Gross Motor Function in Children with Congenital Zika Syndrome
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Neuropediatrics

Abstract

Background  Little information on gross motor function of congenital Zika syndrome (CZS) children is available.
Objectives  To evaluate gross motor function in CZS children aged up to 3 years, and its associated factors and changes in a minimum interval of 6 months.
Methods  One hundred children with CZS and cerebral palsy (36 with confirmed and 64 with presumed CZS) were evaluated with the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) and Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM-88/GMFM-66). Forty-six were reevaluated. Wilcoxon tests, Wilcoxon tests for paired samples, percentile scores, and score changes were performed.
Results  Clinical and socioeconomic characteristics (except maternal age), GMFM scores and GMFCS classification of confirmed and probable cases, which were analyzed together, were similar. The mean age was 25.6 months (± 5.5); the median GMFM-88 score was 8.0 (5.4–10.8); and the median GMFM-66 score was 20.5 (14.8–23.1); 89% were classified as GMFCS level V. Low economic class, microcephaly at birth, epilepsy, and brain parenchymal volume loss were associated with low GMFM-66 scores. The median GMFM-66 percentile score was 40 (20–55). On the second assessment, the GMFM-66 scores in two GMFCS level I children and one GMFCS level IV child improved significantly. In one GMFCS level III child, one GMFCS level IV child, and the group of GMFCS level V children, no significant changes were observed.
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Introduction

Until 2015, Zika virus (ZIKV) infection reports in humans were isolated and sporadic, and ZIKV infection was mainly asymptomatic.\(^1\) In 2015, after Zika epidemics occurred throughout the Americas, intrauterine ZIKV infection was found to be a cause of microcephaly and serious brain anomalies.\(^2,3\)

A distinct pattern of birth defects, called congenital Zika syndrome (CZS), was described.\(^4\) CZS has been observed in approximately 10% of cases of ZIKV infection in pregnancy, with presentation ranging from mild symptoms to microcephaly with multiple organ involvement; its full spectrum is still unknown.\(^5\)

Defining cases is challenging in ZIKV studies. Molecular tests used to detect viral genomic material are the preferred method of diagnosis because they can provide confirmed evidence of infection, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), but due to the temporal nature of ZIKV RNA in serum and urine, these tests often produce false-negative results.\(^6\) ZIKV immunoglobulin (Ig) M antibody testing, followed by the plate reduction neutralization test (PRNT) for ZIKV, expands the diagnostic window and is recommended by the CDC in certain situations. However, it has recently been shown that negative PRNT results do not exclude the diagnosis of CZS, since among mothers who were ZIKV-positive according to qRT-PCR (real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction), 51.5% had a negative PRNT result.\(^7\)

Therefore, laboratory testing has been integrated with clinical knowledge of CZS and presumed CZS is commonly diagnosed.\(^8-11\)

The motor function of children with probable CZS and cerebral palsy (CP) can be very compromised when compared with populations with typical development according to normative tests.\(^12-14\) CZS children often present with CP\(^15,16\) that differs from other congenital infections because of the following features: (1) severe microcephaly with partially collapsed skull; (2) thin cerebral cortices with subcortical calcifications; (3) macular scarring and focal pigmented retinal mottling; (4) congenital contractures; and (5) marked early hypertonia and symptoms of extrapyramidal involvement.\(^17\)

Criteria-referenced tests specifically developed for CP, such as the Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM-88 and GMFM-66),\(^18\) are highly appropriate to describe motor function and detect changes over time. Recent studies on gross motor function in CZS children administered the GMFM-88 in small samples and presented transversal data.\(^9,19,20\) The associations of severe cortical malformation and small head circumference at birth,\(^9,20\) early maternal infection,\(^20\) and epilepsy and dysphagia\(^19\) with decreased motor function were described.

Conclusions  Almost all CZS children had severe cerebral palsy; in the third year of life, most presented no improvement in gross motor function and were likely approaching their maximal gross motor function potential.

One longitudinal study revealed evidence of marginal improvement in motor function in the first 2 years of life in children with probable CZS.\(^11\) Additional studies with older children using appropriate instruments and larger samples are required to better understand motor abilities, limitations, and factors associated with a poor prognosis in CZS children.\(^9,11,19\)

The objective of this study was to evaluate gross motor function and its associated factors in a larger sample of children with CZS aged up to 3 years using the GMFM and to determine changes in this domain with a minimum interval of 6 months.

