Postmenopausal hormone therapy in the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil): who still uses it?

Estela M. L. Aquino1*, Maria-da-Conceição C. Almeida2, Greice M. S. Menezes1, Roberta Carvalho de Figueiredo3, Isabela M. Bensenor4, Sotero Serrate Mengue5, Maria de Jesus M. da Fonseca6 and Ligia Gabrielli1,7

1 Instituto de Saúde Coletiva, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil
2 Centro de Pesquisas Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (Fiocruz), Salvador, Bahia, Brazil
3 Campus Centro Oeste Dona Linha, Universidade Federal de São João Del-Rei, Divinópolis, Minas Gerais, Brazil
4 Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
5 Programa de Pós-Graduação em Epidemiologia, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
6 Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública, Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil
7 Centro de Diabetes e Endocrinologia do Estado da Bahia, Secretaria de Saúde do Estado da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Purpose We aim to investigate the patterns of hormone therapy (HT) use and associated factors in women participating in the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health.

Methods This study included 3281 naturally menopausal women of 40 to 74 years of age at enrollment to the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health study, who answered questions regarding their use and discontinuation of HT. Prevalence rates of current and previous HT use were calculated, and a multinomial logistic regression model was constructed to simultaneously analyze the associated factors.

Results The prevalence of HT use increased from 1995 onwards, peaking at 55.7% in 1997. A sharp decline occurred in the decade beginning in 2000, reaching 11.1% at the study baseline interview (2008–2010). Current use was associated with being ≥60 years of age (Relative Risk Ratio (RRR): 1.81; 95%CI: 1.10–2.96), divorced (RRR: 1.72; 95%CI: 1.14–2.60), or married (RRR: 2.09; 95%CI: 1.41–3.10); having a university education (RRR: 1.66; 95%CI: 1.14–2.40) or postgraduate degree (RRR: 2.45; 95%CI: 1.80–3.35); and having private health insurance (RRR: 2.86; 95%CI: 2.00–4.09). Body mass index ≥30 kg/m² was inversely associated with HT use (RRR: 0.37; 95%CI: 0.26–0.53) as was the presence of at least one contraindication to HT use (RRR: 0.63; 95%CI: 0.44–0.89). Of the current users ≥60 years of age, 79.1% had been using HT for at least 5 years, and 73.6% had been menopausal for at least 10 years.

Conclusion Although the use of HT has declined in Brazil, the women who continue using it are largely exceeding evidence-based limits of age, time since menopause, and time of use. Copyright © 2016 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

INTRODUCTION

During the final decades of the 20th century, it was widely believed that the benefits associated with the use of postmenopausal hormone therapy (HT) far exceeded the risks. This belief was based on results from observational studies pointing to a reduction in the risk of coronary disease and overall mortality in users of HT. Over that period of time, hormones were liberally prescribed to relieve menopausal symptoms and prevent cardiovascular disease and osteoporosis. It was estimated that in the USA at least six million women were in use of HT in 1992.1

In the early 1990s, several randomized clinical trials were conducted to investigate the effect of HT on the occurrence of cardiovascular disease. In 1997, a meta-
analysis of over 50,000 women identified an increased risk of breast cancer in HT users. In the following years, the results of the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study I and II were published, and the expected reduction in the rate of secondary cardiovascular events in women using HT compared with nonusers was not found. In 2002, the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI), a large randomized clinical trial, compared nonhysterectomized women taking conjugated estrogens (EE) and medroxyprogesterone acetate and hysterectomized women taking EE alone with their respective controls. The WHI had to discontinue the group of women using EE+medroxyprogesterone acetate from the study because of the occurrence of an excessive number of cases of breast cancer and the ethical impossibility of justifying the prescription of HT after identification of those results. The same publication in which discontinuation of the study was announced also reported no reduction in cardiovascular events in the intervention group over a period of 5.7 years. In 2003, the first results of the Million Women Study, a retrospective observational study that evaluated around 1.1 million postmenopausal women in the UK, were released, showing an increased risk for breast cancer associated with the use of all types of HT.

