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A ready-to-use duplex qPCR to detect *Leishmania infantum* DNA in naturally infected dogs


**ABSTRACT**

Canine visceral leishmaniasis (CVL) is a systemic disease caused by *Leishmania infantum*. A precise CVL diagnosis would allow for a faster and more specific treatment. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) is a sensitive and specific technique that can diagnose CVL and also monitor parasite load in the animal during the course of the infection or treatment. The aim of this study was to develop a ready-to-use (gelified and freezer-free) duplex qPCR for the identification of infected animals. We combined a new qPCR protocol that detects the canine 18S rRNA gene with an existing protocol for *L. infantum* kDNA detection, creating a duplex qPCR. This duplex method was then developed into a ready-to-use format. The performance of the duplex and singleplex reactions were compared in the traditional format (liquid and freezer-stored). Furthermore, the duplex qPCR performance was compared between the ready-to-use and traditional formats. The singleplex and new duplex qPCR exhibited the same detection limit in the traditional format (0.1 parasites/reaction). The ready-to-use format showed a detection limit of 1 parasite/reaction without affecting the reaction efficiency. The performance of the new qPCR protocol in the two formats was assessed using canine tissue samples from 82 dogs in an endemic CVL area that were previously characterized by standard serological and parasitological protocols. Splenic aspirates provided a higher rate of positivity (92.9%) followed by skin (50%) and blood (35.7%). The reported detection limits were observed for all tissues studied. Our results show that the amplification of *L. infantum* kDNA and canine DNA in a single tube, using either the traditional or ready-to-use format, exhibited the same diagnostic performance as amplification of the parasite kDNA alone. The detection of the host gene strengthens the qPCR results by confirming the presence and quality of DNA in the samples and the absence of polymerase inhibitors. The ready-to-use duplex qPCR format has many advantages. By joining two qPCR protocols into one, more results can be obtained in the same amount of time with reduced costs and embedded quality control. Reagents are preloaded and stored on the plate, reducing the operator’s hands-on time to set up a reaction, as well as decreasing manipulation steps, which reduces the risk of mistakes or contamination. Thus, the ready-to-use duplex format turns qPCR into a robust, easy-to-use tool, which could help increase the availability of qPCR for CVL diagnosis.

1. Introduction

*Leishmania infantum* is the agent of visceral leishmaniasis (VL), a neglected tropical disease found throughout Europe and Latin America, and is considered the most severe presentation of the clinical forms of leishmaniasis (Alvar et al., 2012; Desjeux, 2004). Natural transmission occurs via the bite of an infected female phlebotomine (Lainson and Rangel, 2005; Sherlock, 1996).

Dogs are considered the main urban reservoir of *L. infantum*, mostly due to the high rate of canine infection in endemic areas and intense
parasitism in the skin (Brasil, 2014; Madeira et al., 2009; Margonari et al., 2006; Molina et al., 1994; Oliveira et al., 2001). When infected, dogs exhibit many clinicopathological features that are similar to the human disease (Moreno and Alvar, 2002). Moreover, canine VL (CVL) can present with several degrees of severity and is fatal when not treated (Claramella et al., 1997; Koutinas et al., 1999). Due to the importance of the dog as the main urban *Leishmania* reservoir and the fatal course of the disease, a precise CVL diagnosis is essential to implement control measures and prevent death of animals by enabling fast, specific treatment.

Serological tests are widely used in CVL diagnosis (Gomes et al., 2008). However, most dogs exposed to *L. infantum* become infected without demonstrating specific clinical signs and are often lacking serological evidence, making it difficult to diagnose them by conventional serological tests (Baneth et al., 2008; Campino et al., 2000). Additionally, the serological tests used to identify the infection in the canine population may have low sensitivity and specificity, especially in newly infected dogs or in dogs that display a non-specific clinical status (Courney-Vital et al., 2011; Oliva et al., 2006; Otranto et al., 2009). Moreover, some animals present fluctuations in antibody titers during *L. infantum* infection (Carrera et al., 1996; Rosypal et al., 2005). In endemic areas, it is also common for dogs to have a low parasite load, which results in false-negative results when parasitological tests are used for diagnosis (Gomes et al., 2008). Due to the delayed drop in antibody levels in treated animals, serologic techniques are not adequate for monitoring the efficacy of CVL treatment (Rosypal et al., 2005).

