History of insecticide resistance of Triatominae vectors
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ABSTRACT
In the last 15 years, different types of Triatominae resistance to different insecticides have been reported; thus, resistance may be more widespread than known, requiring better characterization and delimitation, which was the aim of this review. This review was structured on a literature search of all articles from 1970 to 2015 in the PubMed database that contained the keywords Insecticide resistance and Triatoma. Out of 295 articles screened by title, 33 texts were selected for detailed analysis. Insecticide resistance of Triatomines is a complex phenomenon that has been primarily reported in Argentina and Bolivia, and is caused by different factors (associated or isolated). Insecticide resistance of Triatominae is a characteristic inherited in an autosomal and semi-dominant manner, and is polygenic, being present in both domestic and sylvatic populations. The toxicological profile observed in eggs cannot be transposed to different stages of evolution. Different toxicological profiles exist at macro- and microgeographical levels. The insecticide phenotype has both reproductive and developmental costs. Different physiological mechanisms are involved in resistance. Studies of Triatome resistance to insecticides highlight three deficiencies in interpreting the obtained results: I) the vast diversity of methodologies, despite the existence of a single guiding protocol; II) the lack of information on the actual impact of resistance ratios in the field; and III) the concept of the susceptibility reference lineage. Research on the biological and behavioral characteristics of each Triatominae species that has evolved resistance is required in relation to the environmental conditions of each region.
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INTRODUCTION
For over a century since its discovery, Chagas disease (CD), or American trypanosomiasis, remains a serious public health problem, and represents the main cause of cardiac lesions in young and adult people economically productive[1]. Chagas disease, caused by Trypanosoma cruzi Chagas 1909, affects about 6–7 million people, mostly in Latin America[2]. The geographical distribution of human Chagasic infection, including wild reservoirs and vectors are primarily found in the Americas, roughly from 46°N to 46°S, except for species of the genera Linchoestes and Rubrofasciata complex. The genera Linchoestes is found only in the Indian subcontinent and, Triatoma rubrofasciata is recorded from port areas throughout the tropics and subtropics, and a small group of seven related species of Triatoma are recorded from eastern Asia. The primary form of transmission of CD (~70%) in most Latin American areas remains vectorial, being dependent on this form (e.g. oral transmission). Considering the large number of animal reservoirs of T. cruzi and the lack of drugs that may be used at a large scale, it is not possible to control the sources of infection through eradication schemes. The lack of immunizing do enables the control for the protection of susceptible populations. Thus, the only way to fight the vector is to improve the conditions at high risk sites by making domestic units impervious to the colonization of Triatomines (physical control), in parallel to the regular and systematic use of insecticides in infested dwellings (chemical control). Control programs in Latin American have traditionally focused on spraying insecticides on houses and household annexes[3,4].

In the 1920s, Brazil made the first prophylactic attempts to control domestic Triatomines, but with unsatisfactory results. Subsequently, experiments were carried out using pyrethrum powder, cyanide gas, flamethrowers, and caustic soda. However, these techniques were not used systematically because of the difficulty of large-scale application and their low residual effect on household annexes[5]. By the 1940s, little progress had been made in control of Chagas disease vectors. Thus, the discovery of synthetic insecticides represented a new possibility for vector control. Between the 1950s and the 1990s, various biological alternatives were tested to control Triatomines, but with no success. Examples included the use of nematodes, fungi, and hymenoptera egg predators[6].

The first insecticides that were used to control Triatome density were organochlorines[7]. In 1942, the first biological
trials were conducted using dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane (DDT). Through field experiments, Lent & Wygodzinsky(8) demonstrated that the toxic action of this chemical was much more rapid in adult than in juvenile insects. This difference occurred because of the slow penetration of the product into the tegument of the nymph, with no ovicidal action. Consequently, DDT only protected dwellings against Triatomiine reinfestation for three to four months. Dias & Pellegrino(9) demonstrated that DDT was ineffective at controlling insects through experiments on the susceptibility of Triatomines with DDT sprayed on different substrates, and by assessing the logistics of its large-scale use. In contrast to DDT, hexachlorobenzene (HCB) was found to be effective at controlling Triatomines.

