Identification of potential targets for an anticoagulant pectin
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A B S T R A C T

Heparin is a sulfated polysaccharide of animal origin showing excellent anticoagulant properties. Although it strongly inhibits the coagulation cascade, its interaction with multiple sites results in several side effects. An ideal alternative compound should not only possess anticoagulant and antithrombotic activities, but also provide specific binding to components of the coagulation cascade to decrease side effects and facilitate the control of pharmacologic actions in patient’s body. In this work, we performed a scan of potential targets for chemically sulfated pectin from Citrus sinensis (SCP) that shows an efficient anticoagulant activity by combining proteomics and molecular docking techniques. Defining the interaction partners of SCP is fundamental to evaluate if its pharmacologic side effects can be as harmful as those from heparin. SCP interacts directly with heparin cofactor II, probably favoring its interaction with thrombin. SCP interaction with antithrombin depends likely on its association with thrombin or factor Xa. In addition to the interaction with factors related to homeostasis, SCP may also act on the renin-angiotensin and on the complement systems.

Biological significance: The knowledge of potential molecular targets of SCP provides clues to understand its mechanism of action in order to guide molecular changes in this compound to increase its specificity.

1. Introduction

Blood clotting is an essential and highly regulated physiological process [6]. Formation of fibrin clot in injured endothelium should prevent bleeding and allow injury repair without excessive formation of thrombus, which might cause ischemia [7]. Circulatory system diseases are associated to high mortality rate, with cardiovascular disorders in combination with thrombosis being the major causes of death [8]. When not resulting in death, they often cause some degree of disability, leading to serious consequences to patients and families, as well to unfavorable socio-economic effects [9]. The number of people affected by vascular hypercoagulable states has increased considerably worldwide, and in addition to genetic background, there are environmental and behavioral factors closely linked to this emergence [9].

Heparin is a highly sulfated linear polysaccharide of animal origin belonging to the family of glycosaminoglycan. It consists of disaccharide units of α-D-glucosamine and uronic acid joined by α-1 → 4 glycosidic linkages [10]. Since its discovery, heparin is the most widely used drug in the pro-clotting disorders [11]. Heparin inhibits the coagulation cascade by facilitating the binding between thrombin and antithrombin (AT) or heparin cofactor II (HCII), acting as a template in the formation of the ternary complex, and also favoring the binding of AT to factor Xa [2]. A specific pentasaccharide sequence has been proposed to be responsible for binding to AT [2,3]. However, the interaction with HCII may not require a specific oligosaccharide sequence, being related only to the negatively charged sulfate groups [5].

Although widely used in medical treatment, heparin has many disadvantages, such as risk of contamination with pathogens, bleeding, thrombocytopenia, bruises, contact dermatitis, hives and necrosis of epithelium [12,13]. These side effects serve as motivation in the quest for alternative compounds to heparin, whereas other natural or chemically sulfated polysaccharides have shown promising results [14]. Sulfated polysaccharides such as glucans, fucans, galactans, ramnanan, arabinogalactan, xyloarabinogalactan affect homeostasis in different manners [11,14–17]. In general, the anticoagulant action of sulfated polysaccharides takes place by means of potentiation of the action of natural inhibitors of the clotting cascade, such as AT and HCII [18]. However, several studies have indicated that in many cases the inhibition may
occur by other mechanisms, such as direct inhibition of proteases or interaction with other components of the coagulation cascade [18].

After chemical sulfation, numerous fungal and plant polysaccharides acquired the property of inhibiting the coagulation process [19–21]. This work aimed in scoring for plasma proteins capable of interacting and/or reacting with SCP, a chemically sulfated polysaccharide obtained from *Citrus sinensis* mesocarp, that has an anticoagulant effect [22]. To achieve this, we introduce a hybrid approach that combines mass spectrometry based proteomics and molecular docking. Our results describe several interacting partners to SCP and thus increasing current knowledge on how this molecule acts to inhibit the coagulation cascade. Ultimately, these interacting partners shed light on mechanism of action of chemically sulfated polysaccharides; setting the grounds to engineering compounds with improved selectivity and better prediction of effects on patients.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Sulfation of citrus pectin

*Citrus pectin* was extracted from *Citrus sinensis* mesocarp, purified and chemically sulfated as described in previous work, giving SCP [23, 22].