As a consequence of these publications, there was an inevitable decline in the prescription of HT in various parts of the world after 1998, and the expected reduction in the rate of secondary cardiovascular events in women using HT compared with nonusers was not found. In 2002, the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI), a large randomized clinical trial, compared nonhysterectomized women taking conjugated estrogens (EE) and medroxyprogesterone acetate and hysterectomized women taking EE alone with their respective controls. The WHI had to discontinue the group of women using EE+medroxyprogesterone acetate from the study because of the occurrence of an excessive number of cases of breast cancer and the ethical impossibility of justifying the prescription of HT after identification of those results. The same publication in which discontinuation of the study was announced also reported no reduction in cardiovascular events in the intervention group over a period of 5.7 years. In 2003, the first results of the Million Women Study, a retrospective observational study that evaluated around 1.1 million postmenopausal women in the UK, were released, showing an increased risk for breast cancer associated with the use of all types of HT.

As a consequence of these publications, there was an inevitable decline in the prescription of HT in various parts of the world after 1998, coming to a head in 2002 after the results of the WHI study. Limitations to the prescription of HT were recommended by various specialist societies and government health institutions. The same institutions have periodically reviewed those recommendations and have maintained the restrictions with respect to the indications for HT use. Current guidelines contraindicate the use for the prevention of chronic conditions, particularly cardiovascular disease. Its use is recommended in the case of premature ovarian failure and surgically menopausal women (bilateral oophorectomy prior to 50 years of age), although the need for studies to assess the cost–benefit ratio of this use has been strongly emphasized. HT is considered the most effective strategy for the treatment of the vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause, and indeed, the benefits seem to potentially outweigh the risks in women under 60 years of age or within 10 years of becoming menopausal. Despite the Food and Drug Administration’s warning in 2003 recommending that the use of HT, when absolutely necessary, should be given at the lowest dose possible for the shortest time possible, in recent years, recommendations on the safety of use state that the dose and duration of use should be individualized and consistent with treatment goals.

In Brazil, more than 10 years after dissemination of the information provided by those international studies, knowledge on HT use remains insufficient. Population-based studies conducted on this topic in Brazil were cross-sectional, with limited samples and selected populations. In addition, all were published in the first decade of the second millennium.

The objective of this paper is to report on postmenopausal HT use patterns and their associated factors in women participating in the ELSA-Brasil project.

METHODS
Study design and population
This study evaluated data from the baseline examinations conducted for the ELSA-Brasil study, a multicenter research study including 15,105 federal civil servants in six teaching and research institutions in the northeast, southeast, and south of Brazil. Further details regarding this study have been published elsewhere.

For the present paper, the data of 3281 women who had gone through natural menopause were analyzed. These women were of 40 to 74 years of age at baseline and were enrolled to the ELSA-Brasil study between 2008 and 2010. Those with a history of premature ovarian failure, that is, primary and secondary amenorrhea due to radiotherapy, surgery, chemotherapy, or any condition resulting in menopause before age 40 years, for which the prescription is more liberal, were excluded. In the case of nine of the eligible women (0.3%), the data available on their use of HT were incomplete, and they were removed from the analysis.

Data collection
Trained, certified personnel conducted face-to-face interviews using a structured questionnaire that contained a segment on women’s reproductive history, including the points of interest dealt with in this article.

Menopausal status was assessed based on the women’s answers to the following question: “Do you still menstruate?” (yes/no). For women answering “no,” this was followed by another question asking how much time had elapsed since their last menstrual period (less than 6 months, between 6 months and 1 year, and more than a year). Information on age at menopause was obtained from the question “How old were you when you had your last...
menstrual period?” with the answer being recorded in years.

The use of HT was investigated from the women’s answers to the question: ‘Do you use or have you in the past used drugs containing female hormones (such as estrogen or progestin) to relieve menopausal symptoms, to prevent any disease such as osteoporosis or for any other reason?’ (yes/no). It was emphasized that the drugs referred to in these questions were not those used for contraceptive purposes and included only those begun just before or after menopause. Those who responded positively were asked about their age at the time they began HT use, their age at the time of discontinuation (if applicable), the brand/generic name of the drug used (only current HT users), and the total time of use in years (all users).

