Introduction

Epidemiologic studies indicate that cardiovascular diseases account for approximately 30% of all deaths in global population, turning it the leading cause of mortality worldwide. Among the cardiovascular diseases, coronary artery disease (CAD) deserves special attention for its exponential increase in working-age adults. CAD is characterized for the reduction or interruption in coronary blood flow to a specific area of the cardiac muscle, which generates a myocardial ischemia. Given that the reversibility and extension of the tissue damage are directly related to the duration of ischemia, the main therapeutic goal is to restore blood flow, allowing reperfusion as quickly as possible. Although thrombolytic therapies and percutaneous coronary intervention are considered the treatment of choice for reducing the infarct area, they may cause several damages, including functional and structural impairments and cellular death. Together these alterations are commonly known as ischemia reperfusion (IR) injury.

On the other hand, previous data reinforce the hypothesis that regular physical exercise induces cardioprotection and may reduce by up to 30% the mortality risk for cardiovascular diseases. Besides reducing cardiovascular disease risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, obesity and dyslipidemia, it is well described that exercise also promotes cardioprotection against IR injury through a direct effect on the myocardium.

In 1978, McElroy et al. demonstrated that regular physical activity could provide cardioprotection. In that study, mice were subjected to physical training for 5 weeks and after irreversible occlusion of the left coronary artery, a 30% reduction of the infarcted area was observed in trained mice when compared with the sedentary control.

Interestingly, it has been already demonstrated that performing a single aerobic exercise session prior to an IR injury is sufficient to promote increment in cardiac output and ameliorate the cardiac function during and after a cardiac insult. Nonetheless, considering that aerobic training implies several adaptations that are not observed after a single exercise session, it would be reasonable to assume that cardioprotection following exercise training is superior than that achieved after an unique exercise session. However, despite the lack of interventional settings focused on this specific matter, previous reviews claim that exercise-induced cardioprotection following few exercise sessions is similar than long-term physical training. Clarifying
this issue would be important as the potential mechanisms involved in exercise-induced cardioprotection are still largely debated; therefore, data in this sense could provide insights about these mechanisms that could help understanding them.

Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to compare the amount of cardioprotection induced by a single exercise session with that achieved after an 8-week aerobic exercise training following ischemia reperfusion injury in rats.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

All procedures described in the present study were approved by the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation Animal Welfare Committee (protocol # LW-6/12) and are consistent with the USA National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). Twenty-five male Wistar rats (250-300g) were housed with controlled light (12:12 h light-dark cycle) and temperature (22 ± 1°C) with free access to water and standard rat chow. Rats were randomly divided into a group submitted to an 8-week aerobic exercise training (TR; n=12) or a single maximal aerobic exercise session (EXE; n=13).

**Exercise Protocol**

Initially, the TR group was familiarized to treadmill running using a low-speed, motor-driven rodent treadmill (HT 2.0, Hectron Fitness Equipment, RJ, Brazil), on which the animals walked at 12 m/min (0% grade) for 15 min/day on three consecutive days. Following this brief period of familiarization, a maximal exercise testing was performed in TR group to allow the exercise training prescription, which corresponded to 5 sessions/week of 60 min/session on treadmill at 70% of maximal velocity for 8 weeks. Whilst the TR group was submitted to exercise training, the EXE group was maintained sedentary.

Twenty-four hours after the end of exercise training or sedentarism, maximal oxygen consumption (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) was measured in both groups during a maximal exercise testing. The exercise test protocol began with rats running at 10 m/min (0% grade) with the treadmill speed increasing by 3 m/min every 3 min until the animals could no longer maintain the desired running speed. VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was measured by assessing the total airflow through the treadmill chamber and assessing the oxygen content of the expired gas using an electronic oxygen analyzer (AVS Projetos, SP, Brazil).

After 72h of the exercise test, all animals underwent the surgical procedures to induce IR injury in isolated heart protocol. Knowing that exercise-induced cardioprotection persists practically unchanged for at least 9 days following an acute exercise$^{17}$, the 72h between the exercise test and isolated heart preparation was chosen to preclude the influence of different acute effects other than cardioprotection per se in the results, such as post-exercise hypotension.

