The antidepressant drug paroxetine as a new lead candidate in schistosome drug discovery†
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Recently, our in silico repositioning-chemogenomics approach predicted paroxetine (PAR), an antidepressant drug, as a inhibitor of Schistosoma mansoni serotonin transporters (SmSERTs), and consequently, a new anti-schistosomal candidate. With the aim of determining the anti-schistosomal activity of this drug, we initially used a spectrophotometric assay to determine activity against schistosomula worms. During this investigation, we verified that PAR showed a pronounced effect on schistosomula viability (IC50 = 2.5 μM) after 72 h of incubation. Then, we performed ex vivo studies with adult S. mansoni worms using a new automated image-based assay to accurately measure worm motility. As expected from the PAR’s predicted mechanism of action, both male and female worms treated with low concentrations of PAR exhibited enhanced motility followed by reduction in motility as incubation time increased. PAR EC50 values for motility reduction in male and female worms were 5.1 μM and 9.9 μM after 24 h of exposure, respectively, and this effect was maintained until the end of the experiment (72 h). Lastly, homology modeling and docking studies with SmSERT-A and human SERT (hSERT) revealed insights into the chemical basis of PAR anti-schistosomal activity. These results provide crucial guidance for further studies to optimize PAR in terms of potency and selectivity.

Introduction

Schistosomiasis is a neglected tropical disease caused by flatworms of the genus Schistosoma, with three species (S. mansoni, S. haematobium, and S. japonicum) accounting for the majority of human infections. These worms cause a chronic and often debilitating infection that impairs development and productivity, and this exposure is strongly linked to extreme poverty.1–4 Recent estimates suggest that around 262 million people are infected, mainly in sub-Saharan Africa, the Middle East, the Caribbean, and South America, resulting in up to 200,000 deaths annually, while more than 42 million infected individuals experience high morbidity.5

In the absence of an effective vaccine, the control of schistosomiasis relies on a single drug, praziquantel (PZQ), which has been used in mass drug administration programs for almost four decades.6 However, PZQ has low efficacy against juvenile worms, found during the early stages of infection (14–28 day post infection).6–9 Moreover, reports of PZQ resistant or poorly sensitive isolates in African countries have been published10–12 and a resistant strain was also induced in the laboratory.13–15 Consequently, there are concerns that the widespread use of PZQ could potentially lead to development of resistant parasites in the near future. Hence, there is an urgent need for discovering new anti-schistosomal drugs with new mechanisms of action.

Recently, using an in silico repositioning-chemogenomics approach,16 our group predicted paroxetine (PAR), an antidepressant drug, as a S. mansoni serotonin transporter (SmSERT) inhibitor. Studies on the physiological functions of plasma membrane SERT were first focused on the mammalian central nervous system, where it mediates re-uptake of the amine across the presynaptic membrane.17 This is part of a mechanism that inactivates serotonin signalling by quickly removing it from the synaptic cleft.18

Studies dating back to the 1970s and 1980s have shown that among parasitic flatworms, in particularly S. mansoni, serotonin is an important modulator of neuromuscular function and increases metabolic activity by stimulating glucose
uptake, glycogen breakdown, and lactate excretion.\textsuperscript{19–22} The effects on motility are seen in intact, unpermeabilized worms, showing that serotonin either acts through surface receptors, or is taken internally via a transporter and acts directly on the worm musculature.\textsuperscript{23} More recently, the existence of two SmSERT isoforms was confirmed by molecular methods. These isoforms are structurally similar to other SERTs except for the presence of an additional 78 amino acids at the N-terminal end, which is presumably due to differential splicing of the transcript. In addition, both isoforms were cloned from \textit{S. mansoni}, expressed heterologously in mammalian cells and shown to have selective, high-affinity serotonin transporter activity with a Km value comparable to that of mammalian SERTs.\textsuperscript{23,24} This suggests that \textit{S. mansoni} is dependent on SmSERTs to regulate serotonin concentrations in the synaptic cleft and consequently its neuromuscular function.\textsuperscript{23–25}

In this study, we validated the anti-schistosomal activity of PAR on schistosomula and adult \textit{S. mansoni} worms using a spectrophotometric assay and a new automated image-based assay to accurately measure parasite viability and motility, respectively. Further, to guide the design and optimization of new anti-schistosomal drugs, we explored the interactions of PAR with the binding site of SmSERTs and its respective host counterpart (\textit{hSERT}) using molecular modeling strategies.