In 1947, Busvine & Barnes(10) observed significant mortality of *Rhodnius prolixus* exposed to HCB under laboratory conditions, but with little residual action. In field experiments, Dias & Pellegrino(9) found that HCB treatment was effective at eliminating Triatoma infestation for up to 5 months when applied at a dosage of 1 g of active ingredient/m², with at least in two spraying cycles at intervals of 30-60 days. In mid-1954, the National Malaria Service in Brazil tested dieldrin as a possible substitute for HCB. However, field experiments revealed high toxicity to handlers and domestic animals, as well as high cost, resulting in its use being discontinued(11). In 1959, propoxur, a carbamate insecticide, was introduced to control Triatomines, because of its proven efficacy and ovicidal properties(12). Bendiocarb, another insecticide from the same group, was also effective, and the World Health Organization (WHO) has previously suggested the combined use of the two products(13).

In 1973, the organophosphate malathion was assessed, and its use was recommended mainly because of its ovicidal action(14-16). However, in addition to its short persistence in the environment, this product required higher application doses than those used for HCB and dieldrin organochlorines.

In the 1980s, various pyrethroids proved to be effective insecticides, and have since been used to control of Chagas disease vectors. Pyrethroids are first-choice products because of their biodegradability, low-dose and easy application, and great residual action, lasting for almost one year in intra-domiciliary preserved conditions(17). Theoretically, microencapsulated formulations enhance the residual action of insecticides; however, these expectations have yet to be confirmed(18,19).

Given the specificity of Triatome occurrence in household annexes, but the absence of colonization, alternative means of vector control have been tested, including repellents(20), lining, and/or impregnated curtains(21,22,23). However, the effectiveness of these alternatives requires rigorous assessment. The invasion of Triatomines could potentially be controlled by physical barriers (since these insects are attracted to light), such as screens impregnated with insecticides.

Synthetic insecticide applications since the 1940s has advanced control of Chagas disease Triatome vectors in domestic settings(24). However, recently, areas with persistent reinfestation of Triatomines have been documented. This situation leads to two distinct, but equally concerning, scenarios. First, operating failures may hinder the control of Triatome populations, indicating an urgent need to: I) revise, in a systematically and strictly scientific manner, the tools and strategies of chemical control that have been available and/or are in use since the discovery of Chagas disease until now; II) assess the triatomicide effect of diverse insecticides (chemical and formulation) so that they achieve the quality required to accomplish their purpose effectively; and III) invest in the training and development of health agents related to activities for the chemical control of Triatomines. Second, difficulty in controlling the disease may be due to the intrinsic features of Triatome bugs, which makes them resistant to chemical agents. Thus, a better understanding of the biological, biochemical, molecular, and behavioral components of phenotype of resistance is required, which is the focus of the present review.

**METHODS**

This review was structured around a search of the peer-reviewed literature available on the PubMed database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) between 1970 and April 2015, using the keywords **Insecticide resistance and Triatominae.** All articles, monographs, master’s theses, and doctoral dissertations had an abstract in English, Portuguese, or Spanish. The exclusion criteria were: I) reinfestation and difficulties of control vector strategies in the field without conducting laboratory bioassays; and II) residual bioassays evaluating the efficacy of different insecticides and/or formulations.

**RESULTS**

The search located 295 articles that were screened by title to select only those referring to laboratory bioassays. Out of the 295 articles, 262 were discarded based on the selection criteria. In all, 33 articles were retained for detailed analysis: qualitative/diagnostic dose bioassays(25-26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38) and quantitative/dose-response bioassays(25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48,49,50,51,52,53,54,55,56,57) (Table 1).