Weight and height were measured using standard equipment and techniques.21

Definitions and data analysis

Natural menopause was defined in accordance with the World Health Organization’s 1996 proposal23 as the permanent cessation of menstruation resulting from the loss of ovarian follicular activity, retrospectively recognized after at least 12 consecutive months of amenorrhea for which there is no other obvious pathological or physiological cause.

Hormone regimens in use consisted of systemic estrogen, vaginal estrogen, estrogen + progestosterone, tibolone, and other combinations including estrogen + testosterone and estrogen + tibolone.

Clinical contraindications to the use of HT included triglyceride levels >500 mg/dl, a medical history of congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, stroke, thromboembolism, systemic lupus erythematosus, breast cancer, endometrial cancer, liver cirrhosis, and hepatitis.

Subjects were grouped according to their body mass index (BMI) as being of normal weight (BMI: 18–24), overweight (25–29), or obese (≥30).

A time series was constructed of the annual prevalence of HT use (total and by having private health insurance) between 1995 and 2007, the interval for which complete data were available prior to baseline. Cases were defined as any postmenopausal women who had initiated HT use or were currently using HT in the year for which the calculation was being made. A linear regression analysis was performed, using the R-squared coefficient of determination to estimate the straight-line fit.

The prevalence rates of current and previous HT use were calculated according to age, marital status, race/skin color, education level, whether the woman had private health insurance, self-rated health (compared with people of the same age), BMI, and whether the woman had any clinical contraindications to the use of HT.

For the simultaneous analysis, a multinomial logistic regression model was constructed, and the relative risk ratios were defined for each parameter. The mlogitgof command was used to test the goodness of fit.

For the women who remained in use of systemic HT (users of vaginal estrogen alone being excluded), the proportional distribution was described by age group (≤59 and ≥60 years) according to the time elapsed since menopause, duration of use, and having at least one clinical contraindication to HT use.

All the statistical analyses were conducted using the Stata software program, version 13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Ethical considerations

All the subjects signed a written informed consent form. The internal review board of each participating institution and the Brazilian National Research Ethics Committee approved the study protocol.

RESULTS

According to the 3281 women who were between 40 and 74 years of age at baseline in the ELSA-Brasil study (2008–2010), had entered natural menopause, and had no history of premature ovarian failure, a sharp decline occurred in the use of HT over the first decade of the second millennium (Figure 1). At the beginning of this temporal series, more than half the women were in use of HT, with the prevalence rate reaching a peak (55.7%) in 1997. After that, rates declined sharply throughout the decade, reaching a low of 27.4% in 2007. This decline was less pronounced in women with private health insurance when compared with the group without health insurance.

At the interview conducted at the study baseline, current use already accounted for only 11.1% and was more common in the women who were older (≥50 years of age), married, and white; had a better education level and private health insurance; described their health as very good or good; and had a BMI <30 and no clinical contraindications to HT use (Table 1).

Following simultaneous adjustment by multinomial logistic regression (Table 2), past use of HT was associated with being ≥60 years of age at the time of the interview, being white or brown skinned, and having private health insurance. Continued use was associated with being ≥60 years of age, being

currently married or having been married previously, having a better education level (university or postgraduate degree), and having private health insurance. BMI $\geq 30$ and having at least one clinical contraindication to HT use were found to be factors associated with less use.

Hormone preparations consisting of a combination of estrogen and a progestin (30.6%) and tibolone (29.7%) were the most frequently used forms of HT. Only 16.2% of the respondents were currently using vaginal estrogen (data not shown). Excluding the latter and analyzing those in current use of systemic HT in greater detail (Table 3) revealed that the absolute majority of women $\geq 60$ years of age had been menopausal for at least 10 years (73.6%) and had used HT for at least 5 years (79.1%). In that age group, 15.2% of the women had at least one clinical contraindication to the use of HT; however, this proportion was not significantly different from that found for the women in the younger age group.

