 23: e25472.
- Jin F, Amin J, Guy R, et al. Adherence to daily HIV preexposure prophylaxis in a large-scale implementation study in New South Wales, Australia. Aids 2021; 35:1987–1996.

This is a very large demonstration study of oral PrEP in New South Wales, Australia, demonstrating a strong impact on population-level HIV incidence of oral PrEP but disparities in impact among youth and those who were not gay-identified.

- Krakower D, Maloney KM, Powell VE, et al. Patterns and clinical consequences of discontinuing HIV preexposure prophylaxis during primary care. J Int AIDS Soc 2019; 22:e25250.
- Cohen MS, Landovitz RJ. Assessing the role of long-acting cabotegravir preexposure prophylaxis of human immunodeficiency virus: opportunities and aspirations. J Infect Dis 2021; 223:1–3.
- van der Straten A, Agot K, Ahmed K, et al. TRIO Study Team. The Tablets, Ring, Injections as Options (TRIO) study: what young African women chose and used for future HIV and pregnancy prevention. J Int AIDS Soc 2018; 21: a25094
- 17. Landovitz RJ, Donnell D, Clement ME, et al. HPTN 083 Study Team.
- Cabotegravir for HIV prevention in cisgender men and transgender women. N Engl J Med 2021; 385:595-608.

This phase 3 double-blind double-dummy randomized controlled trial demonstrated superior effectiveness for injectable cabotegravir-based PrEP as compared with oral tenofovir-based PrEP. Cabotegravir had similarly high effectiveness in populations disproportionately impacted by the HIV epidemic with reported adherence challenges with oral PrEP, such as black MSM, transgender women, and youth.

- 18. Marzinke MA, Grinsztejn B, Fogel JM, et al. Characterization of human
- immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in cisgender men and transgender women who have sex with men receiving injectable cabotegravir for HIV prevention: HPTN 083. J Infect Dis 2021; 224:1581-1592.

This substudy of HPTN 083 explored patterns of breakthrough infections in the above study, demonstrating potential for delayed diagnosis with injectable cabotegravir as compared with oral PrEP, as well as the potential for integrase inhibitor resistance with breakthrough infections.

- 19. Landovitz RJ. Updated efficacy, safety, and case studies in HPTN 083: Cab-
- ■■ LA Vs. TDF/FTC For PrEP. In: Conference on retroviruses and opportunistic infections 2022. Denver, Colorado, USA. 14 February 2022 [Abstract #96] This conference abstract updates the HPTN 083 studies outcomes including data from the open-label phase. Adherence decreased in both arms over time but did not impact the point estimate for superior efficacy of cabotegravir vs. TDF/FTC.
- 20. Delany-Moretlwe S, Hughes J, Bock P, et al. Long acting injectable cabote-
- gravir is safe and effective in preventing HIV infection in cisgender women: interim results from HPTN 084. HIVR4P 2021. Capetown, South Africa. 27 January 2021 [Abstract# HY01.02LB].

This conference abstract reports the interim results of a randomized controlled trial of injectable cabotegravir compared with oral tenofovir-based PrEP among cisgender women in sub-Saharan Africa, superior effectiveness with injectable cabotegravir, leading to study unblinding. There was similarly high effectiveness among young women, a population noted to have adherence challenges with oral PrEP

- Montgomery ET, Browne EN, Atujuna M, et al. Long-acting injection and implant preferences and trade-offs for HIV prevention among South African male youth. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2021; 87:928–936.
- Luecke EH, Cheng H, Woeber K, et al. Stated product formulation preferences for HIV preexposure prophylaxis among women in the VOICE-D (MTN-003D) study. J Int AIDS Soc 2016; 19:20875.
- Minnis AM, Atujuna M, Browne EN, et al. Preferences for long-acting preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) for HIV prevention among South African youth: results of a discrete choice experiment. J Int AIDS Soc 2020; 23:e25528.
- 24. Philbin MM, Parish C, Kinnard EN, et al. Interest in long-acting injectable preexposure prophylaxis (LAI PrEP) among Women in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS): a qualitative study across six cities in the United States. AIDS Behav 2021; 25:667–678.
- 25. Mansergh G, Kota KK, Stephenson R, et al. Preference for using a variety of future HIV preexposure prophylaxis products among men who have sex with men in three US cities. J Int AIDS Soc 2021; 24:e25664.
- In this large United States online study in three cities of preferences for PrEP product formulation among United States MSM, 74% reported high likelihood of using long-acting injectable PrEP.
- 26. Stephenson R, Rogers E, Mansergh G, et al. Intimate partner violence and preferences for preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) modes of delivery among a sample of gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men. AIDS Behav 2022. doi: 10.1007/s10461-022-03587-8. Online ahead of print.