**HISTORY OF TRIATOMINAE RESISTANCE TO INSECTICIDES**

Even a ninety decade, insecticide resistance of Triatomines was considered to be ad hoc and unlikely to occur because of their long life cycle (so even if resistant individuals exist, the selection process is expected to be very slow) and low genetic variability, which imply a low probability of individuals being less susceptible to insecticides genetically(19). However, in the last 15 years there have been several reports of different Triatome species exhibiting resistance to several insecticides (Table 1). This observation indicates that this phenomenon more widespread than expected, requiring better characterization and delimitation. Most available published studies refer to *Triatoma infestans* populations with high resistance ratios 50% (RR₅₀) in Bolivia and Argentina, which are areas where vector control is difficult (RR₅₀ > 50.0). Research on the susceptibility of Triatomines in Venezuela, Colombia, and Paraguay remain *ad hoc*, focusing on the vector control of *Rhodnius prolixus*, *T. infestans*, *T. maculata*, *T. dimidiata*, and *Panstrongylus herreri*. In Brazil, increasing numbers of studies are investigating the susceptibility of Triatomines to insecticides and have been focused on *T. brasiliensis*, *T. sordida*, *P. megistus*, and *T. infestans* collected
### TABLE 1 - Reports of Triatominae resistance to insecticides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triatominae</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Standardization of insects</th>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma sordida</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, eggs/NI, 11–12 d/5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Amitraz, Fenitrothion, Flubendiamide, Imidacloprid, Indoxacarb, Vermectin, Spinosad</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.74 ± 0.28mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma sordida</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Fenitrothion</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma sordida</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma sordida</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma brasiliensis</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI/NV/adult, fasting</td>
<td>Beta-cypermethrin, Deltamethrin</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Beta-cyfluthrin,</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodnius prolixus</em></td>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>F1, NI, 24–36h old, fasting (0.5 ± 0.1mg)</td>
<td>Beta-cypermethrin, Lambda-cyhalothrin, Cypermethrin, Dieldrin</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5–7d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Beta-cyfluthrin,</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodnius prolixus</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 24–36h old, fasting (0.5 ± 0.1mg)</td>
<td>Beta-cypermethrin, Cypermethrin,</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>F1, adult/NV</td>
<td>Cyfluthrin, Beta-cyfluthrin,</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Panstrongylus herrei</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cypermethrin, Alfa-Cypermethrin,</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Beta-cyfluthrin,</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 1–5d old, fasting</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Lambda-cyhalothin,</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma dimidiata</em></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 24–36h old, fasting (1.4 ± 2.1mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Beta-cypermethrin,</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma maculata</em></td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 24–36h/10–12d old, fasting (0.6 ± 1.1mg/97 ± 30)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Beta-cypermethrin,</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Fenitrothion, Deltamethrin,</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, eggs/NI, 11–12d/5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Fenitrothion,</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Fenitrothion,</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3–5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Fenitrothion,</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3–5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Fenitrothion,</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3–5d old, fasting (1.69 ± 0.31mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin, Bendiocarb, Malathion</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma brasiliensis</em></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma brasiliensis</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma sordida</em></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3d old, fasting (1.2 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Fenitrothion, Deltamethrin</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Triatoma infestans</em></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 3–5d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue...
TABLE 1 - Continuation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Triatoma infestans</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Standardization of Insects</th>
<th>Insecticide</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argentina Bolivia</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Fenitrothion, Fipronil, Deltamethrin</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>F1, NI, 5–7d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argentina Bolivia</td>
<td>F2, eggs/NI, 4, 7, 12d/35d old, fasting (1.3 ± 0.2mg)</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argentina Bolivia</td>
<td>F2, eggs, 12d old</td>
<td>Deltamethrin</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F1: first generation; F2: second generation; NI: first instar nymph; NV: fifth instar nymph; d: days; mg: milligrams; h: hours.

In areas with reports of persistent triatominic infestations. Of note, triatominic populations in Brazil have shown low RR (RR_{90} < 8.0) to date.

Insecticide resistance results in a decrease in the mortality observed in a population subjected to continuous treatment. This characteristic is expressed by a greater number of specimens that tolerate lethal doses compared with specimens considered sensitive during the initial application of the product, leading to selection pressure in populations. This phenomenon is based on the genetic variability of natural populations. Consequently, this variability combined with insecticide pressure results in the selection of certain phenotypes and the subsequent increase in their frequencies.

Insecticide resistance of Triatominae is a characteristic that is inherited in an autosomal and semi-dominant manner. There is evidence that a polygenic number of genes involved in the resistance phenomenon. The maintenance of different insecticide susceptibility profiles in Brazilian T. sordida field populations, collected in areas with continue insecticide pressure, was demonstrated by Pessoa. This phenomenon may be related to the long life cycle of T. sordida, the occurrence of colonies originating from a small number of specimens, and reduced genic flow between populations. Like other biological models, the dynamics of the evolution of resistance depend on the population biology of a given species, the level of exposure to the insecticide, and the number of individuals influenced by these factors without coming into contact with the active ingredients of the chemical, including gene flow between populations in the treated and non-treated areas.