DISCUSSION

These baseline results from the ELSA-Brasil study confirm the trend observed in other countries regarding the discontinuation of HT use,$^1,7,9$ particularly in the first decade of 2000 when the decrease in the prevalence exceeded 50%. The initial levels were much higher than those reported in different countries in almost every continent.$^{24}$

The finding that the use of HT was more common in the more educated women is consistent with the results of studies conducted in the 1990s,$^{25,26}$ which investigated poor compliance with treatment at a time when HT was being highly recommended, suggesting an effect of better access to health services. In the current scenario in which indications for use are more restricted, it is surprising that women in more privileged situations tend to continue using HT for prolonged periods, after reaching 60 years of age and after 10 years of menopause when the risks seem to
outweigh the benefits. Studies conducted in Brazil and in France confirmed this pattern in the early 2000s.

The main limitation of this study is the lack of data on menopausal symptoms whose intensity could induce women to use HT despite being aware of the associated risks. In addition, there is no information on the occurrence of osteoporosis, which could contribute to the prescription of hormones for women with vasomotor symptoms. Furthermore, data were not collected on whether HT was prescribed by a physician or whether it was self-prescribed, and there was no information on whether women had attempted unsuccessfully to discontinue HT use. These factors should be the focus of further investigation.

The more frequent use of HT by women who have private health insurance and the less pronounced decline of HT use in this group merit further discussion. In Brazil, the public Unified Health System (SUS) is based on the principles of universality and equity of access and comprehensiveness of care. However, about a quarter of the population has private health insurance, and segmentation of care does indeed exist because of socioeconomic reasons. In the SUS, the prescription and dispensing of drugs are regulated by the National List of Essential Medicines (RENAME); however, only conjugated estrogens (vaginal and oral) and medroxyprogesterone acetate are included in that list. Although state and municipal healthcare managers have the autonomy to buy other drugs based on regional needs, the drugs are not always available, which may contribute to the reduction in use in women who rely exclusively on the healthcare services of the SUS. As in other countries, the influence of the pharmaceutical industry on drug prescription, both in private settings and in public healthcare, must be considered.

The more frequent use of HT by women who have private health insurance and the less pronounced decline of HT use in this group merit further discussion. In Brazil, the public Unified Health System (SUS) is based on the principles of universality and equity of access and comprehensiveness of care. However, about a quarter of the population has private health insurance, and segmentation of care does indeed exist because of socioeconomic reasons. In the SUS, the prescription and dispensing of drugs are regulated by the National List of Essential Medicines (RENAME); however, only conjugated estrogens (vaginal and oral) and medroxyprogesterone acetate are included in that list. Although state and municipal healthcare managers have the autonomy to buy other drugs based on regional needs, the drugs are not always available, which may contribute to the reduction in use in women who rely exclusively on the healthcare services of the SUS. As in other countries, the influence of the pharmaceutical industry on drug prescription, both in private settings and in public healthcare, must be considered.
Table 2. Factors associated with past or current hormone therapy use by women of 40–74 years of age who had undergone natural menopause. *Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health baseline study, 2008–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected characteristics</th>
<th>Past use</th>
<th>Current use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>RRR</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 40–49</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 50–59</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.99–2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥60</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.84–5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Single</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Divorced/separated</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.00–1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Married</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.00–1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/skin color</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Black</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Brown (mixed)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.13–1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• White</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.23–2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Asian</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.89–2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Indigenous</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.43–2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• High school or less</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• University education</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.95–1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Postgraduate degree</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.84–1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private health insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Yes</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.69–2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Very good/good</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fair/poor/very poor</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.84–1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≤24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 25–29</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.94–1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ≥30</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.51–0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical contraindications to HT use†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• None</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• At least one</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.72–1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RRR, relative risk ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HT, hormone therapy.

Types in bold were used as a way to draw attention to values that reached statistical significance.

*Excluding women with premature ovarian failure, that is, primary and secondary amenorrhea due to radiotherapy, surgery, chemotherapy, or any condition resulting in menopause before age 40 years.