### Results and discussion

#### Biological activity

The lack of activity against immature worms is a therapeutic limitation of PZQ which hampers the treatment in endemic areas since it does not prevent re-infection.\textsuperscript{26} Therefore, we used a spectrophotometric, \textit{i.e.}, resazurin-based assay, to determine the viability of schistosomula after the exposure to PAR for 72 h incubation period. This approach is commonly used as an initial screening step in antischistosomal lead discovery campaigns,\textsuperscript{27–32} since schistosomula are relatively easier to obtain in larger amount when compared with adult worms. This analysis showed that PAR decreased schistosomula viability after 72 h of incubation (IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.5 \textmu M) while PZQ had only negligible activity against this parasite stage at concentrations as high as 32 \textmu M.\textsuperscript{33} Remarkably, PAR effect on schistosomula viability corroborates with results of a screening campaign with 2160 compounds that identified several \textit{hSERT} inhibitors as potent hits for \textit{S. mansoni} schistosomula.\textsuperscript{32}

After the initial screening, separated individual adult male and female \textit{S. mansoni} worms were incubated with PAR for various time periods up to 72 h. Consistent and objective quantification of anti-schistosomal activity relied on an automated image-based motility assay; especially important given the limited numbers of adult worms that can be feasibly analysed and the tedious procedure for culturing and isolating them. As expected from the hypothesized mechanism of action for PAR in schistosomes, we observed for low drug concentrations an increase in worm motility of up to 9-fold in females and 2-fold in males immediately after addition of the drug in the culture medium, \textit{i.e.}, time 0 h (see Fig. 1).

This transient excitation in worm motility was only detected for the lower part of the tested drug concentration range (\textless 10 \textmu M in females and \textless 20 \textmu M in males). This characteristic corroborates the effect produced by two classical serotonin transport inhibitors, fluoxetine and clomipramine, on schistosomula which were previously shown to induce a strongly hyperactive phenotype, corresponding to a 3-fold increase in larval motility, roughly the same effect as treatment with an excess of exogenous serotonin.\textsuperscript{34} On the other hand, higher concentrations may be extremely toxic to the parasite causing immediate viability loss and a consequent reduction in worm motility. After 24 h incubation this hypermotility effect is reversed, with schistosomes showing decreased motility, which is expected to also be associated with diminished viability. This delayed effect would be consistent with the timing of serotonin receptor internalisation, a protective mechanism to avoid excitotoxicity.\textsuperscript{25}

Using worm motility measurements obtained from our automated image-based assay, dose–response curves were also generated for each incubation time as exemplified in Fig. 2 for the 24 h time point.

The EC\textsubscript{50} values determined for PAR (Table 1) indicate that after 24 h incubation the effect is fully developed with values varying from 2.7 to 5.1 \textmu M for male worms and 9.9 to 11.9 \textmu M for female worms. The effect of PAR on adult males after 72 h of exposure is in the same range of the value determined for schistosomula. Our results also indicate that male worms are slightly more sensitive to PAR action since they showed on average EC\textsubscript{50} values 2–3 times lower than those determined in females. In part, this could be due to a gender-specific expression pattern of SmSERTs.\textsuperscript{34}

---

**Fig. 1** PAR effect on motility of adult female (A) and male (B) \textit{S. mansoni} worms immediately after addition to culture medium (0 h). Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation. * Statistically different from DMSO control (\textit{p}-value < 0.05).
On the other hand, EC_{50} values indicate that PAR had a less pronounced effect on *S. mansoni* motility than PZQ at all incubation times (EC_{50} values ≤ 0.66 μM, see Table 1). These results are in general accordance with the EC_{50} value described for PZQ (0.2 μM) after 72 h of exposure using a visual response curve. However, the alternate mechanism of action of PAR (status as clinically-approved for human use) makes it an interesting molecular scaffold to develop more efficient compounds. Additionally, in contrast to PZQ, for which the molecular target remains unknown, new analogs of PAR may be rationally designed using 3D structural information of SERTs during the chemical optimization process (see docking results below).