Germán et al. investigated the reproductive and developmental costs of deltamethrin resistance in T. infestans from the Argentinean Gran Chaco eco-region in Araraya, Province of Salta. In an environment without insecticides, reproductive cost was expressed as lower fecundity, while developmental cost was expressed as a shorter duration of the second and third nymphal stages and an extension of the fifth nymphal stage.

Several authors have demonstrated that the same triatominic population exhibits different toxicological profiles when exposed to the same chemical class of insecticide. In Argentina, high levels of resistance to deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, beta-cyfluthrin, and lambda-cyhalothrin were detected in four T. infestans populations from El Chorro, El Sauzal, Salvador Mazza, and La Toma, without noticeable involvement of monoxygenases in the resistance phenotype. However, no population was resistant to fenitrothion. Sfara et al. assessed the toxicity of deltamethrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, and tetrachlorvinphos on T. infestans populations collected from El Chorro and La Toma, in addition to the effect of these insecticides on insect locomotor activity. The test populations exhibited cross-resistance to the three pyrethroids, while deltamethrin caused hyperactivity. Despite the resistance to the tested pyrethroids, sensory processing of repellency caused by N,N-Diethyl-meta-toluamide (DEET) appeared to remain unchanged in these populations.

Different toxicological profiles were also observed when populations were exposed to different chemical classes of insecticides. Santo Orihuela et al. characterized the susceptibility of Argentinean (Salta and La Rioja) and Bolivian (Yacuiba) populations of T. infestans to deltamethrin, fenitrothion, fipronil, and bendiocarb. All populations were resistant to deltamethrin (RR_{90} 14.09-154.4) only, without any noticeable involvement of monoxygenases in the resistance phenotype. However, some studies assessing the susceptibility of T. infestans to insecticides belonging to a different class demonstrated cross-resistance. Carvalhal et al. assessed the toxicity of different insecticides on deltamethrin susceptible and resistant populations of T. infestans from El Malá. Both populations proved susceptible to fenitrothion and imidacloprid, but were resistant to amitraz, flubendiamide, ivermectin, indoxacarb, and spinoad. Lardeux et al. characterized the susceptibility of 50 Bolivian populations of T. infestans to deltamethrin, malathion, and bendiocarb. All populations showed significant levels of resistance to deltamethrin (RR_{90} 6.0-491.0). However, resistance to bendiocarb (RR_{90} 1.8) and malathion (RR_{90} 1.2) was not observed. For populations resistant to deltamethrin, tests using inhibitors of monoxygenases failed to completely reverse the observed resistance, suggesting that other mechanisms are involved in the resistance phenotype.

The toxicological profile observed in eggs cannot be transposed to first instar nymphs, demonstrating the intrinsic characteristics of the different developmental stages. Toloza et al. characterized the resistance profile of the eggs and first instar nymphs.
(NI) of Argentinean (Salvador Mazza and Valle Viejo) and Bolivian (Mataral, Sucre, and Yacuiba) populations of *T. infestans* to different insecticides. At the egg stage, only the Salvador Mazza population was resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin (RR$_{eg}$ 14.2), but was susceptible to fipronil and fenitrothion. In comparison, the Mataral and Sucre (Bolivia) populations were susceptible to all insecticides. However, the first instar nymphs (NI) of the Salvador Mazza populations was highly resistant to lambda-cyhalothrin (RR$_{NI}$ 106.2), but these populations were susceptible to the other insecticides. All Bolivian populations were susceptible to all insecticides, except the nymphs of Sucre, which were highly resistant to fipronil (RR$_{NI}$ 585.5). Germano et al.$^{(58)}$ identified different toxicological profiles in Argentinean (Acambuco) and Bolivian (Mataral and Entre Rios) populations of *T. infestans* to deltamethrin, fipronil, and fenitrothion. Tests with NI revealed that all populations were resistant to all insecticides, except for the population from Entre Rios, which was susceptible to fipronil. Conversely, tests performed with eggs using deltamethrin showed resistance in all populations, with esterases being involved in the resistance phenotype. Roca-Acevedo et al.$^{(59)}$ assessed the susceptibility of developing eggs and newly hatched nymphs in the regions of Campo Largo (Argentina) and Entre Rios (Bolivia), which were suspected of insecticide resistance. In both populations, the first instar nymphs were resistant to deltamethrin (RR$_{NI}$ 1.108 Campo Largo and RR$_{NI}$ 173.0 Entre Rios). Compared with the Susceptibility Reference Lineage (SRL), the efficacy of deltamethrin was significantly lower on the eggs. Santo-Orihuela et al.$^{(57)}$ characterized the susceptibility of *T. infestans* eggs collected from wild and domestic areas of Argentina (Salta) and Bolivia (Potosí, Cochabamba, and Tarija) to deltamethrin. The RR$_{NI}$ values ranged from 0.5-44.9. In resistant populations, esterase did not appear to be involved in the resistance phenotype.