2.2. Plasma extraction

Peripheral human blood was obtained from volunteer donors (female, 20 years) and the plasma fraction was obtained by centrifugation of the blood cells directly in the collecting blood tubes containing sodium citrate at 3.2%.

2.3. Depletion of albumin from plasma

Our albumin-depletion protocol consists in ethanol precipitation associated to pH changes at low temperatures. To achieve this, equal volumes of saline solution and plasma were mixed and had their pH adjusted to 4.8 using 0.1 M HCl. The suspension was centrifuged at 10,621 g for 20 min at 15 °C. The pellet was discarded and the supernatant transferred to a fresh tube. The pH was adjusted to 7.0 with 0.1 M NaOH followed by addition of 28% (v/v) ice-cold absolute ethanol. After 20 min of incubation at −20 °C, the sample was centrifuged at 10,621 g at 4 °C for 20 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 20 mM of Tris buffer at pH 8.0. The resuspended samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE on 13% polyacrylamide gel.

2.4. Fractionation of blood proteins

Following albumin depletion, the plasma aliquots were fractionated by size exclusion (SEC), anion exchange (AEX) and cation exchange (CEX) chromatography using Superdex 200 10/300 GL, Hitrap Q FF and Hitrap SP HP as stationary phases, respectively. 3.2% sodium citrate buffer pH 7.0 was used as mobile phase in SEC. 20 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0 was used as binding buffer and the proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 20 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl in ionic exchange chromatographies (IEX). Aliquots of each fraction were analyzed by electrophoresis on 13% SDS-PAGE and the chromatographic fractions with similar protein profile were grouped and annotated as a subset.

2.5. Differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF)

The analysis of the interaction SCP with plasma proteins was performed by fluorescence-based thermal shift assays. The experiments were performed using different subsets of the plasma fractionation. The final concentrations were: 0.5 μg to 2.5 μg of total protein, 25 μg of SCP and SYPRO orange. Three independent experiments were performed on a Real-Time PCR machine 7300 (Applied Biosystems). Each denaturation curve in the presence of SCP was compared to its counterpart in the absence of compound. GraphPad Prism 6 was used to determine the inflection points of the denaturation curves (Pi). The melting temperature variation (∆Tm) was calculated using the equation: ∆Tm = Pi in the presence of SCP – Pi in the absence of SCP.

2.6. LC-MS/MS acquisition

The plasma proteins in the subsets that showed interaction with SCP in DSF were identified by mass spectrometry. For this analysis, proteins were reduced with DTT, alkylated with iodoacetamide and digested with trypsin overnight. The peptides were subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis using a Thermo Scientific Easy-nLC 1000 ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system coupled online to a LTQ-Orbitrap XL ETD mass spectrometer (Mass Spectrometry Facility - RPT02 HDIIT/Carlos Chagas Institute - Fiocruz Paraná), as follows. The peptide mixtures were loaded on a column (75 mm i.d., 15 cm long) packed in house with a 3.2 μm ReproSil-Pur 120-AQ resin (Dr. Maisch) with a flow of 500 nl/min and subsequently eluted with a flow of 250 nl/min from 5% to 40% ACN in 0.5% formic acid and 0.5% DMSO, in a 120 min gradient. The mass spectrometer was set in data-dependent mode to automatically switch between MS and MS/MS acquisition. Survey full scan MS spectra (from m/z 300 to 2000) were acquired in the Orbitrap analyzer with resolution R = 60,000 at m/z 400 (after accumulation to a target value of 1,000,000 in the linear trap). The ten most intense ions were sequentially isolated and fragmented in the linear ion trap. Previous target ions selected for MS/MS were dynamically excluded for 90 s. Total cycle time was approximately 5 s. The general mass spectrometric conditions were: spray voltage, 2.4 kV; no sheath and auxiliary gas flow; ion transfer tube temperature 100 °C; collision gas pressure, 1.3 mTorr; normalized energy collision energy using wide-band activation mode: 35% for MS/MS. Ion selection thresholds were: 250 counts for MS2. An activation q = 0.25 and activation time of 30 ms were applied in MS2 acquisitions.