Limitations of the serological and parasitological tests point to the need for developing and implementing more sensitive and specific techniques, such as molecular-based reactions (Grimaldi and Tesh, 1993; Miró et al., 2008; Reale et al., 1999; Solano-Gallego et al., 2001). Molecular techniques allow quantification of the parasite load when quantitative PCR (qPCR) is used (Francino et al., 2006; Manna et al., 2004), either during the course of the natural or experimental infection or after treatment (Maia and Campino, 2008; Manna et al., 2008; Martínez et al., 2011; Pennisi et al., 2005).

Despite the accuracy of qPCR in *Leishmania* DNA detection, questions regarding the validity of the test results still linger. In particular, there are potential issues related to the quality of the extracted DNA given that problems in DNA extraction can lead to false-negative results (Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque et al., 2014). The main approach to address this issue is to perform a parallel PCR to confirm the integrity of constitutive genes in the host genome. Several housekeeping genes, such as GAPDH, RNase P, and β-actin, have already been used as internal controls to ensure the DNA template’s integrity and prevent false-negative results (Espy et al., 2006; Gonçalves-de-Albuquerque et al., 2014; Peleg et al., 2010; Piron et al., 2007). Most of the time, laboratories perform two separate reactions, one for DNA quality control and another to detect the target DNA (Carson et al., 2010; Quaresma et al., 2009; Solcà et al., 2014). Joining these two reactions into one (creating a duplex format) makes the overall reaction cheaper, faster and results in embedded quality control.

Another limitation to the widespread use of a qPCR technique is the requirement for a controlled, stable temperature of −20 °C during transport and storage of reagents (i.e., “cold chain”), which steeply increases the costs and in extreme cases precludes use of qPCR as a diagnostic tool (Pai et al., 2012). In recent years, technologies that require a controlled, stable temperature of −20 °C during transport and stored at 4 °C for extended periods (Sun et al., 2013). This format only requires the user to add water and the extracted DNA, preventing mistakes during reagent manipulation and decreasing the hands-on time needed for launching a reaction as well as the possibility of contamination. Therefore, the ready-to-use (gelified and freezer-free) reaction format possesses features that are highly efficient in a routine diagnostic laboratory and can expedite the sample-to-answer process while increasing the robustness of the test.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to develop a ready-to-use duplex qPCR for detection of *L. infantum* DNA in dogs. Several conventional PCR protocols are present in the literature targeting DNA from different *Leishmania* species along with primers to detect sandfly DNA and/or housekeeping genes (de Casis-Pires et al., 2017; de Pita-Pereira et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Brito et al., 2015). However, none of the protocols describe a ready-to-use or a duplex format using the TaqMan system for detecting *L. infantum*. We developed ready-to-use duplex qPCR to improve the diagnostic protocols used to assess *L. infantum* infection in dogs. The new ready-to-use duplex qPCR was designed to simultaneously identify both a conserved sequence of the host DNA as well as a conserved repetitive sequence of *L. infantum* DNA. We tested the new duplex qPCR protocol for accuracy in the traditional (liquid and freezer-stored) and ready-to-use formats and compared positivity rates in different canine biological tissues to results obtained using serological and parasitological methods.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animal and tissue samples