### Homology modelling of SmSERTs and hSERT

We developed homology models of *Sm*SERTs and *h*SERT to shed some light into PAR's binding mode and to explore structural differences between the two isoforms of *Sm*SERT and the human enzyme. The two *Sm*SERT isoforms differed in only three amino acids located in the protein's predicted intracellular N-terminal. *Sm*SERT-A has Leu99, Glu100 and Val118 while the corresponding amino acid residues in *Sm*SERT-B are Ser99, His100 and Ile118. Initially, we selected suitable template protein structures in Protein Data Bank (PDB), observing the following criteria: the template should have a high coverage (>70% of target aligned to template), sequence identity >30%, and X-ray crystallography resolution ≤3.0 Å. The *Sm*SERT-A and *Sm*SERT-B were built using *Drosophila melanogaster* dopamine transporter (DmDAT)\(^{37,38}\) available under PDB code 4M48 (sequence identity = 54.5%, and coverage = 73.3%). The *h*SERT structure was also modelled using DmDAT available under PDB code 4M48, (sequence identity = 53.3% and coverage = 85.0%).

In order to identify structural distances between the modeled structures and their templates, the *Sm*SERT-A, *Sm*SERT-B, and *h*SERT models were superimposed onto their corresponding templates and root-mean-square deviations (RMSD) between backbone Cα-atoms were calculated. The *Sm*SERT models showed a RMSD value of 0.19 Å (Fig. 3A), while the *h*SERT model showed an RMSD of 0.06 Å (Fig. 3B). Most of the differences between the models and their templates were observed in the extracellular flexible loop. Remarkably, this extracellular loop of *Sm*SERTs is exceptionally long compared to the *h*SERT and therefore biologically relevant, but this region is far from the binding site of SERTs\(^{23}\) and it is unlikely that these differences will affect the docking studies performed in the binding site. In addition, we did not observe structural and conformational differences between binding sites of *Sm*SERTs. Therefore, we decided to keep only the *Sm*SERT-A structure for the next modelling experiments. In order to evaluate the stereochemical quality of dihedral angles φ against ψ of amino acid residues in the modeled protein structures and to identify stERICally allowed regions for these angles, we used the PROCHECK analysis. Analysis of *Sm*SERT-A protein revealed that 93.8% residues are within the most favoured regions (red), 5.4% in additional allowed regions (yellow), 0.6% residues in generously allowed regions (beige), and only 0.2% residues in the disallowed regions (white) of the Ramachandran plot (Fig. 3C), showing the good quality of the generated homology model. Similarly, for *h*SERT structure the observed values were 93.1%, 5.9%, 0.8%, and 0.2%, respectively (Fig. 3D). Residues located in the disallowed regions are far from the binding site of both models, indicating that these residues may not affect the ligand–protein binding simulations. The quality of the homology models was further evaluated by the ERRAT scores of 80.8 (*Sm*SERT-A) and 87.2 (*h*SERT), which indicated an acceptable protein environment for the non-bonded interactions between different atom types.

### Molecular docking of PAR

To explore the possible intermolecular interactions of PAR in the binding sites of *Sm*SERT-A and *h*SERT, we performed molecular docking. Docking results showed that the predicted binding modes of PAR in both targets are similar with the experimental binding mode of the co-crystallized ligand of X-ray structure used as template in homology modeling.\(^{32,33}\) In particular, the
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**Table 1** Time- and gender dependent EC_{50} values (μM) for PAR and PZQ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time/gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>No fit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>No fit</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 2** PAR dose–response curves in female (A) and male (B) *S. mansoni* adult worms after 24 h of incubation. Data expressed as mean ± standard error for the mean. Percent values were calculated using the motility mean of the control group (DMSO 0.2%). PAR concentrations that increased worms motility are not shown in male dose-response curve.
aromatic rings of PAR interact with the hydrophobic pocket of SmSERT-A formed by Tyr162, Phe346, Phe352, Ala506, and Thr158, whereas the protonated nitrogen of piperidine is able to form two hydrogen bonds (represented as green dashed lines) with the carbonyl groups of Phe81 and Asp84 (Fig. 4A). The docking of PAR in the binding site of hSERT showed similar interactions (Fig. 4B). Consequently, the predicted binding affinities of PAR by SmSERT-A and hSERT were very similar, −11.3 and −12.6, respectively, showing that this ligand could have similar affinity with these two SERT proteins. Those observations corroborate the inhibition potencies of PAR measured by Fontana and colleagues (IC50 for SmSERTs around

Fig. 3 Superimposition of modeled SmSERT-A (A, purple) and hSERT (B, blue) with their respective templates (gray). Ramachandran plots for the SmSERT-A model (C) and hSERT model (D) obtained by PROCHECK, showing the dihedral angles Psi and Phi of amino acid residues. Red represents most favoured regions; yellow represents additional allowed regions; beige represents generously allowed regions; and white areas are disallowed regions.