†Triglyceride levels >500 mg/dl and medical history of congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, stroke, thromboembolism, systemic lupus erythematosus, breast cancer, endometrial cancer, liver cirrhosis, and hepatitis.

in patient care in the public sector also work in the private sector, and this dual role may promote what has been described as the “chameleon phenomenon,” which is the adoption of different behaviors for each workplace and profile of clients. In addition, despite the accumulation of evidence indicating limits related to age, to the duration of HT and to the time since menopause, the posture assumed by the specialist medical associations on the subject has been quite liberal, stressing the importance of clinical judgment, the cost–benefit ratio, and individualization. These aspects emphasize the subjective character of the prescription, leaving the decision in the hands of the doctor and the patient, based on their own individual beliefs, which are strongly influenced by advertising and the media. In a study conducted by the Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA), 64.9% of the physicians surveyed admitted to taking advertising information into consideration when choosing a product for prescription. It is worth noting that, as a rule, investigators participating in a consensus organized by a specialist medical association declare conflicts of interest and are often financed, to some extent, by the pharmaceutical companies that produce the hormones. It is impossible to be certain, however, whether this factor has any effect on the final text of the recommendations.

Menopause, a normal life event, has been medicalized for many decades and treated under medical supervision and influence. The degree of medicalization of menopause varies from country to country and even from region to region within the same country. It cannot be ruled out that cultural aspects may contribute to these findings, because, in Brazil, the medicalization of female reproductive
cycles has been represented as modern and social status enhancing, as highlighted by the example of the abusive cesarean section rate among more educated women with a higher income and private health insurance.\textsuperscript{46,47} In a previous study, women using HT had more contact with health services than those who had never used HT.\textsuperscript{48} One study showed that the frequency of ever use of HT by postmenopausal gynecologists was high prior to the WHI report (71.4%), a fact that may have influenced prescription.\textsuperscript{49} After that, the vast majority of male and female specialists in this area admitted being aware of the WHI results (95.9%), and although 84.6% stated that those results could not be extrapolated to forms of HT other than those evaluated in the study, a significant decrease in prescription was self-reported.\textsuperscript{50} Another finding of the ELSA-Brasil study was the inappropriate use of thyroxine by more educated women and those with higher incomes\textsuperscript{51} and of benzodiazepines by those with health insurance,\textsuperscript{52} and this would appear to support this interpretation of the results and indicate the need for further studies on the quality of the private medical care often used by the more privileged socioeconomic classes in Brazil.

However, having at least one clinical contraindication to HT proved to be associated with less use. This finding suggests that most physicians consider the scientific evidence prior to reaching a decision on whether to prescribe HT, which has probably contributed to the historical decline in its use.

Body mass index $\geq 30$, another factor associated with less HT use, was also reported in a previous cohort study with currently employed women in France.\textsuperscript{34} The authors discussed two possible interpretations for this result: Thinner women would be less concerned about the side effects of HT, or they would have more menopausal symptoms because they produce less endogenous estrogen than those with a higher BMI.\textsuperscript{34} We cannot rule out the possibility that women with higher BMI (30+), who are at increased risk for these contraindications, were less likely to use HT for this reason.

Because this subpopulation of the ELSA-Brasil cohort consists of currently employed female volunteers, the results on the prevalence of HT use cannot be extrapolated to the entire population of Brazilian women or even to those in the cities where they were recruited. However, the greatest strength of our study is its longitudinal design and the fact that the women were followed up to monitor their use of HT and its effects on their health. Therefore, despite the limitations of the current cross-sectional analysis of these baseline data, these findings provide clues on which to base future longitudinal analyses to test hypotheses and clarify aspects that remain unclear.

These results from the largest study on the trends and patterns of HT use in Brazil will certainly contribute to the international debate. They may also subsidize national health policies and provide women with information to strengthen their participation in the decision-making process based on scientific evidence.
giving them greater autonomy and protecting their physical integrity.
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KEY POINTS

- In the late 1990s, over half the women in the ELSA-Brasil cohort were using HT, a rate that is higher than those reported in most countries worldwide.
- From 1998 to 2007 and particularly in the first decade of the second millennium, the prevalence of HT use fell rapidly to 27.4%, corresponding to a decrease of about 50%.
- The use of HT remains more common among older, married, or divorced women, as well as in women with a better education level and in those with private health insurance, even after disclosure of the findings of the WHI study.
- A BMI $\geq 30$ and having at least one clinical contraindication to the use of HT were found to be factors associated with less use.
- However, in the women of 60 years of age or more, an age group in which the risks outweigh the benefits, the absolute majority of HT users had been menopausal for at least 10 years and had been using HT for at least 5 years.
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