A convenience sample of 82 dogs was selected from a cross-sectional study conducted in the municipality of Camaçari (12° 41’ 51” S, 38° 19’ 27” W) in Brazil, an endemic area for VL and CVL, during 2015. Three healthy CVL-negative dogs that resided in a non-endemic area for CVL were selected as controls. All procedures were approved by the FIOCRUZ Ethical Committee (Permit Number 007/2013). Canine tissue samples were collected from all 82 dogs as follows: 10 mL of blood by cephalic puncture, 500 μL of splenic aspirate by ultrasound guided aspiration, and a skin fragment from the lateral scapular region using a sterile 3 mm punch (Polo, Brazil). Both skin and splenic samples were collected after performing trichotomy under cutaneous anesthesia with 500 μL of 1% lidocaine hydrochloride (Hypofarma, Brazil). Blood, splenic aspirate, and skin samples were also obtained from CVL-negative dogs and used as negative controls. Blood samples were preserved in EDTA-2Na tubes (Greiner Bio-one, Austria), and all other samples were stored in DNAase-and RNase-free tubes at −70 °C until DNA extraction. DNA extraction of tissue samples was performed using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.2. CVL diagnosis

For serological CVL diagnosis, we employed the serodiagnostic protocol recommended by the Brazilian Ministry of Health (Brasil, 2014), which consists of the immunochromatographic rapid test DPP-LVC (Bio-Manguinhos, Brazil) followed by confirmatory ELISA EIE-LVC (Bio-Manguinhos, Brazil). Serological tests were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Parasitological diagnosis was performed by evaluating splenic aspirate cultures as previously described (Barrouin-Melo et al., 2004; Solcà et al., 2012).

2.3. Standard curve preparation

*L. infantum* (MHOM/BR2000/MERIVALDO) DNA was obtained from promastigotes cultivated in Schneider’s Complete Medium supplemented with 20% bovine fetal serum at 24 °C (Solcà et al., 2014). *L. infantum* promastigotes were counted and sequentially washed with buffer before performing DNA extraction using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) (Solcà et al., 2014). Extracted DNA was serially diluted (10-fold) in *Leishmania*-negative tissue-specific DNA.
obtained from healthy dogs to produce standard curves for each tissue type (blood, splenic aspirate or skin).

2.4. Duplex qPCR protocol

We designed a duplex qPCR to simultaneously detect *L. infantum* kinetoplast DNA (kDNA) and a conserved region of the housekeeping gene 18S rRNA (gb|DQ287955.1). Oligonucleotides for *L. infantum* kDNA detection were: LEISH-1, 5′-AACTTTCTGGTCCTCCGGGTAG-3′; LEISH-2, 5′-ACCCCCAGTTTCCCGCC-3′; and LEISH-P, 5′-FAM-AAAAATGGGTGCAGAAAT-MGB/NFQ-3′ (Francino et al., 2006). Oligonucleotides for amplification of the new canine sequence were: 18SCanis_F, 5′-TGCGAATGGCTCATTAAATC-3′; 18SCanis_R, 5′-CGTCCGGCATGTATTAGCTCT-3′; and 18SCanis_P, 5′-HEX-TGGTTCCTTTGGTCGCTGCT-BHQ1-3′.