2.7. Peptide spectrum matching

The reviewed proteome set of *Homo sapiens*, composed of 20,187 sequences, was downloaded from the UniProt consortium on July 4th, 2014. PatternLab 4.0 was used for generating a target-decoy database by grouping subset sequences, adding the sequences of 127 common mass spectrometry contaminants, and, for each sequence, including a reversed version of it. The final database used for PSM contained 105,551 sequences.

The Comet 2015 rev. 2 search engine [24], which is embedded into PatternLab for proteomics 4.0 [25], was used to compare experimental tandem mass spectra against those theoretically generated from our sequence database and select the most likely peptide sequence candidate for each spectrum. Briefly, the search was limited to fully peptide candidates; we imposed carboxamidomethylation of cysteine and oxidation of methionine as fixed and variable modification, respectively. The search engine accepted peptide candidates within a 40-ppm tolerance from the measured precursor m/z and used the XCorr as the primary search engine score.

PSM validity was assessed using the search engine processor (SEPPro) [26], which is embedded in PatternLab. Briefly, identifications were grouped by charge state (+2 and +3) resulting in two distinct subgroups. For each result, the Comet XCorr, DeltaCN, DeltaPPM, and Peaks Matched values were used to generate a Bayesian discriminator. The identifications were sorted in non-decreasing order according to the discriminator score. A cutoff score was established to accept a false-discovery rate (FDR) of 1% at the peptide level based on the number of labeled decoys. This procedure was independently performed on each data subset, resulting in an FDR that was independent of charge state. Additionally, a minimum sequence length of six amino-acid residues was required. Results were post-processed to only accept peptide
spectrum matches with less than 6 ppm from the global identification average and proteins with two or more identified peptides.

2.8. Protein relative quantitation by normalized ion abundance factors

In this work, we employed PatternLab's Normalized Ion Abundance Factors (NIAF) as a quantitation strategy. It is worth noting that NIAF is the equivalent to NSAF [27], but applied to Extracted Ion Chromatograms (XICs) as previously described in [28].

2.9. From data to list of interacting candidates

Independent DFS assessments were performed ranging total protein concentration of subsets presenting increase in thermal stability in order to estimate the threshold of total protein to detect Tm variation. The relative abundance of each protein was inferred according to its NIAF. Probable targets of SCP meet two requirements: 1) are in concentrations above 2 ng in data presenting thermal shift and 2) below 2 ng when no Tm variation was observed (Supplementary material Table S2). The 2 ng threshold is because, according to the manufacturer, only proteins above this concentration have their fluorescence emission detected.

2.10. Assessment of complexes viability through molecular docking

A 3D structure of one of SCP's pentasaccharide units was modeled using the ACD/ChemSketch software and using previously published data on its chemical composition [22]. The 3D model was optimized using the Avogadro program (v.1.1.1) with the Universal Force Field (UFF) method in auto optimization tool [29]. The 3D structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) of the platelet factor IV (PDB ID 1F9Q), heparin cofactor II (PDB ID 1MJ), Complement protease C1s (PDB ID 4J1Y), Prothrombin I (PDB ID 3NXP), Complement factor B (PDB ID 2OK5), Angiotensinogen (PDB ID 2WXW) and Complement protease C1r (PDB ID 1MD7) were used for molecular docking. A molecular dynamics simulation for each of the aforementioned proteins under the Amber force field was performed using Abalone (Copyright © 2006–2015 Agile Molecule) with the temperature set to 350 K and a simulation time of 10,000 ps. Global molecular docking simulations were conducted using Autodock VINA [30] with 25 runs and 5 Å clustering RMSD. The viability of the complexes generated by MVD was verified using PEARLS (Energetic Program for Analysis of Receptor-Ligand System) [31] to assess the protein-ligand interaction energies.