Methods

A prospective cohort was conducted between September 2017 and February 2019 at the Reference Center on Neurodevelopment, Assistance and Rehabilitation of Children—NINAR, affiliated with the State Department of Health of the State of Maranhão.

The study was part of the project “Congenital Zika Syndrome, Seroprevalence, and Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Zika and Chikungunya Virus in Maranhão,” approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University Hospital of the Federal University of Maranhão (approval number 2.111.125).

Participants

The inclusion criteria consisted of children who attended follow-ups with the multidisciplinary team at NINAR and who had received a diagnosis of CZS confirmed by a PRNT for ZIKV with a cutoff value of 90% (PRNT90; 40 children) or a presumed diagnosis (70 children) based on computed tomography (CT) findings, ZIKV IgM detection, and serology tests for other congenital infections.

PRNT90 was conducted in the Laboratory of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases (LEITV)—Gonçalo Muniz Institute (IGM)/Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz)/Bahia. This test was performed based on a previously reported protocol\(^21\) with minor modifications. PRNT90 was performed to determine the maximum serum dilution (1:8 to 1:4096) needed to reduce ZIKV plaque formation by 90% in Vero cells. For this, the ZIKV PE/243 virus strain that was isolated in Brazil was used. All sera were heat-inactivated (56°C, 30 minutes) prior to neutralization testing. The serum samples were diluted on a plate with modified Dulbecco Eagle medium containing 2% fetal calf serum and 1% of penicillin/streptomycin. Next, 250 µL of virus (100 ffu/µL) was added to each well containing diluted serum (1:1). The serum and virus dilutions were then incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. A final volume of 200 µL of each serum and virus dilution was transferred to a
well containing Vero cells and then incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. Following incubation, 300 µL of 0.3% agarose solution was added and plates were reincubated at 37°C for 5 days. Reactions were then revealed using a 2% naphthol blue-black solution. Titers ≥10 were considered positive.

Brain CT scans were evaluated by two experienced radiologists to identify specific features associated with CZS, including brain parenchymal volume loss, calcifications in the gray–white matter junction, ventricular enlargement, delayed cortic development, cerebellar and/or brainstem malformations, and a hypoplastic or absent corpus callosum. Children with radiological findings compatible with CZS in addition to positive IgM for ZIKV (three children), negative serology (33 children) or inconclusive serology (34 children) for other congenital infections (syphilis, toxoplasmosis, rubella, cytomegalovirus, and herpes simplex virus) were presumed to have CZS. Children for whom neuroimaging examinations showed no abnormalities or signs of other causes for CP, who had no neuroimaging examination results available, or who had positive serological detection of other congenital infections were not included.

Two children died before data collection, two were excluded from this study due to concomitant diagnosis of conditions that interfere with motor performance (hydrocephalus and Dandy–Walker syndrome), two were clinically ill, and four were unavailable to complete the motor function assessment. Therefore, for the purposes of this study, 100 children with CZS and CP were evaluated, including 36 with confirmed and 64 with presumed CZS.

The mothers or guardians of the children who met the inclusion criteria were invited to participate in the study and were included after signing an informed consent form.

**GMFCS, GMFM-88, and GMFM-66**

The children’s spontaneous movements were evaluated by three trained physical therapists and an occupational therapist who applied the GMFCS version validated in Portuguese and the GMFM-66 and GMFM-88. The evaluations were video-recorded and later scored by the same experienced physiotherapist (E.H.M.T) who was trained in GMFM-66 and GMFM-88 scoring. The GMFCS is a five-level pattern-recognition system to describe and classify the severity of movement disabilities in children with CP. Level I represents the best gross motor abilities (CP children and youth who walk without limitations), and level V represents the poorest function (children who require a wheelchair). The GMFM-88 is an ordinal scale that consists of five dimensions: (A) lying and rolling, (B) sitting, (C) crawling and kneeling, (D) standing, and (5) walking, running, and jumping. All items were classified on a 4-point scale and the raw scores were converted into percentages. The GMFM-88 provides a more detailed description of motor function in young children or highly impaired children. The GMFM-66, on the other hand, is an interval measurement tool developed using Rasch analysis of the GMFM-88, thereby making comparisons of changes in subjects, as well as changes over time in subjects, more reliable and accurate. The Gross Motor Ability Estimator (GMAE-2) was used to calculate the GMFM-66 scores, which were also analyzed as percentiles according to the child’s GMFCS classification. A convenience sample of 46 children repeated the GMFM-66 assessment after a minimum interval of 6 months.