We tested two methods of storing the qPCR reagents: the traditional format (liquid freezer-stored), where reagents must be thawed prior to use, and a ready-to-use format (gelified, freezer-free), where reagents are stored in the reaction vessel. Traditional duplex qPCR contained 5 μL of extracted DNA, Multiplex PCR Mastermix (IBMP/Fiocruz-PR, Brazil), 160 nM each of 18SCanis_F and 18SCanis_R, 40 nM of 18SCanis_P, 200 nM of LEISH-P and 900 nM each of LEISH-1 and LEISH-2. Ready-to-use duplex qPCR were produced by mixing qPCR reagents (enzymes, buffer, salts, nucleotides, primers, and probes) with a gelification solution, which was then submitted to vacuum under the same concentrations (Iglesias et al., 2014; Rosado et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2013). Traditional and ready-to-use reactions were run in an ABI7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, USA) set with the following protocol: 1 × 95 °C/10 min; 45x [95 °C/15s, 60 °C/60s]. Reactions were performed in triplicate, and data are expressed as cycle threshold (Ct) mean values. Positivity for *L. infantum* DNA in the qPCR duplex reaction was determined by Ct cut-off values obtained using a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for each tissue as previously described (Solcà et al., 2014). Tissue-specific Ct cut-off
values presenting 100% specificity and the higher sensitivity values were selected.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Results of molecular diagnostic techniques were read without any knowledge of the serological or parasitological results to prevent bias. ROC analysis used to establish the Ct cut-off value, was performed using Graph Pad Prism v.5.0 (Graph Pad Prism Inc., USA). Sensitivity and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated for each tissue using splenic cultures as the gold standard. Comparison of results for each sample among singleplex and duplex formats, as well as standard and ready-to-use storage formats was evaluated by the Wilcoxon signed rank sum test. Fisher or $\chi^2$ tests were used to compare the results from each method between all animals in the study. The Kappa coefficient was calculated for each of the diagnostic methods using splenic cultures as the gold standard with a 95% CI. Additionally, the Kappa coefficient was calculated between duplex qPCR in different tissues, as well as between duplex qPCR in traditional and ready-to-use formats. The coefficient was used to test agreement between the diagnostic methods, and Kappa results were interpreted according to Landis and Koch (1977): 1.00–0.81 almost perfect, 0.80–0.61 substantial, 0.60–0.41 moderate, 0.40–0.21 fair and ≤0.20 slight agreement.

3. Results

3.1. Adaptation and standardization of a qPCR singleplex protocol into a duplex qPCR protocol

We initially adapted a previously described qPCR singleplex protocol (Francino et al., 2006) into a duplex reaction by concurrently detecting the 18S rRNA gene using traditional storage methods for the reagents. Fig. 1 depicts the reportable range for *L. infantum* DNA quantification by qPCR in both singleplex and duplex formats after standardization. Fig. 1A shows that the amplification plot of 10-fold serial dilutions of *L. infantum* DNA in the duplex reaction (red lines) is indistinguishable from detection of *L. infantum* DNA alone in a singleplex reaction (black lines). Fig. 1B shows the amplification of serially diluted *L. infantum* DNA (red lines) concomitant to detection of the constitutive 18S RNA gene (blue lines). It is noteworthy that detection of the highly abundant 18S rRNA gene did not affect the detection of *L. infantum* DNA, even in very low amounts (i.e., less than one parasitic genome).

3.2. Evaluation of the detection limit of the traditional duplex qPCR in different canine tissues

Next, we evaluated the detection limit of the traditional duplex qPCR when used for *L. infantum* DNA detection in different canine tissues. Fig. 2 shows the reportable dynamic range for *L. infantum* DNA quantification by qPCR when parasitic DNA was diluted in DNA extracted from *Leishmania*-negative blood, skin, or splenic aspirates. Reactions performed in all three DNA matrices exhibited successful linear detection of up to 0.1 parasites/reaction. It should be noted that the duplex reaction was able to stochastically detect 0.05 parasites per reaction (stars).

3.3. Determination of a Ct cut-off value to evaluate qPCR sample positivity

We used standardized duplex qPCR to analyze DNA samples from dogs previously diagnosed as positive and negative for CVL and to perform ROC analysis. This analysis determined Ct cut-off values for evaluating qPCR positivity in order to maximize the sensitivity and specificity of the reaction. Cut-off values for blood samples were established at Ct 40.42, with prediction rates of 91.67% sensitivity (CI 61.52%–100%) and 100% specificity (CI 71.5%–100%); for skin samples, a Ct cut-off value of 40.0 provided prediction rates of 100% sensitivity (CI 73.5%–100%) and 100% specificity (CI 69.2%–100%); finally, in splenic aspirate samples, the Ct cut-off value of 38.2 corresponded to prediction rates of 91.67% sensitivity (CI 61.5%–99.8%) and 100% specificity (CI 73.5%–100%). ROC analysis for the three tissues revealed an area under the curve of 1.0, indicating a high probability ($p < 0.001$) that any randomly chosen positive sample would be correctly classified.