3. Results

3.1. Assessing the effectiveness of the albumin-depletion process

The effectiveness of our albumin-depletion protocol was evaluated by generating an SDS-PAGE to follow up on each step of the albumin removal protocol. Our results show that albumin was efficiently depleted while minimally affecting other plasma proteins (Fig. 1A). Lanes 3 and 4 are results from the first step of our protocol that performs isoelectric precipitation from the supernatant at pH 4.8. Subsequently, ethanol

---

Fig. 1. A–B Plasma albumin removal. A) M: pierce unstained protein MW marker, 1 - starting plasma, 2 - plasma + NaCl, 3 - plasma at pH 4.8, 4 - plasma at pH 4.8 after centrifugation, 5 - plasma at pH 7.0, and 6 - plasma + 28% ETOH. B) Plasma precipitate with 28% ETOH and resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0. C) SEC chromatogram highlighting subsets positive in DSF with their respective ΔTm above colored boxes. Proteins identified by MS/MS are listed. Protein exclusive of the subset is associates with it by color. Proteins found in different subsets are described in black.
precipitation is performed; a visual assessment shows that a significant portion of the albumin is now depleted (Fig. 1A, lane 7). We note that neither acidic pH nor ethanol precipitation affect solubility of most plasma proteins as observed after resuspension of the ethanoic precipitate in 20 mM Tris buffer pH 8.0 (Fig. 1A, lane 7) and by proteomics indicating that mainly abundant plasma proteins are present in sample while significantly reducing the albumin abundance (Table S1).

In what followed, the albumin-depleted plasma was fractioned into 12 subsets (p1, pl2, pl3, pl4, pl5, pl6, pl7, pl8, pl9, pl10, pl11, pl12). Each subset comprises fractions with same electrophoretic profile (Supplementary Figs. S1, S2 and S3).

3.2. MS/MS identification of plasma proteins in the fractions showed increased thermal stability

The thermal stability of p1–12 subsets was evaluated by DSSF. Subsets p1, pl2, pl4, and pl5 presented an increase in thermal stability with ΔTm of 3.3 °C, 1.45 °C, 7.39 °C and 0.39 °C, respectively (Fig. 1C). No increase in Tm was observed in other subsets.

MS/MS enabled identification of hundreds of proteins in fractions p1, pl2, pl4, and pl5 (Table S1) ultimately providing a list of SCP interaction candidates. A reduced list was obtained by cherry-picking candidates taking the following into account: 1) DSSF results with different concentrations of p1, pl2, pl4 and pl5 indicated the minimum sample concentration needed to fluorescence emission detection (Tables S2, S3); 2) NIAs being used as surrogates for protein abundance percentages (Tables S2, S3); 3) The minimum concentration for a protein’s fluorescence to be detected being of 2 ng [32]. Following those steps, proteins with concentration below 2 ng in experiments with no fluorescence emission signal and above it when fluorescence emission detect was assigned as probable partner of SCP (Table 1).