**Clinical and Socioeconomic Characteristics**

A standardized questionnaire was completed by mothers or guardians, providing socioeconomic data (mother’s education level and age at the beginning of gestation, place of family residence at the time of pregnancy, monthly family income, and economic classification according to the Criterions of Economic Classification Brasil (CCEB); presence of symptoms compatible with ZIKV infection during the gestational period, type of delivery, gestational age at birth (in weeks); and the child’s head circumference, length, and weight at birth (the first two in centimeters and the last in grams). The CCEB is based on the accumulation of material goods and education level of the household head and groups of people into classes (A, B, C, D, or E) according to the scores obtained. Class “A” refers to the highest socioeconomic status and class “E” refers to the lowest. The head circumference was classified in z-scores according to the INTERGROWTH-21st tables to determine the presence of macrocephaly (z-score > 2), normocephaly (2 ≤ z-score ≤ –2), or microcephaly (z-score < –2). The presence and degree of brain parenchymal volume loss (mild to moderate or severe) were determined in head CT. All children were diagnosed with CP and classified according to topography and the presence of pyramidal (hypertonia, clonus, hyperreflexia, and increased archaic reflexes) or extrapyramidal signs (tonus fluctuation and asymmetric dyskinesias in the extremities that were absent during sleep) by the chief child neurologist of NINAR.

Data on the presence of symptomatic epilepsy were collected from medical records.

**Statistical Analysis**

The distribution of categorical variables and the medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs) of numerical variables were analyzed. Chi-square tests and Wilcoxon tests were performed to compare children with confirmed and presumed CZS diagnoses.

Wilcoxon tests were used to evaluate associations between GMFM-66 baseline scores and the independent variables, which were transformed into dichotomous variables. The GMFM-66 scores of children aged 24 months or older were analyzed as percentiles using motor development curves as references.

The Wilcoxon test for paired samples was used to compare repeat GMFM-66 scores with baseline scores. Change scores by age and GMFCS levels were calculated.

For all tests, a 5% level of significance was adopted. The statistical analysis was conducted in Stata, version 14.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, United States).

**Results**

Maternal age at the beginning of gestation was the only characteristic with significant difference (p = 0.044) between confirmed (median maternal age of 21.5 years; IQR: 19–26.5)
and presumed CZS children (median: 26 years; IQR 20–31); the other clinical and demographic characteristics were similar (► Supplementary Table S1, available online only).

Most children were male (59.0%), were delivered by cesarean (52%) and were microcephalic (56.0%), presented tetraparetic CP (88.0%), exhibited both pyramidal and extrapyramidal signs (67.0%), had symptomatic epilepsy (89.0%), and showed brain parenchymal volume loss (68.0%). Prematurity and low birth weight were not uncommon (13.0 and 22.0%, respectively). At the time of pregnancy, 76.0% of the mothers had completed high school or incomplete higher education and 52.0% had experienced symptoms of viral infectious disease symptoms during pregnancy, type of delivery, gestational age, birth weight, and presence of pyramidal signs versus combined pyramidal and extrapyramidal signs (► Supplementary Table S2, available online only).

Children born with microcephaly had significantly lower GMF-66 scores (p = 0.014) than children born with normocephaly. Lower scores on the GMF-66 were also observed in children who belonged to lower economic classes (p = 0.007), who presented symptomatic epilepsy (p < 0.001), and who had brain parenchymal volume loss (p < 0.001) (► Supplementary Table S2, available online only).

All children with microcephaly at birth were classified as GMFCS level V. The other features of poor prognosis associated with low GMF-66 scores, including lower economic class, symptomatic epilepsy, and brain parenchymal volume loss, were observed in children classified as GMFCS levels I–V (► Fig. 2).

All 46 reevaluated children received the same GMFCS rating at the second assessment. The median interval between evaluations was 8 months (6–14 months). In the second evaluation, the average age of children was 31.4 months of age (17–38 months). Most children were between 25 and 48 months old and classified as GMFCS level V (► Table 2).

Two (4.3%) children were classified as GMFCS level I and presented changes in GMF-66 scores greater than the measurement error, with change scores of 9.8 and 11.5. Repeated motor assessments for one (2.2%) GMFCS level III (change score of 0.4) child and one (change score of −0.6) out of two GMFCS level IV children (4.3%) were similar (overlapping 95% confidence intervals [CIs]). The other child classified as GMFCS level IV had a significant increase in the GMF-66 score, with a change score of 4.5. In the group of GMFCS level V children (89.2%), no significant differences were observed in the GMF-66 scores of children who were initially evaluated at less than 2 years old (41.3%; median change score of 0.6; IQR: 0–2.5; p = 0.050) or between 2 and 4 years old (47.9%; median change score of 1.5; IQR: 0–2.2; p = 0.060) (► Table 3).