3.4. Comparison of the performance of the traditional duplex qPCR to other techniques for CVL diagnosis

We used the convenience sample of 82 dogs to compare the traditional duplex qPCR to other techniques for CVL diagnosis. All dogs were characterized by splenic aspirate cultures, through which 42 were considered positive and 40 were negative. Results from each diagnostic technique are compared in Table 1. qPCR of splenic aspirate samples detected *L. infantum* DNA in 92.9% of positive animals, while qPCR of skin and blood samples detected *L. infantum* DNA in 50% and 35.7% of positive animals, respectively. Statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were observed between the results of splenic aspirate qPCR and all other methods. Among dogs with negative splenic culture tests, qPCR from splenic aspirate samples was able to detect *L. infantum* DNA in 45% of samples, while qPCR from skin and blood detected DNA in 42.5% and 27.5% of samples. No differences were observed between the results of the different diagnostic techniques among animals with negative splenic cultures ($p > 0.05$).

The Kappa coefficient was calculated to measure the agreement index between the gold standard and each of the diagnostic methods tested (Table 1). Kappa coefficients were found to be 0.48 (moderate)

![Fig. 2. Comparison of detection limit between L. infantum DNA from blood, skin, or splenic aspirates. Ct values obtained for traditional duplex qPCR are plotted against known concentrations of parasite DNA (ranging from 10^3 to 0.1 parasites) diluted in Leishmania-negative DNA from blood, skin, or splenic aspirates. DNA representing 0.05 parasites were stochastically detected and are shown as stars outside the linear regression. Measured reaction efficiencies from at least three independent experiments were 96.8% for blood and skin samples and 105.9% for splenic aspirate samples, all three with a R^2 of 0.99.](image)

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Positivity n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kappa*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR Spleen aspirate</td>
<td>0.48 (39 (92.9%))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR Skin</td>
<td>0.07 (21 (50%))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR Blood</td>
<td>0.08 (15 (35.7%))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt; CVL</td>
<td>0.22 (30 (71.4%))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIE&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt; CVL</td>
<td>0.30 (23 (54.8%))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Splenic culture was used as the “gold standard” for Kappa coefficient calculation.

* Statistically different from qPCR splenic aspirate results in the same column by $\chi^2$ ($p < 0.05$).
for splenic aspirate qPCR and 0.22 and 0.30 (fair) for the serological tests DPP® CVL and EIE® CVL, respectively. Skin and blood qPCR presented a slight agreement with splenic cultures as indicated by Kappa results of 0.07 and 0.08, respectively. In addition, we evaluated the agreement between the results of traditional duplex qPCR using different tissues. We found a fair agreement when comparing splenic samples to skin samples (0.22), as well as between skin and blood samples (0.25). Agreement among splenic and blood samples was only slight (0.04).

After analyzing the duplex qPCR results from 82 dogs, Ct intervals for the detection of the conserved canine region for each tissue were established as follows: 15.9–19.9 for blood, 16.6–19.0 for skin, and 17.5–19.8 for splenic aspirate samples, demonstrating that all samples were considered suitable for DNA testing using qPCR.

3.5. Evaluation of sensitivity variations in the traditional duplex qPCR compared to the qPCR singleplex protocol

We performed a direct comparison between the Ct of L. infantum DNA in randomly selected canine tissue samples analyzed with the singleplex or the duplex reaction using the traditional format. Fig. 3A shows that some samples were detected at a higher Ct in the duplex format, suggesting a loss of sensitivity to some extent. However, the difference between reactions is negligible, averaging slightly lower mean Ct values (< 1 Ct) with the singleplex relative to the duplex format, showing that the mean difference is less than 1 Ct (thick horizontal line). Comparison among singleplex and duplex results for each individual was evaluated by Wilcoxon signed rank sum test, revealing no statistical difference (p > 0.05).