From this initial list, 12 proteins were excluded as potential partners of SCP as they were also presented in fractions without Tm alteration (Fig. 2A–B, Table 1). Among the remaining proteins, the association with coagulation pathway and robustness of the MS/MS identification (Table 1) were our decision factors to shortlisting a protein as SCP function candidates. As a result, platelet factor IV, heparin cofactor, Complement protease C1s, Prothrombin 1, Complement Factor B, angiotensinogen, complement protease C1r comprise our final list of SCP interaction partner candidates (Fig. 2B) and further assessed by molecular docking approaches.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p11</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00751</td>
<td>CFAB_HUMAN</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.5039</td>
<td>390.4454</td>
<td>Complement factor B OS = Homo sapiens GN = CFB PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p12</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P02654</td>
<td>APOC1_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1566</td>
<td>19.9095</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein C1 OS = Homo sapiens GN = APOC1 PE = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p13</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P51814</td>
<td>LUM_HUMAN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.2337</td>
<td>65.4736</td>
<td>Lumican OS = Homo sapiens GN = LUM PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p14</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00736</td>
<td>C1R_HUMAN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.3106</td>
<td>223.2989</td>
<td>Complement C1r subcomponent OS = Homo sapiens GN = C1R PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p15</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P01765</td>
<td>HV304_HUMAN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1391</td>
<td>25.0881</td>
<td>Ig heavy chain V-III region TOL OS = Homo sapiens GN = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p16</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P04366</td>
<td>CD5L_HUMAN</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5943</td>
<td>128.0120</td>
<td>CDS antigen-like OS = Homo sapiens GN = CD5L PE = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p17</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00734</td>
<td>THBB_HUMAN</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.3087</td>
<td>138.1271</td>
<td>Prothrombin OS = Homo sapiens GN = 12 PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p18</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P14642</td>
<td>ITIH4_HUMAN</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.5301</td>
<td>45.1547</td>
<td>Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H4 OS = Homo sapiens GN = ITIH4 PE = 1 SV = 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p19</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P05546</td>
<td>HEP2_HUMAN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.3808</td>
<td>220.1425</td>
<td>Heparin cofactor 2 OS = Homo sapiens GN = SERPIND1 PE = 1 SV = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p20</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P03160</td>
<td>KV11_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.3704</td>
<td>41.5673</td>
<td>Ig kappa chain V-I region AG OS = Homo sapiens GN = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p21</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P04264</td>
<td>K2C1_HUMAN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1242</td>
<td>33.7772</td>
<td>Keratin, type II cytoskeletal 1 OS = Homo sapiens GN = 1 SV = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p22</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P01764</td>
<td>HV303_HUMAN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.4274</td>
<td>92.6675</td>
<td>Ig heavy chain V-III region VH26 OS = Homo sapiens GN = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p23</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P04365</td>
<td>CD5L_HUMAN</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.2651</td>
<td>75.4368</td>
<td>CDS antigen-like OS = Homo sapiens GN = CD5L PE = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p24</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P19827</td>
<td>ITIH1_HUMAN</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.4435</td>
<td>53.5754</td>
<td>Inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain H1 OS = Homo sapiens GN = ITIH1 PE = 1 SV = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p25</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P02654</td>
<td>APOC1_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1566</td>
<td>11.4456</td>
<td>Apolipoprotein C1 OS = Homo sapiens GN = APOC1 PE = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p26</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P51814</td>
<td>LUM_HUMAN</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.2751</td>
<td>76.0671</td>
<td>Lumican OS = Homo sapiens GN = LUM PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p27</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P04003</td>
<td>C4BPA_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0687</td>
<td>2.0625</td>
<td>C4b-binding protein alpha chain OS = Homo sapiens GN = C4BPA PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p28</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P01593</td>
<td>KV101_HUMAN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.2778</td>
<td>33.9559</td>
<td>Ig kappa chain V-I region AG OS = Homo sapiens GN = 1 SV = 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p29</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P05546</td>
<td>HEP2_HUMAN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.2369</td>
<td>8.6671</td>
<td>Heparin cofactor 2 OS = Homo sapiens GN = SERPIND1 PE = 1 SV = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p30</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00751</td>
<td>CFAB_HUMAN</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.2690</td>
<td>98.0438</td>
<td>Complement factor B OS = Homo sapiens GN = CFB PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p31</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P11226</td>
<td>MBL2_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0685</td>
<td>5.9636</td>
<td>Mannose-binding protein C OS = Homo sapiens GN = MBL2 PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p32</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00739</td>
<td>HCTR_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.2644</td>
<td>71.6814</td>
<td>Haptoglobin-related protein OS = Homo sapiens GN = HPR PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p33</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P07379</td>
<td>C1R_HUMAN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.2359</td>
<td>148.8004</td>
<td>Complement factor B OS = Homo sapiens GN = CFB PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p34</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00751</td>
<td>CFAB_HUMAN</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.2359</td>
<td>148.8004</td>
<td>Complement factor B OS = Homo sapiens GN = CFB PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p35</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P11226</td>
<td>MBL2_HUMAN</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0685</td>
<td>5.9636</td>
<td>Mannose-binding protein C OS = Homo sapiens GN = MBL2 PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p36</td>
<td>sp</td>
<td>P00751</td>
<td>CFAB_HUMAN</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.2690</td>
<td>98.0438</td>
<td>Complement factor B OS = Homo sapiens GN = CFB PE = 1 SV = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3. In silico analysis of SCP putative binding partners

As Heparin, SCP can interact with HCII with a total interaction energy of −1.02 kcal/mol (Table 2, Fig. 3B) and with platelet factor 4 (ΔG = −10.47 kcal/mol, Table 2, Fig. 3A). The results of in silico analyses shows that, under physiological pH conditions, prothrombin by itself is unable to interact with SCP and therefore unable to prevent its conversion to thrombin with 3.01 kcal/mol of energy is required for this to happen (Fig. 3D, Table 2). From initial list, in silico analysis also excluded Complement protease C1s as SCP partner (Fig. 3D, Table 2).