The median GMF-66 score percentile was 40 (IQR: 20–55) among the 109 evaluations performed when children were 24 months old or older. One GMFCS level I child scored

### Table 1 Comparison of Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM) scores and Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) frequencies between confirmed and presumed congenital Zika syndrome (CZS) children (Sao Luis, Maranhao, 2017–2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 100)</th>
<th>CZS confirmed by PRNT90 (n = 36)</th>
<th>Presumed CZS (n = 64)</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMFM scores median</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFM-88</td>
<td>8.0 (5.4–10.8)</td>
<td>7.9 (5.8–9.9)</td>
<td>8.0 (4.9–11.9)</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFM-66</td>
<td>20.5 (14.8–23.1)</td>
<td>19.3 (15.4–21.6)</td>
<td>20.5 (14.8–24.0)</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMFCS level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level I</td>
<td>3 (3.0%)</td>
<td>1 (2.8%)</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level II</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level III</td>
<td>2 (2.0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level IV</td>
<td>6 (6.0%)</td>
<td>1 (2.8%)</td>
<td>5 (7.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level V</td>
<td>89 (89.0%)</td>
<td>34 (94.4%)</td>
<td>55 (86.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CZS, congenital Zika syndrome; IQR, interquartile range; PRNT90, plaque reduction neutralization test with cutoff value of 90% (PRNT90).
in the 3rd and 20th percentiles, respectively; one in the 35th and the last in the 85th percentile. One GMFCS level III child scored in the 70th and 60th percentiles, respectively, and the other scored in 15th percentile. Among five children with GMFCS level IV, scores ranged from the 3rd to 50th percentiles. Among GMFCS level V children, 71.9% were at or below the 50th percentile.

Discussion

In the present study, motor function in children with CZS was described, including classification of the severity of CP and factors associated with poor motor function and gross motor trajectories until the third year of life. The most common phenotype observed was severe tetraparetic CP, with 95% of children classified as GMFCS level V or IV. The proportion of GMFCS level V children (89%) was higher than those reported by Carvalho et al\(^8\) (40.2%) and Frota et al\(^{19}\) (71.7%), but similar to those in the study of Melo et al\(^9\) (81%) and Ventura et al\(^{11}\) (96.1%). The differences are possibly explained by distinct inclusion criteria and smaller and younger average ages in previous studies. In the present sample, 67% of children were more than 24 months old, when the GMFCS classification is more precise.\(^{25}\) As expected, the majority of GMFCS level IV and V children could not assume crawling or standing positions, with poor prognosis for walking.\(^{24}\)

Nevertheless, three children presented mild symptoms of CP and were classified as GMFCS level I; those children were able to walk.

The baseline GMFM-88 score was low (median of 8.0). However, Frota et al\(^{19}\) and Melo et al\(^9\) reported even lower GMFM-88 scores (median score of 4.9 in 46 24-month-old
children and 6.5 in 59 children with a maximum age of 13.2 months, respectively). GMFM-66 scores were not calculated in those studies.

An analysis of percentiles of scores from the GMFM-66, which has not been applied in previous studies, showed that most CZS children presented poor gross motor function (median GMFM-66 percentile score of 40) when compared with the median performance of other CP children with the same age and GMFCS classification.

In the reassessment of 46 of the 100 CZS children, limited gross motor gains at median age of 31.4 months was observed when compared with CP children. Longitudinal data on motor function of CZS are scarce; Ventura et al. described presumed CZS children up to 24 months old. GMFCS level I children showed significant improvements in motor function, but their performance was no better than that observed in the typical course of static encephalopathy. One child had a change score (9.8) according to expected (11.6 ± 3.2) at the age of 2 years and 3 months, but the GMFM-66 score was in the 35th. The other child with GMFCS level I had a change score that exceeded the expected gains (11.5; reference value: 4.5 ± 3.6). Nonetheless, their GMFM-66 score at 3 years and 2 months old kept them in a low percentile ranking: it changed from the 3rd to the 20th percentile.