4. Discussion

A new duplex qPCR protocol for detection of the target (Leishmania DNA) that concomitantly detects a host (canine) control gene is presented herein. The novel development combines a published reaction for detection of L. infantum kDNA (Francino et al., 2006) with a new reaction designed for concomitant detection of the canine 18S rRNA gene.

Good performance in a singleplex qPCR protocol is not a guarantee that a corresponding duplex format will maintain the same efficiency, accuracy, and limit of detection (Ferreiro et al., 1992; Peleg et al., 2010). Loss of sensitivity and specificity may be due to competition of targets for the enzyme, unspecific interactions among the oligonucleotides or with genomic targets, or the use of identical temperature conditions for both simultaneous reactions. Additionally, avoidance of different contaminating products or primer–probe dimers is also of concern in multiplex reactions (Markoulalos et al., 2002). In sum, the main issue in developing multiplex PCR assays is the difficulty in adjusting the different reactions that occur simultaneously without losing efficiency, accuracy, or sensitivity.

The newly developed traditional duplex qPCR format did not affect the analytical sensitivity of L. infantum DNA amplification, presenting the same detection limit of 0.1 parasites/reaction as the singleplex reaction format (Fig. 1). Detection of less than one parasite per reaction is possible because the target gene is present in multiple copies in the genome (Lachaud et al., 2002) and is thus dissolved into solution when the parasites are lysed. For Leishmania spp., the target gene is present at approximately 50 copies per parasite genome (Lachaud et al., 2002).

Successful output of qPCR is directly correlated to the quality of the sample and/or its extracted DNA. One straightforward strategy to simultaneously control the quality of the sample and overall quality of the system and reagents is to amplify a housekeeping gene (Espy et al., 2006; Goncalves-de-Albuquerque et al., 2014; Melo et al., 2015; Peleg et al., 2010; Piron et al., 2007). Herein, we show that the duplex protocol was successfully used for simultaneous detection of L. infantum and canine DNA targets in blood, skin, and splenic aspirate samples. It is important to highlight that detection of the highly abundant 18S gene did not affect detection of L. infantum kDNA, even when the latter was present in much lower amounts.

Blood and skin are known to contain qPCR inhibitors, such as hemoglobin, serum albumin, melanin, and collagen (Giambernardi et al., 1998; Opel et al., 2010; Schrader et al., 2012), which are most likely removed during nucleic acid extraction. In our samples, 18S rRNA gene detection was within the expected ranges, demonstrating the quality of the DNA and efficiency of the extraction process in removing qPCR inhibitors (Schrader et al., 2012). We did not observe any meaningful difference between traditional or ready-to-use format for qPCR performed using samples originated from the spleen, blood, or skin. Therefore, when we consider qPCR efficiency and limit of detection, blood, skin, or splenic aspirates are equally good sources for detection of L. infantum DNA.

A more in-depth analysis of the convenience sample of 82 naturally infected dogs showed that splenic aspirates are the most efficient tissue
Fig. 4. Detection of L. infantum DNA using ready-to-use duplex qPCR. Panel A shows 10-fold serial dilutions of L. infantum DNA (ranging from 10^5 to 1 parasites) diluted in Leishmania-negative DNA from blood, skin, or splenic aspirates using duplex qPCR in a ready-to-use format. Mean reaction efficiencies from at least three independent experiments using blood, skin, or splenic aspirate samples were 84.7 ± 2.5, 81.3 ± 1.1, and 82.4 ± 1.2, respectively, all three with a R² of 0.96 or higher. Panel B shows the corresponding difference in Ct values obtained from qPCR analysis of individual samples of splenic aspirates (blue circles), blood (red circles), and skin (green circles) tested by traditional duplex qPCR protocol (liquid = empty circles) or ready-to-use duplex qPCR protocol (gel = full circles). The same sample was tested independently by both protocols, and the threshold cycles were plotted and connected by dotted lines. Panel C is a plot of the differences between the threshold cycles (empty squares) of each individual detection performed in Panel B, showing that the mean difference is less than 1 Ct. Comparison among traditional and ready-to-use duplex results for each individual was evaluated by Wilcoxon signed rank sum test, revealing no statistical difference (p > 0.05). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