**Isolated Heart Preparation**

Following 72 hours of the maximal test, all animals, previously heparinized (500 i.u. kg$^{-1}$, I.P.), were killed by CO$_2$ and cervical dislocation, and the excised hearts were immediately cannulated throughout the aorta according to the method of Langendorff and perfused via the coronary circulation at a constant flow rate of 10 ml.min$^{-1}$ with modified Krebs–Henseleit solution (mM: 118 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 1.2 MgSO$_4$, 1.2 KH$_2$PO$_4$, 25 NaHCO$_3$, 10 glucose and 1.8 CaCl$_2$, pH 7.2; gassed with 95% O$_2$ – 5% CO$_2$, 36±0.5°C). A latex balloon was inserted in the left ventricle through the left atrium and adjusted to an end-diastolic pressure of 5–10 mmHg at baseline. After 30 min of baseline perfusion, all hearts underwent a period of 30 min of sustained global ischemia followed by 1 h of reperfusion. Left ventricle pressure (monitored via the latex balloon) was recorded at baseline and at the end of the reperfusion period. For analysis, we used the ANCAD data recording software (AVS Projetos, São Paulo, Brazil).

**Statistical Analysis**

All results are expressed as mean ± SD. Comparisons between groups were performed with the Student t test. Bonferroni post test was used to localize the significant differences. Correlation between left ventricle developed pressure and VO$_{2\text{max}}$ was performed by Pearson correlation. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All calculations were made by computer-assisted analysis using a commercially available statistical package (Graphpad Prism, Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA).

**Results**

**Biometric Parameters**

As seen in table 1, total (TR: 366.5 ± 20.1 g; P = 0.69) and left ventricle weight (TR: 0.64 ± 0.2 g; EXE: 0.65 ± 0.2 g; P = 0.93) were not different between groups.

Table 1: Biometric parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>EXE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total weight (g)</td>
<td>366.5 ± 20.1</td>
<td>362.5 ± 28.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart weight (g)</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle weight (g)</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibia length (cm)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricle weight/tibia length</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD. TR. group submitted to exercise training; EXE. group submitted to a single exercise session.
Maximal Exercise Capacity

Table 2 presents the maximal exercise testing results. The TR group showed higher distance (546.14 ± 141.84 vs. 263.22 ± 65.91 m; P < 0.001), maximal velocity (35.0 ± 3.5 vs. 25.0 ± 3.0 m/sec; P < 0.001) and duration (28 ± 4 vs. 18 ± 3 min; P < 0.001) than the EXE group. As expected, the TR group showed greater VO2 max than the EXE group (77.25 ± 20.41 vs. 41.32 ± 25.86 ml/kg/min; P = 0.003; Figure 1).

Table 2: Parameters obtained in maximal exercise testing in experimental groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>EXE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance (m)</td>
<td>546.14 ± 141.84</td>
<td>263.22 ± 65.91</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal velocity (m/sec)</td>
<td>35.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>25.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (min)</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD. TR. group submitted to exercise training; EXE. group submitted to a single exercise session.

Cardiac Function

Left ventricle developed pressure in baseline condition did not differ between groups (TR: 89.78 ± 24.40 vs. EXE: 81.37 ± 31.84 mmHg; P = 0.48; Figure 2). However, after 60 min of reperfusion, the TR group presented superior left ventricle developed pressure in comparison to the EXE group (37.94 ± 18.34 vs 21.59 ± 13.67 mmHg; P = 0.03; Figure 2). There was no correlation between left ventricle developed pressure obtained at the end of reperfusion and VO2 max in the TR and EXE groups (P = 0.30 and P = 0.11; respectively; Figure 3).