The GMFM-66 score of the GMFCS level III child dropped from the 70th to near the 60th percentile by the age of 2 years and 9 months, with no significant score change between the two evaluations possibly indicating a deceleration in motor development not expected at this age in children in this classification. GMFCS level III children are expected to continue showing improvement in their gross motor function and reach 90% of their potential until the age of 3.7 years.

GMFCS level IV children showed substandard motor function when compared with CP curves. One GMFCS level IV child had a change score of 4.5, but the GMFM-66 score was only in the 30th percentile at 2 years and 3 months old. The other GMFCS level IV child had a small decrease in their GMFM-66 score, in the 30th percentile by the age of 2 years and 8 months.

For CZS children classified as GMFCS level V, GMFM-66 scores were statistically similar between the subsequent evaluations, with median GMFM-66 scores at the second assessment of 21.3 for the younger and 19.8 for the older group. The younger group was initially evaluated at a median age of 20 months and then at a median age of 30 months; the older group underwent the first assessment at a median age of 26.5 months, and the second assessment was performed at a median age of 33 months. CP children with the same GMFCS classification present a GMFM-66 limit score of 22.3 (95% CI: 20.7–24.0) and usually reach their GMFM-66 score limit at an older age (32.4 months; 95% CI: 24–44.4 months). These findings suggest that CZS children classified as GMFCS level V tend to reach their maximal gross motor function potential relatively early, by their second birthday, and they tend to underperform when compared with CP children with the same GMFCS classification.

The present study makes important contributions to understanding factors affecting motor function in CZS children, a field with incipient knowledge. Epilepsy was associated with decreased motor function, probably reflecting greater central nervous system involvement. Symptomatic epilepsy was present in the majority of the sample; this result supports the previously described results in children with probable CZS.

Brain parenchymal volume loss was observed in 82.9% of those with available cerebral CT results and was associated with low GMFM-66 scores. This radiological sign can be identified early in prenatal ultrasound or postnatal exams and can be another useful tool to help clinicians to identify poor motor prognosis.

Normal head circumference at birth did not exclude the presence of motor impairment, but children with microcephaly had worse gross motor function than those born with normocephaly, with a statistically significant difference in GMFM-66 scores. Similar findings were reported by other authors. Among children with a known head circumference at birth, 66.3% had microcephaly at birth, a proportion within the range reported by previous studies.

### Table 2 Baseline Gross Motor Function Measurement (GMFM-88 and GMFM-66) total scores and scores per GMFM-88 dimension in children with confirmed and presumed congenital Zika syndrome (CZS) according to the classification level of the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMFM assessment</th>
<th>Minimum; maximum</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMFM-88</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level I (n = 3)</td>
<td>43.8; 86.2</td>
<td>44.7 (43.8–86.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II (n = 0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III (n = 2)</td>
<td>30.3; 41.5</td>
<td>35.9 (30.3–41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level IV (n = 6)</td>
<td>15.2; 28.0</td>
<td>18.6 (15.6–19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level V (n = 89)</td>
<td>0.4; 18.2</td>
<td>7.5 (5.2–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dimensions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A) Lying and rolling</td>
<td>2; 100.0</td>
<td>27.5 (19.6–37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Seating</td>
<td>0; 90.0</td>
<td>11.7 (6.7–16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Crawling and kneeling</td>
<td>0; 71.4</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Standing</td>
<td>0; 79.5</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Walking, running, and jumping</td>
<td>0; 90.3</td>
<td>0 (0–0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GMFM-66</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level I (n = 3)</td>
<td>46.1; 67.7</td>
<td>48.1 (46.1–67.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level II (n = 0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level III (n = 2)</td>
<td>41.4; 48.1</td>
<td>44.8 (41.4–48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level IV (n = 6)</td>
<td>21.2; 33.9</td>
<td>28.3 (26.0–31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level V (n = 89)</td>
<td>0; 32.8</td>
<td>18.9 (14.8–21.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: IQR, interquartile range.
Note: The mean age of the children was 25.6 months, ranging from 8 to 43 months (n = 100). São Luís, Maranhão, 2017–2019.
An unprecedented association between lower economic level and severe motor function was observed. Recent studies have shown that low yellow fever vaccination coverage and previous infections by viruses or other etiologic agents, factors to which lower socioeconomic classes are more exposed, can increase the severity of neurological involvement in congenital ZIKV infection. Moreover, CZS results in high-magnitude disabilities or difficulties in function and body structure, activity, and participation in daily life activities, creating several demands that are potentially difficult to meet in families with low socioeconomic status. The Brazilian National Health System offers basic care and specialized rehabilitation treatment; however, this system needs to be continually improved to minimize the impact of CZS on the health and quality of life of children and their families.