Distinctly from Heparin, SCP can interact with angiotensinogen (ΔG = −13.75 kcal/mol, Table 2, Fig. 3F), Complement protease C1r (ΔG = −7.18 kcal/mol, Table 2, Fig. 3G) and the complement factor B (ΔG = −15.24 kcal/mol, Table 2, Fig. 3E).

Please cite this article as: A.G. Santana, et al., Identification of potential targets for an anticoagulant pectin, J Prot (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.06.013
4. Discussion

4.1. The contributions of this approach in a ligand interactome study

Heparin is the most widely adopted drug for treatment of proclotting disorders [12,33]. However, its use has many side effects such as bleeding, thrombocytopenia, bruises, contact dermatitis, urticarial, and necrosis of epithelial tissue, to name a few [12,13,34–36]. In this regard, the search for alternative medication is indispensable. Sulfated polysaccharides have emerged as a promising substitute for Heparins [1,4,14,17,21]. The citrus pectin extracted from the mesocarp of the

*Citrus sinensis* (SCP) has shown to be a rich source for obtaining sulfated polysaccharides with the desired anticoagulant properties [22]. Its native structure consists almost entirely of repeating units of D-GalpA (1→4)-linked and some traces of galactose (1.8%), glucose (1.5%), arabinose (0.8%), and rhamnose (0.4%). After sulfation, sulfate groups are linked in positions O-2 and/or O-3 of GalpA units [22].

SCP can specifically interact in vitro with endogenous anticoagulant HCII, facilitating its interaction with thrombin, and likely interact with the HCII-Thrombin complex to strengthen the interaction [22]. Moreover, it was shown that SCP cannot inhibit thrombin in the absence of HCII and AT [22]. This preliminary scenario resembles the anticoagulant effect of heparin achieved by binding to HCII and AT [1–5].

Defining the interaction partners of SCP is fundamental to evaluate if its pharmacological side effects will be as harmful as those from heparin. Here, we performed an in-depth and robust profiling to search for SCP interacting partner candidates by combining several orthogonal techniques.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>ΔG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensinogen + SCP</td>
<td>−13.75 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin cofactor II + SCP</td>
<td>−1.02 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement factor B + SCP</td>
<td>−15.24 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet factor IV + SCP</td>
<td>−10.47 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin I + SCP</td>
<td>−3.01 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement protease C1R</td>
<td>−7.18 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement protease C1S + SCP</td>
<td>24.59 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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also suffers from albumin’s high abundancy as it suppresses the ionization of competing molecules, consequently shadowing the less abundant ones.

This limitation motivated us in establishing a simple and non-expensive protocol for albumin depletion that minimally affects the remaining plasma proteins. There are several strategies for achieving this, viz.: chromatography [37–42], ammonium sulfate precipitation [43,44], ethanol precipitation, and changes in pH [45] to exemplify a few. Here, we used a modified Cohn process due to its convenience and minimally affecting the remaining plasma proteins (Fig. 1A).

After albumin depletion, three distinct chromatographic steps were used for fractionating the plasma proteins. These steps are important to obtain subsets of SPC protein interacting candidates that can be further assessed by DSF and mass spectrometry (Fig. 2C–D). We now exemplify our process with a hypothetical experiment that aims in identifying the proteins (A through G) that are interacting partners of compound X. Among them, say, only proteins A and B interact with X. In setup 1 (without fractionation), proteins A–D are in the same concentration and are detectable by DSF; E–G, are below the DSF detection threshold. Given the limitations, setup 1 lists proteins a–d as the interacting candidates to X (Fig. 1A); further validation is necessary to certify if any of the four proteins really interacts with X. Setup 2 relies on four chromatographic fractions, each containing different subsets of proteins (subset 1, 2, 3, and 4 composed of proteins {G, F, D}, {A, C, F}, {B, E, G}, and {D, E}, respectively). In this case, no interaction would be detected in samples with the absence of proteins A or B (Fig. 2D), reducing in 50% the number of candidates. This rational was extrapolated in this work by relying on plasma fractionation to narrow down on the possibilities of interacting partner candidates.