The toxicity profile observed in nymphs cannot be applied to triatomines belonging to different developmental stages. Zerba et al.$^{(53)}$ assessed the susceptibility of two Argentinean populations of *T. infestans*, at different stages of development to beta-cypermethrin and deltamethrin. Beta-cypermethrin had a better effect on fifth instar nymphs (NV), whereas deltamethrin had a better effect on adults. The results showed the equivalent efficacy of beta-cypermethrin to control *T. infestans* infestation when applied at 30 mg/m$^2$ compared with deltamethrin applied at 25 mg/m$^2$. Reyes et al.$^{(52)}$ characterized baseline susceptibility to deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, and fenitrothion to *T. maculata* from San Jose and *T. dimidiata* from San Joaquin (Colombia). Studies with the NI of *T. maculata* obtained a Lethal Dose 50% (LD$_{50}$) of 0.07, 0.05, and 4.12 ng a.i./treated nymphs for deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, and fenitrothion, respectively, a Lethal Dose 99% (LD$_{99}$) of 1.08, 0.37, and 17.89, respectively. Studies with the NI of *T. dimidiata* obtained a LD$_{50}$ of 0.44, 0.46, and 16.45ng a.i./treated nymphs for deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, and fenitrothion, respectively, and a LD$_{99}$ of 2.22, 1.97, and 36.07, respectively. For the NV of *T. dimidiata*, studies obtained a LD$_{50}$ of 510.72, 1,623.59, and 838.91ng a.i./treated nymphs for deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, and fenitrothion, respectively, and a LD$_{99}$ of 9,607.50, 11,717.91, and 1,525.0 respectively.

Toxicological profiles differ across regions and even within the microgeography of the same location. Germano et al.$^{(55)}$ assessed the susceptibility of Triatomine populations collected from two domestic units in Acambuco (Argentina) to deltamethrin. Pessoa et al.$^{(13,39)}$ investigated the susceptibility of different Brazilian populations of *T. sordida* (RR$_{eg}$ 0.72-6.8), *T. infestans* (RR$_{eg}$ 1.74-3.26), and *T. brasiliensis* (RR$_{eg}$ 0.32-1.21) to deltamethrin collected in areas with persistent triatominic infestations, despite the existence of chemical control, characterizing them as susceptible to the tested insecticide. The different susceptibility profiles in different domestic units from the same localities suggest that the geographical structuring of the resistance phenotype differs between sites and within sites at the microgeographical level. These differences are caused by differences in the resistant foci profiles of *T. infestans*, indicating the occurrence of independent evolutionary processes. Despite the reduced triatominic dispersion capacity, these observations demonstrate the inadequacy of transposing results from one population to another, even when geographically near to one another.