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to compare the amount of cardioprotection induced by a single exercise session with that achieved after an 8-week aerobic exercise training following ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. In this sense, our major finding was that the long-term training provided greater cardiac function measured through the left ventricle developed pressure, in comparison to a single exercise session following IR injury. In addition, we also observed a greater maximal exercise capacity in trained vs. single-exercised rats that was not correlated to the cardiac function. Therefore, our data support the idea that besides the benefits in cardiorespiratory function, exercise training plays a key role in protecting the heart after a myocardial insult as well.
induced by long and short-term exercise. Considering that the potential mechanisms involved in this response are still largely debated, data in this sense could provide insights regarding these mechanisms that could help clarifying this issue. Given that our experiments reveal for the first time that 8-week exercise training provides greater cardioprotection against IR injury than a single exercise session, some assumptions in regards to the mechanisms involved in this response could be raised.

Considering that exercise-induced cardioprotection occurs even after few exercise sessions, several adaptations exclusively observed after long-term training (8 to 12 weeks) are frequently neglected as mechanisms of cardioprotection, such as increased collateral circulation\(^20\). Indeed, these training-induced adaptations probably are not a prerequisite to achieve cardioprotection, but given our results of increased cardiac function of long-term training following IR injury, they could minimally play a role in this response. This reinforces the hypothesis that exercise-induced cardioprotection is a multifactorial process or even that it involves different mediators according to the exercise duration.

To illustrate this, pertinent literature documents well that aerobic training causes an enhancement in the antioxidant enzyme activity in various tissues\(^21\). This is an physical training adaptation process that only happens because of the transient release of reactive oxygen species during exercise sessions, acting as signaling molecules\(^21\). This stimulates the gene expression and, hence, increases production of key antioxidant enzymes that help minimizing the oxidative stress process involved in IR injuries\(^22-25\). Therefore, it is feasible to think that long-term training leads to greater amount of antioxidant enzymes production than acute exercise, which could justify the superior cardioprotection observed after exercise training. Another potential mechanism largely discussed in exercise-induced cardioprotection is the change in the coronary arteries, which includes increased conduit artery diameters, arteriolar densities, and diameters of arteriolar\(^26\). Considering that this adaptation requires several weeks of exercise training\(^27\), it could also account for our results.

As in relation to the exercise training intensity, previous data have demonstrated that this is an important issue when it comes to exercise-induced cardioprotection effect\(^28-29\). For instance, Starnes et al\(^28\) have found that 16 weeks of exercise training bellow 55 to 60% of \(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\) did not attenuate the damage caused by IR injury. On the other hand, Lennon et al\(^3\) have concluded that exercise training at low (50% of \(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\)) and moderate (70% of \(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\)) intensity are equally protective. In this sense, in our study, we chose to apply the aerobic exercise training at 70% of maximal velocity (which corresponds approximately to 70% of \(V\text{O}_2\text{max}\)) to ensure the cardioprotection afforded by exercise training.

The results of the present study should be interpreted considering certain limitations. First, the major limitation is the lack of a control group not submitted to exercise. Data in this sense would establish reference values and subsequently allow a more precise conclusion upon the acute exercise-induced cardioprotection. However, although we cannot assume that acute exercise induced cardioprotection in comparison to sedentism, the lack of a control group did not jeopardize the comparison between the cardioprotective effect between short and long-term exercises, which is the major aim of the present study. Second, the assessment of tissue damage following IR injury, such as myocardial infarct size, would contribute to our results. Nonetheless, maintaining cardiac function is a key component involved in cardioprotection.

In addition, marked physiological differences are notably observed between different species; thus, direct extrapolation of these findings from rats to humans should be approached with caution. Nonetheless, experimental settings investigating issues related to IR injury are well accepted due to the difficulty in developing such studies with humans.

**Conclusion**

Our results indicate that eight weeks of exercise training lead to greater cardioprotection against IR injury in rats due to an increased cardiac function than a single exercise session. This reinforces the hypothesis that exercise-induced cardioprotection is a multifactorial process that may involve different mediators according to the exercise duration. However, further research is necessary to obtain more consistent conclusions, especially in regards to the mechanisms involved in short and long-term exercise-induced cardioprotection.
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