After fractionation, the search for SPC interacting partners was performed by fluorescent based thermal assay that have been extensively used for studies addressing drug discovery and for pinpointing interactions [46–57]. Proteomics showed that fractions p11, p12, p14, and p15 (Table S1) contain hundreds of proteins. In this regard, interacting partners prior to in silico molecular docking analysis, were shortlisted by excluding those based on their DSF results in different protein concentration, being absent in subsets with no Tm alternation, or on data from previous reports regarding their role in coagulation cascade.

Altogether, the repertoire of techniques we employed enabled identifying several SPC interaction partners not described for heparin; viz.: angiotensinogen, zymogen protease C1r, and complement factor B. Indeed, with enough computing resources, it can be argued that SPC interactors common to those from heparin could be predicted solely with in silico analysis; yet, supporting experimental data is indispensable. Here, we introduced a hybrid strategy that combines proteomics with in silico molecular docking. Our strategy significantly reduces the number of candidates to be computationally verified thus reducing the computational burden and, most importantly, the chances for false positives.

4.2. The physiological role of probable SPC interactions

For experimental setups like the one introduced here, it is still imperative to follow-up on results with experiments to increase confidence on the direct interaction; some examples are surface plasmon resonance and affinity chromatography. In a previous report, [22] performed affinity assays showing a direct interaction between SPC and HCII and no direct association with thrombin [22]. These initial results served as motivation to expand the list of interaction candidates with a broader method such as the one presented. Our hybrid approach corroborated with the results from [22] Likewise, our expanded list of interacting partners provides the stepping-stones for future validation experiments.

Thrombocytopenia [58] is a common side effect to patients under treatment with heparin; such undesired effect would not be overcome by switching to SCP administration because heparin-platelet factor 4...
association would occur. The formation of this complex leads to platelet aggregation, destruction, and damage to the vascular endothelium ultimately activating the coagulation cascade and increasing thrombin synthesis [11,59]. In this regard, molecular modifications to the SCP structure are desirable to obtain new drugs with decrease platelet factor 4 association.

Some SCP targets are not described for heparin; examples are: angiotensinogen,zymogen protease C1r, and complement factor B. If the SCP interaction with angiotensinogen somehow blocks or prevents its cleavage in the decapetide angiotensin I, this interaction can then reduce blood pressure. This would be a very desirable effect in addition to the already proven anticoagulant effect. This will contribute in future treatments of hypertensive patients prone to thromboembolic disorders. The interaction between SCP with zymogen protease C1r and the complement factor B, also observed in our results, suggest a possible immunosuppressive or immunostimulatory effect. It is known that the complement system is part of the innate immune system and is responsible for major effector mechanisms of antibody-mediated immunity [60]. Thus, inhibition of C1r protease zymogen and factor B proteolytic activity may lead to a decrease in the activity of the complement system.

However, taking into account that there are other ways of activating this system independent of these factors, this interaction may also not lead to unexpected biological effects.

5. Concluding remarks

Here, we fractionated the human plasma and identified interacting partner candidates to a chemically sulfated pectin from *Citrus sinensis* (SCP) that has an anticoagulant activity. The results indicate that SCP interacts directly with HCII, probably favoring its interaction with thrombin. Moreover, an interaction between SCP and AT probably requires an association with thrombin or factor Xa. These results are valuable as we demonstrate that the SCP anticoagulant activity is likely to be current from its interaction with HCII. Moreover, we postulate that SCP may also be involved in activities related to the defense system against pathogens; this is evidenced by the potential SCP interactions with complement factors and also in processes related to the control of blood pressure evidenced by the interaction of SCP and angiotensinogen. Our results will be of great value for fueling future studies on this anticoagulant and in the design of new SCP-based molecules.

5.1. Data availability

All our mass spectrometry raw files, Comet search results and SEPro files are made available for download at http://proteomics.fiocruz.br/supplementaryfiles/santana2016.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2016.06.013.
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