The toxicological profiles shown by sylvatic populations of *T. infestans* represent natural or wild toxicological phenotypes, and may be used to determine tolerance to different insecticides. Roca Acevedo et al.$^{(55)}$ characterized the susceptibility profile of four wild *T. infestans* populations collected from the Andean valleys of Bolivia (Mataral, Kurus-Mayu, 20 de Octubre, and Illicuni), to deltamethrin and fipronil. All populations were resistant to deltamethrin (RR$_{NI}$ 1.9-17.4), with no indication that monooxygenases were present in resistant populations. In trials with fipronil, the susceptibility of a domestic population of *T. infestans* collected from Mataral was also assessed; the RR$_{NI}$ ranged from 0.5 to 45.6 in the wild populations and ranged from 139.2 in the domestic population. Depickère et al.$^{(27)}$ characterized the susceptibility of 20 Bolivian populations collected from La Paz, Cochabamba, Potosí, Santa Cruz, and Tarija (12 wild populations and 8 domestic populations) to deltamethrin. The dose-diagnostic tests revealed that all wild populations were susceptible to deltamethrin, except for the Julio Grande population (Toro-Toro/Potosí). Among the domestic populations, only three were susceptible to deltamethrin. The populations that were indicative of resistance were subjected to dose response trials, revealing wide variation in susceptibility (RR$_{eg}$ 3.8-818.0). Gomez et al.$^{(56)}$ assessed the susceptibility of 9 populations from Gran Chaco and the Inter-Andean valleys of Bolivia (5 wild populations and 4 domestic populations) to deltamethrin. The wild populations showed low variation in susceptibility (RR$_{eg}$ 0.62-4.24), whereas wide variation in susceptibility was detected for the domestic populations (RR$_{eg}$ 2.9-129.1). The geographical origin and distribution epicenter of *T. infestans* is located in the Cochabamba Valley (Bolivia)$^{(60, 61, 62)}$; thus, it is possible that the toxicological profile of the sylvatic populations from Cochabamba represents its ancestral toxicological profile. Therefore, *T. infestans* from different geographical areas may exhibit different toxicological phenotypes, even in the absence of insecticide selection. Consequently, this variation may determine differences in *T. infestans* susceptibility to insecticides. Germano et al.$^{(47)}$ characterized the susceptibility of *T. infestans* to deltamethrin and fipronil. The authors collected specimens from areas.
surrounding regions with resistance to pyrethroids in Bolivia (Tarija), Argentina (Salta, Catamarca, Santiago Del Estero, Chaco, and Santa Fe), and Paraguay (Boquerón). The Tarija populations were highly resistant to deltamethrin (RR_{50} 247.4-541.6), whereas those in Argentina had moderate RR_{50} (RR_{50} 0.1-39.0), and those in Paraguay had a low change in susceptibility (RR_{50} 3.7). The Argentinean populations were susceptible to fipronil (RR_{50} 1.09-3.0), whereas the profiles from Argentina were varied (RR_{50} 0.19-92.7), being mostly resistant.

MECHANISMS INVOLVED WITH INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE IN TRIATOMINE A

The mechanisms involved with Triatomines resistance to insecticides are related to changes in one or several toxicokinetic/toxicodynamic steps occurred during the interaction between insect-insecticide, isolated or associated, being: I) changes in the local of the chemical active penetration; II) increase in its enzymatic detoxification; and III) changes in the action.(67)

Physiological resistance is associated with a reduction in the penetration of insecticides because of changes to the insect cuticle and/or in their ability to store/excrete the insecticide.(66) Mougabure Cueto et al.(64) compared the insecticide activity of 1-dodecanol on the development of the cuticle in R. prolixus T. infestans. The authors found that recently hatched first instar nymphs (1-3h old) were more vulnerable than older ones (24-36h old). Pedrini et al.(65) used scanning electronic microscopy to show that Argentinean populations of T. infestans resistant to deltamethrin have thicker exoskeletons (32.1 ± 5.9µm) than susceptible populations (17.8 ± 5.4µm). Thicker cuticles enriched in hydrocarbons probably restrict deltamethrin penetration in resistant insects. These studies indicate that the cuticle is an important variable in the intoxication of insects, representing the first barrier to insecticides before reaching target sites within the insect body.(66)

Biochemical resistance corresponds to a significant increase in the activities of detoxification enzymes, especially monoxygenases and esterases.(35) (37) (38) (40) (41) (48) Vassena and Picollo(42) assessed the susceptibility of a R. prolixus population from Carabobo (Venezuela) and a T. infestans population from Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil) to deltamethrin, beta-cypermethrin, beta-cyfluthrin, lambda-cyhalothrin, and cypermethrin. The R. prolixus population had an RR_{50} of 3.0-12.4, whereas that of the T. infestans population was lower, ranging from 0.92 to 7.0. In both cases, there was an increase in monoxygenases related to the Susceptibility Reference Lineage (SRL). Gonçalves Audino et al.(60) characterized the toxicological profile to deltamethrin of 4 T. infestans populations from Mendoza, Catamarca, Salta and San Luis to deltamethrin, and obtained RR_{50} ranging from 2.0 to 7.8. For the population with RR_{50} 7.8, biochemical tests indicated the possible involvement of esterases and monoxygenases in the observed phenotype. Studies developed by Pessoa(28) using T. sordida populations with different susceptibility profiles to deltamethrin (RR_{50} 1.22-6.5) showed an increase in esterase and monoxygenase activity. This increased activity may contribute to the lower susceptibility of Triatomines to deltamethrin. Despite the results obtained by different studies, a limited number of substrates was used in the enzymatic assays, particularly when compared to the great diversity present in insects, in addition to the fact that many of the assessed enzymes are multifunctional. Therefore, the populations may detoxify certain pesticides by activating different enzymes from the same class. This issue was investigated by Saavedra-Rodrigues et al.(65) using the microarray technique, in which the authors used a large number of substrates in population studies of Aedes aegypti exhibiting different susceptibility profiles to permethrin. This characteristic has the potential of generating different specific responses around the interaction of each population with each insecticide, preventing what is defined as a general diagnostic profile related to resistance. Moreover, these studies showed the absence of a numeric correlation between the RR_{50} values of the populations in response to deltamethrin and the change in the percentage of various detoxifying enzymes.