- Dubov A, Ogunbajo A, Altice FL, Fraenkel L. Optimizing access to PrEP based on MSM preferences: results of a discrete choice experiment. AIDS Care 2019; 31:545–553.
- 28. Biello KB, Hosek S, Drucker MT, et al. Preferences for injectable PrEP among young U.S. cisgender men and transgender women and men who have sex with men. Arch Sex Behav 2018; 47:2101–2107.
- Jain AK. Fertility reduction and the quality of family planning services. Stud Fam Plann 1989; 20:1–16.
- Pyra MN, Haberer JE, Hasen N, et al. Global implementation of PrEP for HIV prevention: setting expectations for impact. J Int AIDS Soc 2019; 22:e25370.
- 31. Orkin C, Bernal Morell E, Tan DHS, *et al.* Initiation of long-acting cabotegravir
- plus rilpivirine as direct-to-injection or with an oral lead-in in adults with HIV-1 infection: week124 results of the open-label phase 3 FLAIR study. Lancet HIV 2021: 8:e668 – e678.

This study of injectable caboegtavir with rilpivirine for HIV treatment demonstrated the safety of direct to inject antiretroviral therapy, that is, administering injectable cabotegravir without an oral lead-in to test its safety and tolerability. This study supports the use ofinjectable cabotegravir PrEP withoutan oral lead-in, as is now being studied in the HPTN 083 and 084 open-label extensions.

- Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:803–815.
- Landovitz RJ, Zangeneh SZ, Chau G, et al. Cabotegravir is not associated with weight gain in human immunodeficiency virus-uninfected individuals in HPTN 077. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 70:319–322.
- Shah S, Pilkington V, Hill A. Is tenofovir disoproxil fumarate associated with weight loss? AIDS 2021; 35(Suppl 2):S189-S195.
- 35. Koss CA, Hosek SG, Bacchetti P, et al. Comparison of measures of adherence to human immunodeficiency virus preexposure prophylaxis among adolescent and young men who have sex with men in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 66:213–219.
- 36. Grinsztejn B, Hoagland B, Moreira RI, et al. Retention, engagement, and adherence to preexposure prophylaxis for men who have sex with men and transgender women in PrEP Brasil: 48 week results of a demonstration study. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e136-e145.
- 37. Green KE, Nguyen LH, Phan HTT, et al. Prepped for PrEP? Acceptability,
- continuation and adherence among men who have sex with men and transgender women enrolled as part of Vietnam's first preexposure prophylaxis program. Sex Health 2021; 18:104-115.

This study is a demonstration project for oral PrEP among MSM and transgender women in Vietnam, showing challenges with adherence and discontinuation among youth from key populations in a low and middle income country setting.

- Koss CA, Bacchetti P, Hillier SL, et al. Differences in cumulative exposure and adherence to tenofovir in the VOICE, iPrEx OLE, and PrEP demo studies as determined via hair concentrations. AIDS Res Hum Retrovirus 2017; 33:778-783.
- Marrazzo JM, Ramjee G, Richardson BA, et al. VOICE Study Team. Tenofovirbased preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. N Engl J Med 2015; 372:509–518.
- Van Damme L, Corneli A, Ahmed K, et al., FEM-PrEP Study Group. Preexposure prophylaxis for HIV infection among African women. N Engl J Med 2012; 367:411–422.
- van Zyl GU, Preiser W, Potschka S, et al. Pooling strategies to reduce the cost of HIV-1 RNA load monitoring in a resource-limited setting. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:264–270.
- Dawood H. The optimum implementation of long-acting injectable cabotegravir-rilpivirine in sub-Saharan Africa. Lancet Glob Health 2021; 9: e563-e564.
- 43. Howe ZW, Norman S, Lueken AF, et al. Therapeutic review of cabotegravir/ rilpivirine long-acting antiretroviral injectable and implementation considerations at an HIV specialty clinic. Pharmacotherapy 2021; 41:686-699.
- Czarnogorski M, Garris G, D'Amico R, et al. Customize: overall results from a hybrid III implementation-effectiveness study examining implementation of
- cabotegravir and rilpivirine long-acting injectable for HIV treatment in US healthcare settings; final patient and provider data. Presented at 11th International AIDS Society Conference on HIV Science; 18–21 July 2021; virtual [Accessed 5 November 2021].

This hybrid implementation-effectiveness study examined the implementation of cabotegravir-rilpivirine antiretroviral therapy in US clinics, identifying that streamlining ofclinic processes and high patient interest in injectable PrEP were keys to success.

- Clement ME, Kofron R, Landovitz RJ. Long-acting injectable cabotegravir for the prevention of HIV infection. Curr Opin HIV AIDS 2020; 15:19–26.
- 46. Landovitz RJ, Li S, Grinsztejn B, et al. Safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of long-acting injectable cabotegravir in low-risk HIV-uninfected individuals: HPTN 077, a phase 2a randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med 2018; 15:e1002690.
- Shaik JS, Weld ED, Edick S, et al. Multicompartmental pharmacokinetic evaluation of long-acting cabotegravir in healthy adults for HIV preexposure prophylaxis. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2021. doi: 10.1111/bcp.14980. Online ahead of print.
- **48.** Spinelli MA, Scott HM, Vittinghoff E, *et al.* Provider adherence to preexposure prophylaxis monitoring guidelines in a large primary care network. Open Forum Infect Dis 2018; 5:ofy099.