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Genotyping of *Leptospira* directly in urine samples of cattle demonstrates a diversity of species and strains in Brazil
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SUMMARY

The aim of this study was to identify *Leptospira* in urine samples of cattle by direct sequencing of the secY gene. The validity of this approach was assessed using ten *Leptospira* strains obtained from cattle in Brazil and 77 DNA samples previously extracted from cattle urine, that were positive by PCR for the genus-specific lipL32 gene of *Leptospira*. Direct sequencing identified 24 (31.1%) interpretable secY sequences and these were identical to those obtained from direct DNA sequencing of the urine samples from which they were recovered. Phylogenetic analyses identified four species: *L. interrogans*, *L. borgpetersenii*, *L. noguchii*, and *L. santarosai* with the most prevalent genotypes being associated with *L. borgpetersenii*. While direct sequencing cannot, as yet, replace culturing of leptospires, it is a valid additional tool for epidemiological studies. An unexpected finding from this study was the genetic diversity of *Leptospira* infecting Brazilian cattle.
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*Leptospira* are the causative agents of leptospirosis and are zoonotic pathogens with a worldwide distribution. Leptospirosis is most prevalent in countries with subtropical or tropical climates which favour the survival of the organisms [1]. Leptospiral infection in cattle causes reproductive failure (abortion, embryonic death, stillbirth and infertility) and decreased milk production [2]. Importantly, infection represents a significant disease risk for farmers [3].

Cattle may become asymptomatic temporary or permanent reservoirs and shed leptospires in their urine [2, 4] and genital tract discharges [3]. They can also serve as maintenance hosts for *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar (sv) Hardjo strain Hardjobovis as well as for *L. interrogans* sv Hardjo strain Hardjoprajitno [1, 4].

*Leptospira* can be cultured from the urine of animals, but due to their fastidious growth properties, this method is not used routinely [3] and diagnosis is most commonly performed by serological assay (microscopic agglutination test; MAT) or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of genus-specific genes which have high sensitivity and specificity for the detection of leptospiral DNA in clinical samples. However, PCR does not identify the organisms to the serovar level and is therefore not widely used in epidemiological studies [5].

When culture isolates are not available, typing by sequencing of the PCR amplified housekeeping gene secY can be used directly on biological samples [5]. The secY gene encodes a preprotein translocase and is located within the S10-spc-α locus containing...
Genes for ribosomal proteins. The gene consists of alternating conserved and variable regions, with sufficient sequence heterogeneity to enable phylogenetic classification of *Leptospira* [6, 7]. Direct sequencing of secY has been successfully used for the identification of *Leptospira* in human blood, and species identification in different animal hosts [5, 6], but to our knowledge it has not been utilized for biological samples from cattle. Epidemiological studies on bovine leptospirosis are scarce, mainly due to the difficulties in obtaining isolates. The aim of the present study was to identify *Leptospira* from urine samples of cattle by PCR amplification of the secY gene and subsequent sequence analysis.

Ten *Leptospira* strains were obtained from cattle in Brazil. Six *L. santarosai* and two *L. noguchii* were from the Leptospirs Collection of Veterinary Bacteriology Laboratory of Universidade Federal Fluminense; one *L. interrogans* from the Technology Development Center (CDTec), Federal University of Pelotas (UFPel), and one *L. interrogans* from the Department of Preventive Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health, University of São Paulo (USP).

A total of 77 DNA samples previously extracted from urine of cattle, which were *Leptospira* positive by PCR amplification of lipL32 were used for PCR-secY sequencing. Cows originating from diverse herds in the region and with no apparent clinical signs of disease were randomly selected in a slaughterhouse located near Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Of the 77 DNA samples, eight were from the original urine samples that yielded pure cultures of *L. santarosai* (KP263062, KP263063, KP263064, KP263067, KP263068, KP263069) and *L. noguchii* (KP263061, KP263066).

The secY gene was partially amplified by PCR with primers and protocols as described previously [5, 8]. The amplicons were sequenced in both directions using Big Dye Terminator 3·1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) on ABI 3500 XL Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, USA). All molecular epidemiological data were stored and analysed with DNAStar Software Lasergene version 5.05 (DNASTAR, USA). Sequences were compared to ten available reference strains (NCBI) of four *Leptospira* species (*L. interrogans*, *L. noguchii*, *L. santarosai*, *L. borgpetersenii*). The phylogenetic analysis was performed with MEGA 5·2 software (www.megasoftware.net) using the neighbour-joining method and phylogenetic trees were constructed using the Tamura-Nei model; the reliability of the branches were validated by the generation of 1000 ‘bootstrap’ replicates.