Fig. 4 Intermolecular interactions of SmSERT-A (A) and hSERT (B) with PAR, and structural differences between binding sites (C).
0.09 μM and IC\textsubscript{50} for hSERT = 0.02 μM) in a heterologous expression system.\textsuperscript{20} It is worth noting that the protein flexibility should be taken into account to further explore the differences in the binding process of PAR with SmSERT-A and hSERT in order to design a more selective SmSERT inhibitor.

In addition, our analysis of the modeled proteins revealed differences in the hydrophobicity of the two binding sites. Several amino acid residues of the binding site (Thr158, Phe81 and Ala506) of SmSERT\textsubscript{s} were substituted in hSERT by Ile172, Tyr95 and Thr497, respectively (Fig. 4C). It therefore appears that the binding site of SmSERT\textsubscript{s} can accommodate bulkier ligands. These key differences between SmSERT\textsubscript{s} and hSERT may be useful to design more potent and selective anti-schistosomal drug candidates.

### Experimental

#### Reagents

PAR was purchased from Gemini (Anápolis-GO, Brazil) while PZQ was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis-MO, USA). Both drugs were diluted in 100% DMSO prior the assays. DMEM medium were purchased from Vitrocell (Campinas-SP, Brazil). All other reagents were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis-MO, USA).

#### Ethics statement

Animal maintenance and experiments were carried out in accordance with the Institutional Ethics Committee for Laboratory Animal Use at the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (CEUA/FIOCRUZ, Brazil; license number LW-78/12).

#### In vitro schistosomula resazurin-based viability assay

Cercariae (S. mansoni BH strain) were shed in clean tap water from infected Biomphalaria glabrata snails exposed to direct illumination for 1 h. Cercariae were then vortexed at maximum speed for 5 min and transformed into schistosomula by a mechanical method adapted from literature.\textsuperscript{33,39} Then, we performed the schistosomula resazurin-based viability assay as described previously, with minimal modifications.\textsuperscript{31} Schistosomula were maintained in a complete M169 medium (120 per well) and incubated in black 384 well plates at 37 °C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The effect of PAR on schistosomula viability was assessed 72 h after drug exposure at concentrations of 0.05–200 μM. Lastly, 8 μL of resazurin (final concentration: 15 μg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) was added to each well 24 h before fluorescence measurement. The fluorescence intensity was measured using a FlexStation 3 Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices) using an excitation wavelength of 530 nm and an emission wavelength of 580 nm.

#### Mice infection and ex vivo assays on adult S. mansoni

Swiss mice (three- to six-days old) were infected percutaneously with 150 ± 10 cercariae (S. mansoni BH strain). The animals were placed, for a period of 30 min, into cylindrical vials under incandescent light with a thin water layer containing the cercariae. After S. mansoni maturity (42–49 days after infection), mice were euthanized, and worms were perfused with 0.85% sodium chloride and 0.75% sodium citrate solution. Male and female worms were maintained separately into 96 well plates with complete DMEM medium (i.e. DMEM plus 10% fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μM ml\textsuperscript{-1} penicillin, 100 μg ml\textsuperscript{-1} streptomycin) throughout the entire experiment in an incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The effect of PAR (concentrations ranging between 0.01–200 μM) and positive control (i.e., PZQ incubated with 0.05–1 μM) on adult worms was assessed either immediately or 24, 48 or 72 h after compound addition. Negative control wells contain DMEM with 0.2% of DMSO. The ex vivo drug sensitivity assay was based on motility measurements obtained with a newly developed anti-helminthic high-content screening platform briefly described below.

#### Image acquisition and quantitative image analysis

Our method is based on sequential pairwise comparison of 100 time-lapse images captured every 250–300 ms using an automated bright-field microscope with a 2× objective lens (ImageXpress Micro XLS, Molecular Devices, CA). Subsequent quantitative image analysis used a custom-developed pipeline for detecting changes in parasite motility using the opensource CellProfiler software version 2.1.2.\textsuperscript{40} The pipeline along with its validation will be thoroughly described in a subsequent publication and the pipeline itself is freely available at www.cellprofiler.org/published_pipelines.shtml.