Concerning changes to the insecticide site of action in Triatominae, Fabro et al.(35) and Capriotti et al.(68) reported the existence of two punctual mutations (I.1014F and I.925I) in the second domain of the sodium channel gene protein in two different Argentinean populations of T. infestans with high resistance levels. Mutation I.925I seems to be associated with much higher RR_{50} than that observed for mutation I.1014F.

METHODOLOGICAL DIFFICULTIES

Three major obstacles have been identified when interpreting the results obtained by studies on Triatomines resistance to insecticides; namely: I) a wide range of methodologies, despite the existence of a single guiding protocol(37) (38) (40) (41) (43) (46) (47) (53) (56) (58), II) a lack of information about the real impact of resistance ratios in the field; and III) the concept of the Susceptibility Reference Lineage (SRL). The first shortcoming of studies on Triatominae resistance to insecticides is that, at present, there is only one guiding protocol for studies on insect susceptibility to insecticides, i.e., the one provided by the WHO.(69) However, a large variety of methodologies has been used, preventing the direct comparison of results and, in a more practical way, a clear comprehension of the real impact of these results in field vector control strategies. Consequently, Pessoa et al.(32) redefined the following technical details: place to apply insecticide on insects (abdomen, dorsal or ventral position), age (1, 3, and 5 days after hatching) and insect generation (F1 and F2), appropriate moment to perform mortality record (24-96h), and appropriate diagnostic dose to detect resistance in laboratory qualitative testing (1x and 2x LD_{50} of SRL). Bioassays are not influenced by the place of insecticide application or by the generation of the study population. Furthermore, 3-day-old nymphs are less susceptible to treatment with insecticides, which is probably attributed to their energy reserves and the complete formation of the exoskeleton. The protocol proposed by WHO(69) recommends that the mortality reading should be performed 72h after treatment with pyrethroid. Many of the published studies performed the mortality reading with 24h, disregarding the knockdown effect – momentary paralysis, which may or may not be followed by full motor recovery.(29) Thus, mortality may have been incorrectly recorded, as insects in a knockdown state may have subsequently recovered, underestimating the lethal doses encountered and the derived resistance ratio. Of note, this issue is not relevant for chemical ingredients belonging to other
chemical groups, because only pyrethroids have a knockdown effect. Therefore, when using pyrethroids, the mortality record must be performed 72h after treatment to allow for knockdown recovery.

The diagnostic-dose (DD) is a tool that allows population vigor to be determined in the field compared with the susceptibility reference lineage. In studies with triatomines, although the use of 1xLD₉₀ was recommended by WHO⁹⁰, some authors adopted 2xLD₉₀⁷¹, as recommended for mosquitoes. The results with DD 2xLD₉₀ invariably generated 100% mortality, resulting in the high mortality of insects with altered susceptibility. However, the studies performed by Pessoa⁵² demonstrated that the use of 1xLD₉₀ is more appropriate, because it allows a positive correlation to be established between the detected mortality and population susceptibility, indicated by the resistance ratios. Furthermore, the results indicating resistance in the tests using the diagnostic-dose may be false-positive, i.e., laboratory tests indicate possible resistance that is not confirmed in field trials; however, the opposite was not observed.