for detecting L. infantum infection by qPCR. Similar results were also observed in others studies (Solcò et al., 2014). In the present study, we found a moderate agreement between the results of splenic traditional duplex qPCR and splenic cultures, but this is mainly due to the lack of sensitivity of splenic cultures used as the gold standard (Solcò et al., 2014). Splenic aspirates should be preferred over blood or skin if proper collection is possible, such as in clinical practice or research facilities. We believe that the parasite’s known splenic tropism is the best explanation for higher positivity in splenic samples (Barrouin-Melo et al., 2006; Reis et al., 2006; Saldarriaga et al., 2006). However, skin should be considered a viable alternative tissue in environments with little-to-no infrastructure or unskilled personnel, mainly because the collection procedure is less invasive and requires less technical skill than splenic aspiration. Since skin lesions are known to contain live parasites, this tissue should be considered when skin lesions are present (Aslan et al., 2016).

Although qPCR is a powerful and sensitive technique, its complexity in preparing the reaction is a critical point and a major hurdle for its adoption as a routine diagnostic tool. This difficulty is particularly true for VL and other neglected diseases because they are usually present in countries with insufficient lab infrastructure. Moreover, qPCR reagents require maintenance of freezing temperatures during transportation/storage, which is not an easy task in tropical countries. Therefore, almost as a sine qua non condition, a good diagnostic test for neglected tropical diseases should not be influenced by transportation/storage temperature (Pai et al., 2012). Interestingly, the gelified format has previously been used to store qPCR reagents at 4°C for up to 12 months or at 22°C for 1–3 months without significant loss of sensitivity (Iglesias et al., 2014; Rosado et al., 2002; Sun et al., 2013). Additionally, the ready-to-use format is advantageous because it decreases the manipulation steps to set up a reaction and minimizes the risk of operator mistakes by pre-loading all reagents directly into the reaction plate. Plasmodium spp. and Campylobacter spp. are examples of pathological organisms whose detection has been facilitated by pre-storing the gelified qPCR reagents directly on the reaction vessel (Iglesias et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2013). Our results show that gelified qPCR for detection of L. infantum DNA exhibits a similar relevant linear detection limit as the traditional format, irrespective of the tissue type used for sample DNA. This is a significant step towards increasing the use of qPCR for CVL diagnosis. We are currently performing long term storage studies on gelified L. infantum detection.

We suggest that qPCR could be used as a confirmatory test for CVL diagnosis in veterinary clinics, particularly in this ready-to-use duplex format. Previous studies (Manna et al., 2006; Solcò et al., 2014) have shown how the parasite load is an important biomarker for infection monitoring. In this sense, qPCR is a very appropriate tool for clinical assessment of dogs treated for L. infantum infection as well as in CVL research. Precise quantification of the parasite load in experimental infections may allow for advances in studies about the pathogenesis of the disease, as well as reliable comparisons in studies evaluating the efficacy of new anti-Leishmania drugs (Roura et al., 2013). Additionally, duplex qPCR has applicability for the diagnostic evaluation of VL in humans, especially in infected individuals who are also HIV positive and do not produce specific antibodies against the parasite, which makes diagnosis difficult using serological tests (Alvar et al., 1997).

In summary, we developed a duplex qPCR for detection of L. infantum DNA in canine blood, skin, or splenic aspirate samples that is as sensitive as its singleplex counterpart. Also, we present a ready-to-use gelified freezer-free duplex qPCR format that detects clinically relevant concentrations of parasites and only requires pre-loading the DNA samples directly into the reaction vessel, thereby decreasing manipulation of reagents and minimizing the risk of operator mistakes. In veterinary practice and research studies, more specific techniques such as qPCR could and should be applied as follow-up tests during drug treatment. The protocol presented herein would greatly enhance the accuracy of CVL diagnosis and lead to substantial improvements in control efforts.
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