Briefly, at each cycle of the pipeline, an image captured at a given instant (t\textsubscript{n}) is compared with the image captured at the preceding instant (t\textsubscript{n-1}) and so on until all images are processed. Two different motility measurements are calculated. First, a precursor metric, “AdjustedRandIndex” is calculated by comparing worm objects identified from images captured at times t\textsubscript{n} and t\textsubscript{n-1} with CellProfiler’s Overlap module. This measure ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 meaning two objects are perfectly aligned (no movement). Thereafter, we calculate an “Overlap” mobility score, which is directly proportional to the amount of movement, by subtracting 1 – “AdjustedRandIndex”. Another motility measure, “DiffWorms”, is the mean pixel intensity of the image calculated from the absolute difference of the parasite images in t\textsubscript{n-1} and t\textsubscript{n}. A higher DiffWorms score indicates higher parasite mobility. Both measures are iteratively taken for the 99 image pairs, and scores per well are calculated by averaging over all measurements.

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis and graphs were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com).

#### Homology modeling

The amino acid sequences of SmSERT-A (ID: DQ220811), SmSERT-B (ID: DQ159205) and hSERT (ID: P31645) were retrieved from GenBank database\textsuperscript{41} and used as targets for
homology modelling using the SWISS-MODEL server.\textsuperscript{42} The latter performed the target-template sequence alignment after searching the putative X-ray template proteins in PDB for generating the 3D models for both target sequences. The built homology models are prone to contain internal constraints like unfavorable bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles and contacts. Therefore, built models were exported to SAVES server (http://services.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) and their overall stereochemical and structural quality was checked according to PROCHECK\textsuperscript{43} and ERRAT scores.\textsuperscript{44} PROCHECK was applied to check the stereochemical quality of the model, including backbone torsional angles through the Ramachandran plot, while ERRAT, by means of its so-called overall quality factor, was used to check non-bonded atomic interactions, with higher scores indicating higher quality.

**Docking studies**

The generated homology models were imported into Maestro v. 10.0 (Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2014) and prepared using the Protein Preparation Wizard workflow as follows: hydrogen atoms were added according to Epik v. 2.7.15 (pH 7.4 ± 1.0) and minimized using the OPLS-2005 force field.\textsuperscript{46} Then, structure of PAR was also imported to Maestro and its most favorable ionization state was generated at pH 7.4 ± 1.0 using Epik. Subsequently, 300 conformations were generated using OMEGA v. 2.5.1,\textsuperscript{47} while AM1-BCC charges\textsuperscript{48} were added using QUACpac v. 1.6.3.\textsuperscript{49}

Previous to docking studies, a grid was defined to include the full ligand-binding site of SmSERT, with dimensions of 18.6 Å × 16.0 Å × 17.6 Å (x, y, and z) and volume of 5276 Å\textsuperscript{3} around Phe81, Asp84, Phe346, and Phe352 amino acid residues of binding site. The grid of hSERT was generated with dimensions of 17.0 Å × 16.3 Å × 17.0 Å and volume of 4720 Å\textsuperscript{3} around Asp98, Tyr95, Phe335, and Phe341. Molecular docking of PAR on the built homology models was investigated using FRED software, available in OEDocking suite v. 3.0.\textsuperscript{1,50,51} using ChemGauss4 scoring function. FRED is a docking program that performs an exhaustive search, by systematically searching rotations and translations of each conformer of the ligand within the active site, filtering the possible poses for shape complementarity\textsuperscript{52} and pharmacophoric features before selecting and optimizing poses using the ChemGauss4 scoring function.\textsuperscript{56,53}

**Conclusions**

We identified potent in vitro and ex vivo anti-schistosomal activity of PAR against schistosomula and adult life stages of S. mansoni, respectively. This drug offers a new biochemical pathway to kill schistosomes by disrupting serotonin signaling and its downstream events.\textsuperscript{25,14,54} In addition, its mechanism of action may complement those currently postulated for PZQ (i.e., increased Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, inhibition of nucleoside uptake)\textsuperscript{55} and thus could help to overcome resistance. Although SmSERT has not been validated as the definitive target of PAR, it is likely the main mechanism of action on schistosomes. In absence of a structure obtained from X-ray crystallography or NMR experiments, we developed 3D homology models to shed some light on the structural differences between the binding sites of SmSERTs and hSERT, and performed molecular docking studies with SmSERT-A and hSERT to explore the possible interactions of PAR in the binding site of both SERTs. We observed similar predicted binding modes of PAR in both enzymes. In conclusion, we would recommend further medicinal chemistry efforts to optimize PAR scaffold in terms of potency, selectivity, and permeability to the tegument of the parasite.
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