Sample size also presents another relevant issue regarding the use of DD as a screening tool for possible field populations with altered susceptibility. The use of 10 nymphs is recommended for each test, in triplicate⁶⁹. Yet, Amelotti et al.⁵² demonstrated that a single female, kept isolated throughout its life cycle, has the potential to generate more resistant offspring when younger, and more susceptible descendants as it ages. This issue reveals the complexity of genetic variability at the individual level; thus, providing insights about the impact of such variation in the population context.

The RR is also subject to two interpretations. Zerba and Picollo⁷¹ suggest that populations with RR₉₀ > 2.0 are resistant, whereas the Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO)⁵⁶ states that populations with RR₉₀ > 5.0 are resistant. The RR is calculated from SRL lethal doses; thus, the resistance level obtained may consequently interfere, in the future developments to be adopted by the managers involved with Triatominae control in the field. As an example, the RR₉₀ observed by Obara et al.⁵¹ for T. sordida populations treated with deltamethrin allowed the study population to be classified as susceptible to the tested insecticide, leading to the recommendation of time line monitoring. However, if the authors had used the SRL adopted by Pessoa⁴¹, the RR₉₀ would have increased nine fold. Under this scenario, the populations would have been classified as resistant, and the insecticide used should have been replaced with an insecticide with a different action mode.

Therefore, it is important to adopt a single SRL per species that should be used by all research groups. However, it is not possible to maintain this SRL in the laboratory ad infinitum without the inclusion of external material. Furthermore, such criteria are highly arbitrary and empirical, with insufficient theoretical and practical grounding. Therefore, it is necessary to perform simultaneous and complementary laboratory and field bioassays to correlate the two. It would then be possible to set cut-offs to distinguish susceptibility from resistance. In the field, Triatomine susceptibility must be reviewed on a time line, considering the continuous pressure of selection in areas requiring continuous chemical treatments.

The final issue is the methodological criteria recommended by PAHO to choose a SRL - a colony with more than five generations in the laboratory, without contact with insecticides and no inclusion of external material and/or the colony collected from a location where there was no treatment with insecticide whatsoever⁹⁰. The meeting of field populations more susceptible than the SRL⁵⁵,⁶⁸,⁶⁹ reveal the fragility of this criteria.

**FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH INSECTICIDE RESISTANCE**

Triatominae resistance to insecticides may be linked to: I) the behavioral characteristics of these insects associated with their numerous peridomestic refuges; II) the characteristics of pyrethroid insecticides; and III) operational flaws, such as incorrect insecticide dosage, frequency, and application time.

The persistence of some Triatomine specimens, mainly in the peridomestic environment, despite successive insecticides spraying on the timeline, may be related to the behavior of these insects. The peridomestic presents a wide variety of ecotopes that correspond to an infinite number of hiding places associated with different sources of food for T. sordida, such as chickens, dogs, pigs, and cats. Spraying the peridomestic is exhausting work, with the unstacking all accrued material being operationally impossible for responsible field agents⁷⁵.

Pyrethroids provide limited residual effect on the peridomestic, with lethal doses on treated surfaces being highly transitory.⁷⁷ This outcome is expected, as the most used conventional formulations are wettable powders and suspension concentrates, which represent the only a vehicle for active ingredients and do not provide protection against a wide range of agents. Such agents include air, ultraviolet radiation, moisture, or physical factors, such as rain, wind, and other agents, including humans and domestic animals, which promote the erosion of the surfaces treated by removing deposited insecticides. The chemical loss of pyrethroids is expected on highly alkaline surfaces or when exposed to ultraviolet radiation. Furthermore, according to the nature of various structures (porosity and alkalinity), different penetration mechanisms and insecticide absorption may be observed. On porous surfaces, the chemical ingredient is deposited and seems to lose activity faster than on other surfaces, such as wooden boards and ceramic tiles. These environmental variables directly influence the insecticide degradation rate and the consequent need for reappllication to maintain domestic units that are free of triatomine infestation. However, during routine activity, a point-to-point assessment of these variables is not possible, in which specific treatment frequencies could be reset for each region. Thus, the inflow of triatomines from external sources, triatomine survivors from previous applications, and the hatching of eggs leads to the recolonization of houses, as well as an increase in population genetic diversity and possible resistance. Migration into the area may also lead to the possible dilution of the observed resistance ratios, which may be underestimated.

Finally, operational failures may cause the observed difficulty in controlling triatomines, revealing the urgent nee
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