Using the PRNT90 test for ZIKV was a strength of the study; this test provides robust evidence for ZIKV infection. However, it has recently been shown that negative PRNT results do not exclude the diagnosis of CZS. Therefore, no known specific criteria are capable of identifying all cases of CZS; a combination of clinical and risk assessments, clinical knowledge, and laboratory testing to create hierarchical classes of evidence of ZIKV infection, as adopted in this study, is necessary. Almost all clinical and socioeconomic characteristics (except for maternal age at the beginning of pregnancy) contribute to the clinical risk profile.

Table 3: Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM-66) scores in children with two assessments, according to the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) classification and age category in the first assessment (São Luís, Maranhão, 2017–2019).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMFCS classification</th>
<th>1st assessment</th>
<th>2nd assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level I (n = 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child A (under 2 years old)*</td>
<td>48.1 (45.9–50.3)</td>
<td>57.9 (55.6–60.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child B (2–4 years old)*</td>
<td>46.1 (44.0–48.1)</td>
<td>57.6 (55.3–59.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level III (n = 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child C (2–4 years old)*</td>
<td>48.1 (45.9–50.3)</td>
<td>48.5 (46.3–50.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level IV (n = 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child D (under 2 years old)*</td>
<td>26.0 (22.1–29.1)</td>
<td>30.5 (26.7–34.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child E (2–4 years old)*</td>
<td>31.8 (28.1–35.5)</td>
<td>31.2 (27.5–34.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFCS level V (n = 41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 2 years old (n = 19)*</td>
<td>21.2 (18.0–23.4)</td>
<td>21.2 (20.5–24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4 years old (n = 22)*</td>
<td>19.7 (14.8–21.2)</td>
<td>20.5 (17.0–22.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GMFM-66 score (95% confidence interval).
*Significant increase in the GMFM-66 score on the second assessment.
*Median GMFM-66 score (interquartile range).
gestation), GMFM scores, and GMFCS classifications from confirmed and presumed CZS were similar, suggesting that CZS manifests similarly in children with different levels of ZIKV infection evidence, supporting the decision of combining both groups for the analysis.

Another strength of the study is the fact that gross motor function was evaluated with the gold standard tools for CP evaluation, the GMFM-88 and GMFM-66, which are widely used in the literature due to their high validity, reliability, and sensitivity to changes,26 in a larger sample than those evaluated in previous studies.9,11,19,20 The 88 version has additional items and allows separate evaluation of the different dimensions, providing a detailed picture for younger and severely impaired children.26 The GMFM-66, on the other hand, enables better comparison over time, among groups and with published normative of CP children.27 The use of both the GMFM versions resulted in a broader and more reliable assessment of children’s motor function in CZS than using either version alone. Data on follow-up on gross motor function in CZS children aged up to 3 years, as presented in this study, are scarce; the few studies available are generally restricted to the second year of life.11,26 Children assessed in this study can be reevaluated in future studies, expanding the knowledge about motor function in children with CZS.

There are some limitations to consider in this study. First, some factors that could affect motor function, such as visual and auditory impairment, were not analyzed. Second, families with highly impaired children are more likely to seek medical care at the rehabilitation center than those with mildly impaired children; thus families with children with mild presentations may be underrepresented in this study. Third, the number of children classified as GMFCS I–III was not large enough to allow further statistical analysis of the prognostic factors and gross motor function curve. Therefore, the sample size of this study (100 children) and the recruitment from a single rehabilitation center (convenience sample) preclude the generalization of the results, but in a scenario of limited knowledge about motor function in CZS children, this study provides a robust contribution to elucidating the impact of this congenital infection on the nervous system of developing fetuses.

Conclusion

Almost all children with CZS had severe CP and were considered as having with GMFCS level IV or V, with limited motor function. Gross motor function was poorer than the median performance of other CP children with the same age and GMFCS classification. A lower economic class, microcephaly at birth, symptomatic epilepsy, and brain parenchymal volume loss were associated with decreased gross motor function. In the third year of life, most children with severe CP presented no improvement in gross motor function and were probably approaching their maximal gross motor function potential.

Table of Contents Summary

Gross motor function and its associated factors of 100 children with confirmed or presumed CZS were evaluated.

Forty-six children were reevaluated in a minimum interval of 6 months.
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