Of the 77 DNA samples tested, 55 (71·4%) were PCR positive for the secY gene and 24/55 (43·6%) PCR products gave interpretable sequences. An additional nine sequences derived from culture isolates made a total of 33 analysed sequences. Non-interpretable sequences were as classified by the analysis software. Importantly, identical secY sequences in all the eight cases of *L. santarosai* and *L. noguchii* were observed in DNA extracted from the *Leptospira* isolate and the urine sample from which it was recovered (Fig. 1). Of the 24 analysed sequences, six (25%) were identified as *L. santarosai*, ten (41·7%) as *L. borgpetersenii*, two (8·3%) as *L. noguchii* and six (25%) as *L. interrogans* (Supplementary material). Sequencing of secY fragments distinguished four clusters (here defined as homology >95% and bootstraps ranging from 70% to 99%) by species.

Sequencing of secY fragments of *L. santarosai* distinguished nine sequences grouped into a single cluster. Compared to the reference strains, two *L. santarosai* (U152, U278) displayed homology with *L. santarosai* sv Bananal. By contrast, the remainder were distinct from any of the reference strains. The two *L. noguchii* sequences were grouped in a single cluster and displayed homology with *L. noguchii* sv Myocastoris (Fig. 1).

Alignment of secY for *L. interrogans* revealed six sequences in one cluster. One group comprised three urine samples (U5, U167, U225) and displayed homology with the serovar Canicola isolate from São Paulo (USP), while the other (U16, U85, U193) was homologous with the serovar Australis isolate from southern Brazil (UFPel).

Ten sequences were identified as *L. borgpetersenii* which displayed homology with *L. borgpetersenii* sv Hardjobovis. According to the similarity level, sequences fell into three groups; two of these displayed 97·2% identity (U17, U183, U277, U291, U146, U275) and (U83, U215), while the third (U98, U194) displayed >99% identity to *L. borgpetersenii* sv Hardjobovis.

Using the direct secY sequencing method, we were able to infer the species and genotypes without the need to culture the pathogen; importantly, secY sequences from urine samples and the respective *Leptospira* isolates from these urine samples yielded identical results, thus validating the method. In addition to PCR detection, sequencing of the secY gene allows species identification in bovine leptospirosis, and should be utilized in other regions and environments. The observed non-concordance
between the positivity of lipL32-PCR and secY-PCR for 22 DNA samples raises the possibility of false-positive results from lipL32-PCR. However, this discrepancy could be due to other factors such as the original bacterial load in the urine and the quality of the sample tested. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that only 24/55 sequences were interpretable, indicating the limitations of this method.

An interesting result was that many of the sequence types were not similar to any of the known reference strains. Although secY sequences of all the known reference strains are not listed in Genbank, this finding suggests that new local strains have yet to be identified and reinforces the need for further epidemiological studies in Brazil and other tropical regions. While very useful, sequencing of PCR products cannot, as yet, replace the need for bacterial culture of leptospires. The need for identification of local isolates in tropical regions has been recently discussed [9] and genome sequencing of newly identified isolates will broaden our understanding of the circulating strains.

This study also demonstrates the presence of diverse species and genotypes of Leptospira infecting cattle in the same region. It is certainly possible that a particular subset of strains cannot be adequately classified by secY, and this merits further study. Other studies using the secY sequencing method have also showed genetic diversity of Leptospira in human blood and animal specimens [5, 6]. The majority of secY sequence types displayed closest homology with strains isolated in Brazil compared to elsewhere. Two sequences were homologous to L. santarosai sv Bananal obtained from capybaras in São Paulo and, more recently, goats from Rio de Janeiro [9], but three sequence types were classified as L. interrogans and showed similarity with bovine strains from São Paulo, and Pelotas in Southern Brazil, perhaps indicating that relatively few genetic populations prevail in bovine leptospirosis in Brazil.

An unexpected finding was that almost half of the samples investigated were identified as L. borgpetersenii, which is consistent with the prevalence of this species in cattle in other countries. While L. interrogans Hardjoprajitno is mainly found in Europe, in other regions, such as Australia and New Zealand, L. borgpetersenii Hardjobovis most often prevails [1, 2, 4]. In Brazil, L. borgpetersenii Hardjobovis has never been isolated by culture, and only two strains of L. interrogans Hardjoprai jin o have been described [10, 11]. In the present study, 70% of the sequence types of L. borgpetersenii were closely related to Hardjobovis, two of them with a high similarity (>99%) which suggests that this serovar may also be infecting Brazilian cattle. A possible reason for the non-isolation of L. borgpetersenii in Brazil may be due to their difficulty to culture [12].
In conclusion, although it cannot replace the need for bacterial culturing of leptospires, direct sequencing of PCR products of the secY gene is a valid and useful tool for epidemiological studies of these organisms as it has revealed genetic diversity and possibly new strains of Leptospira infecting Brazilian cattle.
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